Page 1 BEFORE THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD IN THE MATTER OF: SIERRA CLUB, ENVIRONMENTAL LAW & POLICY CENTER; PRAIRIE RIVERS NETWORK and CITIZENS AGAINST RUINING PCB No. 2013-015 THE ENVIRONMENT, Complainants, vs. MIDWEST GENERATION LLC, Respondent. TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS at the hearing of the above-entitled cause, held at 100 West Randolph Street, Chicago, Illinois on January 30, 2018, at the hour of 9:00 a.m. MR. BRADLEY P. HALLORAN, Hearing Officer REPORTED BY: CHERYL L. SANDECKI, CSR, RPR LICENSE NO.: 084-03710

Page 2

1	APPEARANCES:
2 3	MS. FAITH E. BUGEL 1004 Mohawk Road Wilmette, Illinois 60091
4	(312) 282-9119 fbugel@gmail.com
5	- and -
6	SIERRA CLUB,
7	MR. GREG WANNIER 2101 Webster Street
8	Suite 1300 Oakland, California 94612 (415) 977-5646
9	greg.wannier@sierraclub.org
10	Representing the Complainants;
11	NIJMAN FRANZETTI LLP, by MS. SUSAN M. FRANZETTI
12	MS. KRISTEN LAUGHRIDGE GALE
13	10 South LaSalle Street Suite 3600
14	Chicago, Illinois 60603 (312) 251-5250
15	sf@nijmanfranzetti.com kg@nijmanfranzetti.com
16	
17	Representing the Respondent.
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

		Page 3
1	INDEX	
2	WITNESS	EXAMINATION
3		
4	MARIA RACE	
	DIRECT EXAMINATION (Resumed)	5
5	BY MS. FRANZETTI CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. BUGEL	244
6	CROSS-EXAMINATION DI MS. DOGEL	244
7	EXHIBITS	
8	NUMBER	RECEIVED
9	Respondent's Exhibit	
10	Exhibit 611	10
	Exhibit 612	11
11	Exhibits 622-625 Exhibit 626	28 34
12	Exhibit 627	38
13	Exhibit 628 Exhibit 629	41 44
13	Exhibit 630	44
14	Exhibit 631	51
15	Exhibit 632 Exhibit 633	54 65
10	Exhibit 634	67
16	Exhibit 635	77
	Exhibit 663	91
17	Exhibit 636	92
18	Exhibit 637 Exhibit 638	96 97
10	Exhibit 639	100
19	Exhibit 664	107
_ 5	Exhibits 640-641	119
20	Exhibit 642	121
	Exhibit 643	129
21	Exhibit 644	139
2.2	Exhibit 645	142
22	Exhibit 646 Exhibits 647-648	144 173
23	Exhibit 649	173
2.5	Exhibit 650	179
24	Exhibit 651	180

	Electronic Filling. Received, Clerk's Office 2/5/2018		Dat	л
1	Index (Continued)		Page	4
1	Index (Continued)			
2	Exhibits 652-653	189		
3	Exhibit 654 Exhibits 655-656	198 217		
4	Exhibit 658 Exhibits 659-660	223 224		
5	Exhibit 657 Exhibit 661	225 227		
6	Exhibit 666	243		
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				

	Page 5
1	THE HEARING OFFICER: Good morning, everyone.
2	My name is Brad Halloran, the hearing officer
3	with the Illinois Pollution Control Board. I'm
4	also assigned to this matter entitled Sierra
5	Club; Environmental Law and Policy Center;
6	Prairie Rivers Network; Citizens Against Ruining
7	the Environment are the complainants versus
8	Midwest Generation LLC, the respondent. This
9	docket is PCB 13-15. It's a citizen enforcement
10	involving water.
11	Today is January 30, 2018. We were
12	continuing this on record from yesterday,
13	January 29, 2018. And I believe Ms. Race is
14	still on the stand, and I think Ms. Franzetti is
15	still directing her.
16	Before we begin, I would ask the court
17	reporter to swear the witness in.
18	(Witness administered an oath.)
19	MARIA RACE,
20	having been first administered an oath, was
21	examined and testified as follows:
22	DIRECT EXAMINATION (RESUMED)
23	BY MS. FRANZETTI:
24	Q. Good morning, Ms. Race.

	Page 6
1	A. Good morning.
2	Q. We were talking about Joliet 29 station
3	yesterday and we are going to continue to talk
4	about it. I ask that the aerial be brought up
5	of Joliet 29. And I'm going to ask you about
6	the industrial facility that is was adjacent
7	to Joliet 29 immediately to the west.
8	Do you recall are you familiar with
9	the existence of that industrial property?
10	A. Yes, I am. It was formerly a
11	Caterpillar property and then I believe has been
12	made into some kind of an industrial group by
13	CenterPoint.
14	Q. Okay. Now, do you know, was Midwest
15	Gen at some point contacted by that property
16	owner concerning requests relating to the Joliet
17	29 property?
18	A. Yes. We
19	Q. What was that contact about?
20	A. We received a request for an
21	environmental land use control, which
22	essentially means that and that resulted
23	because they were in the state site remediation
24	plan and they were trying to get a no-further

	Page
1	remediation letter to show that the site had
2	been remediated as much as it needed to be by
3	the state's point of view.
4	So the environmental land use control
5	that they wanted from us was because there was
6	the migration of metals onto our property. And
7	so they requested the ELUC, E-L-U-C, to cover no
8	potable water use within that the area that
9	is denoted on this map to the west of the coal
10	pile and coming down with that purple hash mark.
11	Q. And by the "purple hash mark area," you
12	are referring to the aerial that's up on the
13	screen that also is denoted ELUC?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. Now, turning to Exhibit 611 that's in
16	front of you, do you recognize that document?
17	A. Yes, I do.
18	Q. Is that the ELUC agreement that
19	CenterPoint proposed to Midwest Gen to accept?
20	A. Yes, it is.
21	Q. This shows the draft ELUC for us to
22	review at the time?
23	A. Okay.
24	Q. Now, turning to well, did Midwest

L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C. 312-419-9292 7

1	
	Page 8
1	Gen decide to agree to the ELUC?
2	A. Yes, we did.
3	MS. FRANZETTI: I would move to admit
4	Exhibit 611.
5	THE HEARING OFFICER: Ms. Bugel?
6	MS. BUGEL: We would object to foundation. I
7	don't think it's been explained how it relates
8	to the groundwater violations at issue.
9	THE HEARING OFFICER: Ms. Franzetti, do you
10	want to delve into that a little more, please?
11	MS. FRANZETTI: With respect to Ms. Race, ask
12	more questions? Or did you want me to respond?
13	THE HEARING OFFICER: I'm asking if you could
14	ask some more questions. I think that would be
15	the easiest way, please.
16	MS. FRANZETTI: All right.
17	BY MS. FRANZETTI:
18	Q. Ms. Race, with respect to CenterPoint's
19	request for the ELUC, do you know generally what
20	environmental conditions existed on the property
21	that's subject to the proposed ELUC that
22	prompted the need for the ELUC?
23	A. Yes. There were on the property
24	that belonged to Caterpillar/CenterPoint, there

Page 9 1 were investigation results showing that there 2 were various heavy metals on the site and in the 3 site soils and ash deposits on the eastern-most 4 portion of the property.

5 And with respect to Exhibit 611, if you Ο. 6 look at the fourth paragraph down, it states [as 7 read]: The potential for the detected metals to 8 leech the groundwater and then migrate onto your 9 property has been modeled. The modeling results indicate there exists potential for some of 10 these constituents to migrate up 82 feet onto 11 12 the Midwest Generation property. IEPA has 13 provided technical approval of the modeling 14 work.

15 Is that part -- is it your 16 understanding that that was part of the basis 17 that CenterPoint conveyed to Midwest Gen for why 18 it needed to put an ELUC on the property? 19 Yes, that's absolutely the basis for Α. 20 it. 21 MS. FRANZETTI: I would move again for the 22 admission of Exhibit 611. 23 THE HEARING OFFICER: Ms. Bugel? 24 MS. BUGEL: No objection.

	Page 10
1	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Thank you.
2	Respondent's 611 is admitted.
3	(Whereupon document so
4	offered was received in
5	evidence as Respondent's
6	Exhibit No. 611.)
7	BY MS. FRANZETTI:
8	Q. Now, Ms. Race, you testified that
9	Midwest Gen did agree to CenterPoint's request
10	for this ELUC agreement. Would you turn to
11	Exhibit 612 in your binder.
12	Do you recognize that document?
13	A. Yes, I do.
14	Q. What is that document?
15	A. This is the signed let me
16	double-check that. Yes. This is the signed
17	land use control document that was originally
18	proposed and then agreed to by Illinois EPA and
19	also us.
20	Q. Who signed it for Midwest Gen?
21	A. Fred McClusky.
22	Q. Who was Fred McClusky?
23	A. He was a vice president at Midwest
24	Generation, and he was actually my boss's boss.

	Page 11
1	Q. And have you seen his signature before?
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. Does this appear to be his signature?
4	A. Yes, it does.
5	MS. FRANZETTI: I would move for the
6	admission of Exhibit 612 into evidence.
7	MS. BUGEL: No objection.
8	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Ms. Bugel.
9	Respondent's Exhibit 612 is admitted into
10	evidence.
11	(Whereupon document so
12	offered was received in
13	evidence as Respondent's
14	Exhibit No. 612.)
15	BY MS. FRANZETTI:
16	Q. Looking at the purple cross-hashed
17	area, with respect to the ELUC area and
18	Exhibit 612 references a 485-foot-wide setback
19	zone depicted in Exhibit B. Is that on the
20	screen, that purple hash-marked area, a fair and
21	accurate representation of the property area
22	covered by the ELUC that Midwest Gen agreed to?
23	A. Yes, it does.
24	Q. And what are the generally, what are

	Page 12
1	the restrictions on Midwest Gen's use of that
2	ELUC area under the terms of the ELUC?
3	A. Under the terms of the ELUC, we were
4	not to construct, install, maintain, or operate
5	any water supply well within a 480-foot-wide
6	setback zone. And that's shown in this Exhibit
7	В.
8	Q. And with respect to soils in that area,
9	any conditions on the soils?
10	A. Yes. Any contaminated groundwater or
11	soil that is removed, excavated, or disturbed
12	must be handled in accordance with any
13	applicable laws and regulations.
14	Q. Now, with respect to the water supply
15	well restriction you just testified to, did
16	Midwest Gen have a water supply well in that
17	area?
18	A. No. We had a water supply well to the
19	west.
20	Q. And has Midwest Gen attempted to remove
21	or disturb any soil in that area?
22	A. Not that I'm aware of.
23	Q. We are done with the ELUC, Ms. Race.
24	A. Okay.
1	

	Page 13
1	Q. Now we are going to go back to the
2	Joliet 29 hydrogeologic assessment plan and
3	Midwest Gen's implementation of it.
4	Do you recall approximately when the
5	Joliet 29 groundwater monitoring wells were
6	installed and when the monitoring of those wells
7	started?
8	A. Okay. So they were installed in 2010.
9	Q. And after they were installed, was it
10	shortly after that that the monitoring of those
11	wells started?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. I'm going to ask you to turn to
14	Exhibit 613 in your binder.
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. Do you recognize that document?
17	A. Yes, I do.
18	Q. Have you seen it before?
19	A. Yes, I have.
20	Q. Did you review this document back at or
21	about the time it was prepared?
22	A. I did.
23	Q. With respect to this document, who was
24	it submitted to?

	Page 14
1	A. It was submitted to Illinois EPA.
2	Q. And with respect to the groundwater
3	monitoring well results for Joliet 29, what
4	groundwater monitoring results does this report
5	cover?
6	A. This report does not cover well
7	results. It covers where the wells will be put
8	in. So this was prior to installation.
9	Q. Okay. I'm going to go back to Exhibit
10	12-C. That won't be in the binder. That'll be
11	on your table.
12	A. Got it.
13	THE HEARING OFFICER: Is that Complainant's
14	12-C?
15	MS. FRANZETTI: Yes, Complainant's 12-C. My
16	apologies.
17	BY MS. FRANZETTI:
18	Q. What is Exhibit 12-C?
19	A. 12-C is the initial hydrogeologic
20	assessment report for Joliet generating station
21	number 29 that we submitted to Illinois EPA.
22	Q. So does that follow the hydrogeologic
23	assessment plan submission?
24	A. Yes, it does. The plan was approved

	Page 15
1	and then we proceeded to install the wells and
2	perform the tests that were in the plan.
3	Q. How many quarters of groundwater
4	monitoring results does the Complainant's
5	Exhibit 12-C contain?
6	A. Just one.
7	Q. Okay. Can you generally turn to
8	page Bates page 6976 and 6977.
9	What type of information is contained
10	on those two pages?
11	A. These are this is groundwater
12	analytical results from the monitoring wells
13	that we had installed. So this was from
14	sampling that was done on December 6th, 2010.
15	Q. Is that the first quarter of sampling
16	results?
17	A. Yes. Oh, and also December 7th. They
18	weren't all sampled on the same day.
19	Q. Can you describe generally what the
20	results showed from that first quarter of
21	groundwater sampling?
22	A. Yes. Generally, we were receiving
23	non-detects for most of the monitoring well
24	results for all of the chemicals that are listed

	Page 16
1	here. There are one, two, three, four, five,
2	six, seven, eight that appear to be above the
3	groundwater remediation objective Class 1.
4	Q. And with respect to being above the
5	Class 1 remediation objective with respect to
6	manganese, was that detected above the
7	standards?
8	A. Yes. In Monitoring Well-4 and
9	Monitoring Well-7 let me look at this other
10	page and Monitoring Well-9.
11	Q. Was any boron detected above the Class
12	1 standard?
13	A. No.
14	Q. What about sulfate, to what extent was
15	that detected?
16	A. There was one sample for sulfate in
17	Monitoring Well-9 that was detected above the
18	groundwater remediation objective.
19	Q. All the other wells were below the
20	Class 1 standard?
21	A. Correct.
22	Q. Turn to chloride, the chloride results.
23	A. Okay.
24	Q. What did that show?

	Page 17
1	A. Monitoring Well-10. Monitoring Well-3,
2	4, and 7 had chlorides above the groundwater
3	remediation objective.
4	Q. So out of all the constituents, was
5	chloride the one detected the most frequently
6	above the Class 1 standard?
7	A. Yes, it was.
8	Q. Now, did Midwest Gen continue to
9	conduct sampling of these groundwater monitoring
10	wells?
11	A. Yes, we did.
12	Q. How frequently?
13	A. Quarterly.
14	Q. Did IEPA order you to do that?
15	A. No, they requested it.
16	Q. Did you continue to submit the results
17	to IEPA?
18	A. Yes, we did.
19	Q. And what was your role regarding the
20	quarterly groundwater monitoring of the wells?
21	A. I would review what was being submitted
22	and have and then at some point I had a new
23	employee or an intern that started reviewing the
24	data in more detail and spot-checking it,

	Page 18
1	essentially, you know, going through these
2	numbers and checking them against what was in
3	various tables that were being prepared for us.
4	Q. All right. And then the intern would
5	submit the results of their review to you?
6	A. Correct.
7	Q. I'm going to ask you to turn to, on
8	your table, Complainant's Exhibit 24.5. It
9	should be Patrick Engineering.
10	A. Okay. Got it.
11	Q. Now, do you recognize that as the
12	Patrick Engineering amended report for the first
13	quarter of 2012 regarding Powerton?
14	A. Yes, I do.
15	Q. Why was an amended report submitted to
16	Illinois EPA?
17	A. An amended report was submitted to them
18	because my employee found some transcription
19	errors in their tables from between the
20	laboratory data and the tables that they were
21	using.
22	Q. And which tables who was using?
23	A. Patrick Engineering.
24	Q. Were those tables Patrick prepared?

Page 19 1 Α. Yes. So this report -- this amended report 2 Q. 3 contained the corrected monitoring results based 4 on the written laboratory reports? 5 That is correct. Α. MS. BUGEL: Objection to the leading nature 6 7 of the questions. 8 THE HEARING OFFICER: Rephrase, please. 9 BY MS. FRANZETTI: 10 What did this amended report contain? Q. It contained the corrected tables so 11 Α. 12 that so you could see what the results actually 13 were. 14 And by "results actually were," what Ο. was the source of those results? 15 16 The Sovereign Trent Laboratory, or Test Α. 17 America at that point probably, laboratory results that we were just looking at a few 18 19 minutes ago. 20 Did this happen as to -- did this need Q. 21 to make corrections to originally submitted 22 quarterly monitoring reports to Illinois EPA 23 happen as to the other stations? 24 MS. BUGEL: Objection to the leading nature

Page 20 1 of the questions. 2 THE HEARING OFFICER: Rephrase, please. Just 3 try to rephrase. 4 BY MS. FRANZETTI: 5 Did a need for correcting original Q. reports occur with respect to any other station? 6 7 Α. Yes. We found that there were several 8 transcription errors and corrections needed to 9 be sent to Illinois EPA for all of the stations. I'm going to ask you to turn to, again 10 Q. on your -- we are done with Complainant's 24.5. 11 12 If you could find Complainant's Exhibit 36. Okay. Just give me a minute here. 13 Α. 14 Ο. Sure, sure. 15 THE HEARING OFFICER: We can go off the 16 record for a second. 17 (Short pause in proceedings.) 18 THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. We are back 19 on. 20 BY MS. FRANZETTI: 21 Q. Ms. Race, do you have Complainant's 22 Exhibit 36 in front of you? 23 Α. Yes, I do. 24 Do you recognize that document? Q.

Page 21 1 Α. Yes, I do. 2 What is it? Q. 3 This is a document that is an e-mail Α. 4 from Rick Frendt who was the consultant on the 5 case, sort of -- I guess I would look at it as 6 an e-mail where he was thinking out loud trying 7 to figure out what was going on with the 8 results --9 I'm going to object to the MS. BUGEL: 10 witness's speculation as to what Rick Frendt was 11 thinking. 12 MS. FRANZETTI: I think she's just relaying 13 her understanding of Mr. Frendt's e-mail to her. 14 MS. BUGEL: She is stating what he was 15 thinking. 16 THE HEARING OFFICER: I'm sorry? 17 MS. BUGEL: My objection was to the fact that 18 the witness is speculating as to what Richard 19 Frendt was thinking. 20 THE HEARING OFFICER: Could you rephrase 21 that, please, Ms. Franzetti. 22 BY MS. FRANZETTI: 23 Ms. Race, what type of information was Q. 24 Mr. Frendt presenting to you in this e-mail?

Page 22 Rick and I would send e-mails back and 1 Α. 2 forth to discuss what was going on with the data 3 that we were receiving. This particular e-mail 4 came after we were receiving -- we had received 5 one set of sample results back from the 6 monitoring wells. And this particular e-mail 7 regards Powerton Station and that I was puzzled 8 about the results of Powerton, and so we had 9 discussed that. And he sent an e-mail explaining some initial thoughts that he was 10 having. 11 12 And specifically with respect to what Ο. 13 aspect of the field data from that first quarter 14 of sampling was Mr. Frendt addressing in this 15 e-mail? 16 Α. There were several different wells at 17 Powerton that were finished in different layers of material and for the groundwater. And Rick 18 19 and I both didn't know that at the time. 20 And so he and I were trying to figure 21 out how the wells would make sense if they were 22 all in one set of groundwater.

23 Q. And did you figure that out based on 24 the one quarter sampling?

Page 23

	Iage
1	A. No, we did not.
2	Q. Did you ultimately figure it out based
3	on additional quarters of groundwater sampling?
4	A. Yes, we did. And I had a habit of
5	using multiple consultants on projects. And so
6	if I looked and maybe it's because I come
7	from an academic environment, but I look at my
8	consultants as a team. And I didn't really care
9	which company they worked for as long as I had
10	the right expertise and team.
11	And so when Rick Frendt was puzzled
12	about this, I asked Rich Gnat to who had
13	worked with us extensively in our landfills to
14	take a look at this data.
15	Q. And what was concluded about the
16	question with respect to groundwater gradients
17	after additional data was reviewed?
18	A. It was found that the reason that we
19	couldn't understand this initially is because
20	there were actually borings at two different
21	levels of groundwater where there was some
22	separation between the two.
23	Q. I'm going to move on to Complainant's
24	Exhibit 16. So that should also be on the desk

Page 24 1 by you. 2 Ms. Race, do you recognize 3 Complainant's Exhibit 16? 4 Α. Yes, I do. 5 Q. What is it? 6 Α. This is an e-mail from Rick Frendt to 7 me copying Xin Ying Wang (phonetic), who was my 8 employee at the time, with summaries of the data 9 for each of the five ash pond sites. 10 Did you review this report? Q. 11 Yes, I did. Α. 12 Would you turn to Bates number 14162 Q. 13 and going on to 63. Generally, what information 14 is being presented on these two pages of 15 Complainant's Exhibit 16? 16 This is an overall description of the Α. 17 ash pond evaluation and summary with the data that Rick Frendt understood at that point in 18 19 time. 20 Q. All right. And with respect to the 21 information regarding groundwater gradient at 22 Powerton, how at this time is Mr. Frendt 23 characterizing that groundwater gradient? 24 He is -- he is stating that if Α.

Page 25 Monitoring Well-6 and 8 are excluded from the 1 2 analysis, that the direction of the groundwater 3 flow is from the south to the north towards the 4 river. He was still at this point puzzled over 5 ground -- over Monitoring Well-6 and 8 and what 6 they might indicate. 7 Based on additional data review, did Ο. you agree with these conclusions by Mr. Frendt? 8 9 Α. At the time I wasn't sure that I understood them, and so I decided to ask others 10 to take a look at it, other experts that I knew. 11 12 What other experts did you ask? Ο. 13 Α. Rick Frendt -- or I mean, I'm sorry, 14 Rich Gnat. Sorry about that. 15 And I might have also asked Bruce 16 Hensil from Natural Resources Technology. I'm 17 not sure if I did that. 18 Q. And did you ask them to take a look at 19 the data --20 Α. Yes. 21 Q. -- with respect to groundwater 22 gradient? 23 Α. Yes, I did. 24 And what did they advise you? Q.

	Page 26
1	A. They advised me that the wells were
2	actually finished in two different groundwater
3	spaces that were divided but still slightly
4	connected by some soils that would allow them to
5	not be totally connected.
6	Q. So does that mean there were two
7	different gradients?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. And whereas Mr. Frendt was concluding
10	that there was just one?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. We are done with that exhibit,
13	Ms. Race. We are going to move forward to
14	June 2012.
15	MS. FRANZETTI: There has already been a
16	stipulation, stipulation 54, that on June 11,
17	2012, Illinois EPA issued violation notices,
18	VNs, to Midwest Gen alleging violations of
19	groundwater quality standards at the stations.
20	BY MS. FRANZETTI:
21	Q. My question to you regarding the VNs
22	is: Did the 2012 VNs include the monitoring
23	results as amended by Midwest Gen's submissions
24	that we were talking about just a few minutes

	Page 27
1	ago?
2	A. I believe they did not.
3	Q. Okay. So they had the original data
4	that had not yet been corrected by Midwest Gen?
5	A. That's correct.
6	Q. Were you involved in the preparation of
7	Midwest Gen's responses to the VNs?
8	A. Yes, I was.
9	Q. Ms. Race, I'm going to ask you to look
10	at, in your book, Exhibits 622, 623, 624, and
11	625. And my question is going to be whether
12	those are the supplemental responses by Midwest
13	Gen to the IEPA violation notices for Joliet 29,
14	Waukegan, Powerton, and Will County
15	respectively?
16	A. Yes, they are.
17	MS. FRANZETTI: I would move to admit Midwest
18	Gen Exhibits 622 through 625.
19	THE HEARING OFFICER: Ms. Bugel?
20	MS. BUGEL: No objection.
21	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Ms. Bugel.
22	Respondent's Exhibits 622 through 625 are
23	admitted. Thank you.
24	

·	
	Page 28
1	(Whereupon documents so
2	offered were received in
3	evidence as Respondent's
4	Exhibits Nos. 622-625.)
5	BY MS. FRANZETTI:
6	Q. With respect to Midwest Gen's
7	supplemental responses, Exhibits 622 through
8	625, generally what did Midwest Gen advise the
9	Illinois EPA regarding whether the ash ponds at
10	the stations were a source of groundwater
11	impacts?
12	A. Generally, what we stated is that we
13	did not believe that our current operations of
14	the ash impoundments were the source of the
15	groundwater contamination.
16	Q. And what was the reason for that
17	position?
18	A. Well, there were a number of reasons.
19	I would say the primary reason is that we are on
20	properties at the stations that are surrounded
21	by other operations, old industrial area. There
22	are, for example, the plumes that we were just
23	talking about a few minutes ago with the
24	environmental land use control. The

Page 29 environmental land use control at Waukegan. 1 The 2 John Mansville Superfund site. You know, the 3 tannery site generally which used borax in its 4 operations. The boiler site which also used 5 borax in its operations. 6 There were a number of other things 7 that we knew about the surrounding locations 8 that would lead us to believe that our 9 groundwater monitoring wells were showing contamination from other sources. 10 And with respect to, as you said, the 11 Ο. 12 current operation of the ponds, did the existence of liners form any part of the reason 13 14 why Midwest Gen's position was the ash ponds 15 weren't the source of the impacts? 16 Absolutely. Because by this time in Α. 17 2012 we had already done a number of ash pond re-linings. And we had found the Poz-o-Pac was 18 19 intact at the ash ponds we had relined that had 20 Poz-o-Pac up to that point. 21 The liners that we had in place at 22 Waukegan were in good condition, had been 23 replaced in the earlier 2000 time frame like 24 2003, 2005, somewhere in there. And so we

	Page 30
1	believed that those liners were in good
2	condition. And the liners that replaced the
3	Poz-o-Pac or were added up on top of it also
4	were in good condition.
5	Q. Generally, how long did it take to
6	resolve the VNs with Illinois EPA?
7	A. It took a few months to resolve the
8	VNs. They issued them in June, and I have to
9	say that I was very surprise that they issued
10	them. That really shocked me at the time.
11	And but we discussed with them quite
12	a bit what they would want in a Compliance
13	Commitment Agreement. Bill Buscher and Rick
14	Cobb and I and my boss had numerous discussions
15	with them about what would be acceptable. And
16	so we then came up with a Compliance Commitment
17	Agreement that everybody could live with.
18	And so in around October that
19	Compliance Commitment Agreement was it was
20	September when we signed it. And then they
21	countersigned it the following month. I believe
22	there was a 30-day window where they had to
23	countersign it.
24	Q. In those discussions, and I think there

	Page 31
1	has already been testimony about the CCA terms,
2	including replacement of some of the liners with
3	HDPE liners, did that topic come up during those
4	discussions, the use of an HDPE liner to reline
5	certain of the ponds?
6	A. Yes, it did.
7	Q. And what was IEPA's reaction to the
8	proposed use of an HDPE liner?
9	A. They found that acceptable.
10	Q. In the course of those discussions, did
11	Midwest Gen present the IEPA with any
12	information supporting the use of HDPE liners?
13	A. Yes. I believe that we also referred
14	IEPA back to our original construction documents
15	for other impoundments that we had put in place.
16	There were no CCR rules at that point in time.
17	There were no Illinois specific CCR rules.
18	And how we came up with the idea that
19	HDPE would be an appropriate liner, we discussed
20	that with them and they agreed to it. I can't
21	remember specifically if we provided them with a
22	document.
23	Q. Okay. After the Compliance Commitment
24	Agreements were signed for the Midwest Gen

<pre>1 stations, was there work then that Midwest Gen 2 had to perform to comply with the terms of those 3 CCAs? 4 A. Yes. We had to close an impoundment 5 that wasn't really much of an impoundment at one 6 station. We had to we made the commitment to 7 reline an impoundment at Powerton, I believe, 8 and at Joliet.</pre>
3 CCAs? 4 A. Yes. We had to close an impoundment 5 that wasn't really much of an impoundment at one 6 station. We had to we made the commitment to 7 reline an impoundment at Powerton, I believe, 8 and at Joliet.
A. Yes. We had to close an impoundment that wasn't really much of an impoundment at one station. We had to we made the commitment to reline an impoundment at Powerton, I believe, and at Joliet.
5 that wasn't really much of an impoundment at one 6 station. We had to we made the commitment to 7 reline an impoundment at Powerton, I believe, 8 and at Joliet.
6 station. We had to we made the commitment to 7 reline an impoundment at Powerton, I believe, 8 and at Joliet.
7 reline an impoundment at Powerton, I believe, 8 and at Joliet.
8 and at Joliet.
9 Q. Now, in the fall of 2012, were you
10 aware of any court filing that Midwest Gen made
11 at that time?
12 A. Yes. We filed for bankruptcy.
13 Q. Did that impair or in any way adversely
14 affect Midwest Gen's compliance with the terms
15 of the CCA?
16 MS. BUGEL: Objection to the leading nature
17 of the question.
18 MS. FRANZETTI: I will rephrase.
19 THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
20 BY MS. FRANZETTI:
21 Q. Did that have any effect on Midwest
22 Gen's compliance with the CCAs?
23 A. No, it did not. That was a very high
24 priority item for us. And I was involved in

	Page 33
1	many discussions with the president of our
2	company, and he was fully committed to ensuring
3	that the money was spent on those things that
4	needed to be done.
5	Q. Okay. I'm going to ask you to turn to
6	Exhibit 626. Do you recognize that document?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. What is it?
9	A. That's a compliant commitment
10	acceptance for Joliet 29 that was sent by
11	Michael Crumly of Illinois EPA to John Kennedy,
12	who was the senior vice president of our
13	company.
14	THE HEARING OFFICER: Just to make it clear
15	on the record, it's Respondent's Exhibit 626.
16	Thank you.
17	MS. FRANZETTI: Yes. I'm sorry.
18	I would move to admit Exhibit 626.
19	MS. BUGEL: No objection.
20	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Ms. Bugel.
21	Respondent's Exhibit 626 is admitted.
22	
23	
24	

	Page 34
1	(Whereupon document so
2	offered was received in
3	evidence as Respondent's
4	Exhibit No. 626.)
5	BY MS. FRANZETTI:
6	Q. Turning to page 573 of Midwest Gen
7	Exhibit 626, does that page under the
8	Section III, Compliance Activities, and
9	continuing on to the next page, page 574, does
10	that describe the compliance activities that
11	Midwest Gen agreed to perform under this
12	Compliance Commitment Agreement for Joliet 29?
13	A. Yes, it does.
14	Q. And did this involve relining any of
15	the ponds at Joliet 29?
16	A. Yes. Pond three needed to be relined
17	with an HDPE liner within 90 days of the
18	effective or an application for the
19	construction permit to reline it had to be
20	submitted within 90 days of the effective date
21	of this CCA, which we did do.
22	And then we needed to install the
23	liner, I believe before the end of this year, if
24	I remember correctly, before the year was up of

Page 35 the date of signing. 1 2 With respect to the compliance Ο. 3 activities, was maintaining the integrity of the 4 pond liners at Joliet 29 any part of the 5 compliance activities? 6 Α. Yes. Objection. 7 MS. BUGEL: Leading. 8 THE HEARING OFFICER: Rephrase. 9 BY MS. FRANZETTI: 10 What did the agreement contain with Q. respect to the pond liners? 11 12 Well, the pond liners needed to be Α. 13 maintained. There had to be procedures that 14 were followed to ensure that operating the ash 15 removal equipment didn't damage the liners. 16 And also that the ash impoundments not 17 be used as permanent disposal sites, but to 18 continue operating the way they always had and 19 have the ash removed and then appropriate 20 inspections to make sure the liners were intact 21 afterwards. 22 Q. What did Midwest Gen agree to with 23 regard to groundwater monitoring? 24 We agreed to continue quarterly Α.

	Page 36
1	groundwater monitoring of the existing 11 wells
2	with for constituents that were agreed upon
3	between us and EPA, and that we would report the
4	findings within 30 days of the end of each
5	quarter.
6	Q. And did Midwest Gen do that?
7	A. Yes, we did.
8	Q. With respect to subparagraph F at the
9	bottom of that page, which reads [as read]:
10	Midwest Generation shall submit an application
11	to establish a Groundwater Management Zone (GMZ)
12	pursuant to 35 Illinois Administrative Code Part
13	620.250 within 90 days of the effective date of
14	the CCA.
15	Do you understand what a GMZ is?
16	A. That's a Groundwater Management Zone.
17	And it's a zone where Class 1 groundwater
18	standards do not apply.
19	Q. Did Midwest Gen fulfill the GMZ
20	requirement of the CCA?
21	A. Yes, we did.
22	MS. FRANZETTI: We have stipulation 55, that
23	Joliet 29 Midwest Gen applied for a GMZ for the
24	area including the ash ponds.

Page 37 1 BY MS. FRANZETTI: 2 I'm going to ask you to find on your Ο. 3 desk there Exhibit 2 -- Complainant's Exhibit 242. 4 5 Α. Okay. 6 Q. Do you recognize that exhibit? 7 Α. Yes, I do. 8 0. What is it? 9 This is the Groundwater Management Zone Α. application that was put together by my employee 10 11 Amy Hanrahan. 12 MS. FRANZETTI: Now, we also have Stipulation 13 56, Illinois EPA approved the Joliet 29 GMZ on August 8, 2013. 14 15 BY MS. FRANZETTI: 16 I'm going to ask you to turn back to Q. 17 your binder and look at Exhibit 627, Midwest Gen 18 Exhibit 627. 19 Do you recognize that document? 20 Yes, I do. Α. 21 What is it? Q. 22 Α. It is a letter back from Illinois EPA 23 acknowledging the submittal that we made 24 containing the Groundwater Management Zone and

	Page 38
1	documenting that we had completed that as part
2	of the requirements for the Compliance
3	Commitment Agreement.
4	MS. FRANZETTI: Mr. Hearing Officer, I would
5	move to admit I don't think I moved to admit
6	Exhibit 626 so I'm going to move to admit
7	both 626 and 627.
8	THE HEARING OFFICER: I think you did 626
9	already.
10	MS. FRANZETTI: I did? Oh, okay.
11	THE HEARING OFFICER: But in any event,
12	Ms. Bugel, 626 or 627 any objection?
13	MS. BUGEL: No objection.
14	THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Thank you.
15	626 and 627 is admitted.
16	(Whereupon document so
17	offered was received in
18	evidence as Respondent's
19	Exhibit No. 627.)
20	BY MS. FRANZETTI:
21	Q. Now, staying with the Compliance
22	Commitment Agreement for Joliet 29, that
23	included requiring Midwest Gen to reline pond
24	three at the station. Was that done?

Page 39 1 Α. Yes, it was done. 2 And I refer you to Exhibit 628 in your Q. 3 binder. 4 Do you recognize that document? 5 Α. Yes, I do. Q. 6 What is it? This is the permit from Illinois EPA to 7 Α. 8 replace the liner for pond three. 9 What were the steps necessary to Q. install the new liner in pond three? 10 11 Well, like we had talked about before, Α. 12 for pond three -- you know, for each of these 13 pond replacements, we had to ensure that there 14 was an outage or something similar. I believe 15 water in this case for pond three had to be 16 rerouted because it was usually the discharge 17 point. 18 So it required quite a bit of 19 engineering work to be done. Material that was 20 not found to be in there that needed to be 21 dredged so at least we didn't have that step. 22 And then Poz-o-Pac was found to be there as 23 well. 24 In terms of rerouting the water, Q. Okay.

Page 40 1 so did you have to empty the pond? 2 Yes, we did. Α. 3 Was that the first time you had ever Q. done that? 4 5 MS. BUGEL: Objection to the leading nature 6 of the questions. 7 MS. FRANZETTI: I don't think that's leading. 8 THE HEARING OFFICER: Let's go off the record 9 for a minute. 10 (Discussion had off the 11 record.) 12 THE HEARING OFFICER: We are back on the record. Ms. Bugel's objection is sustained. 13 14 And if you could rephrase, please. BY MS. FRANZETTI: 15 16 Was there ever a time to your knowledge Q. 17 before this work was done on pond three that 18 pond three had to be emptied? 19 Α. No, not to my knowledge. 20 Ο. Now, turn to --21 MS. FRANZETTI: I'm going to move to admit 22 Midwest Gen Exhibit 628 into evidence. 23 THE HEARING OFFICER: Any objection? 24 MS. BUGEL: No objection.

Electronic Filing: Received, Clerk's Office 2/5/2018 Page 41 1 THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. Admitted, 628. 2 3 (Whereupon document so 4 offered was received in 5 evidence as Respondent's Exhibit No. 628.) 6 7 BY MS. FRANZETTI: I am going to move to Exhibit 629. 8 0. 9 Please take a moment to look at it. 10 My question is simply: What is that 11 document? 12 This is the construction documentation Α. 13 transmittal that was sent by Natural Resource 14 Technology to Terry Kosmatka, who was the 15 project manager at Joliet 29 station. 16 And what type of information is Q. 17 contained in this document? Well, this is the documentation of our 18 Α. 19 consultant looking at who observed the process. 20 So it lists things -- it has the start of the 21 ash removal. It documents the replacement liner 22 pre-construction meeting, when ash removal was 23 completed, the start of the replacement liner 24 subbase. And then as each event in putting

Page 42 together this pond liner happened, documentation 1 2 of those things. 3 Would it be accurate to describe this Ο. 4 as containing some of the as-built information 5 of the pond liner? 6 Α. Yes. 7 Turning to page Bates number 33945. Ο. 8 It's towards the back end but not all the way 9 back. 10 Α. Okay. Okay. At the top of the page it says 11 Q. 12 [as read]: Certificate of acceptance of subgrade surface preparation for geomembrane 13 installation. 14 15 What type of information is contained 16 in this portion of the construction documents? 17 Α. Well, this document is specific to south pond three, and it states that the 18 19 subgrade is acceptable to lay the geotextile and 20 geomembrane upon. 21 Q. Would you turn to the next page, Bates 22 number 33947. 23 Α. Okay. 24 What information is contained in this Q.

Page 43 1 portion of the construction documents for pond 2 three liner? 3 This also says that the geomembrane --Α. HDPE geomembrane and geotextiles installed in 4 5 the south ash pond three, that they were 6 installed in accordance with the project 7 specifications and manufacturer's 8 recommendations. 9 I'm going to ask you to keep going in Q. the document to Bates page 33987. It's almost 10 at the very back. 11 12 I have it. Α. 13 It appears to be a letter from Leak Ο. 14 Location Services, Inc., dated October 7, 2013. 15 What information is contained in this portion of 16 the construction documents? 17 Α. This portion of the construction 18 document gives a report on the overall Leak 19 Location survey of south pond three after the 20 liner was installed but before it went back into 21 service. And it includes a description of the 22 impoundment and that no leaks were found during 23 the survey that was performed. 24 I would move to admit Midwest MS. FRANZETTI:

Page 44 Gen Exhibit 629 into evidence. 1 2 THE HEARING OFFICER: Ms. Bugel, any 3 objection? 4 MS. BUGEL: No objection. 5 THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Ms. Bugel. 6 Respondent's Exhibit 629 is admitted. 7 (Whereupon document so 8 offered was received in 9 evidence as Respondent's 10 Exhibit No. 629.) 11 BY MS. FRANZETTI: 12 Ms. Race, approximately when was the Q. Joliet 29 pond three relining completed? 13 In late 2013. 14 Α. 15 MS. FRANZETTI: We have stipulation 57, on 16 October 9, 2013, Midwest Gen submitted to 17 Illinois EPA its certification that all of the Joliet 29 CCA measures were successfully 18 19 completed. 20 BY MS. FRANZETTI: 21 Q. I'm going to ask you to turn to 22 Exhibit 630 in your binder. 23 Α. Yes. 24 Q. Do you recognize that document?

Page 45 1 Α. Yes, I do. 2 Q. What is it? 3 This is a document stating that we have Α. 4 completed the compliance agreement measures for 5 Joliet 29. And it is signed by John Kennedy, 6 who is a senior vice president of generation at 7 our company. 8 Do you recognize Mr. Kennedy's 0. 9 signature? 10 Yes, I do. Α. 11 MS. FRANZETTI: I would move to admit Exhibit 630 into evidence. 12 13 THE HEARING OFFICER: Ms. Bugel? 14 MS. BUGEL: No objection. 15 THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. 16 Respondent's Exhibit 630 is admitted. 17 (Whereupon document so 18 offered was received in 19 evidence as Respondent's 20 Exhibit No. 630.) 21 BY MS. FRANZETTI: 22 Q. Ms. Race, you testified yesterday that 23 you are generally familiar with the coal 24 combustion residual rule, what we sometimes

Page 46 refer to as the CCR rule, that US EPA adopted in 1 December 2014. Do you remember that? 2 3 Α. Yes, I do. 4 Were you aware of how Midwest Gen Q. 5 assessed the effect of the CCR rule on its 6 stations ash ponds? 7 Α. Yes, I am aware of that. 8 Can you tell us generally how Midwest Ο. 9 Gen went about assessing whether the CCR rule would have an effect on any of its ash ponds? 10 What we did was take a look at 11 Α. Yes. 12 each of our plants, what impoundments fit the 13 definition of a CCR impoundment, or in one case 14 a CCR landfill. And also took a look to see if 15 we could close completely and clean, close, any 16 of the impoundments before the rule came into 17 effect so that those impoundments would not be 18 part of the rule. 19 With respect to Joliet 29 and pond Ο. 20 three, what was the determination Midwest Gen 21 made with respect to the application of the 22 federal CCR rule? 23 I'm going to object just to the MS. BUGEL: 24 question calling for a legal conclusion.

	Page 47
1	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Overruled. She
2	may answer if she is able.
3	THE WITNESS: We determined by looking at the
4	characteristics of the impoundment and the fact
5	that we had had sedimentation or total suspended
6	solids testing done showing that there was no
7	ash being received in the impoundment, because
8	we also saw that there was no dredging required
9	out of that impoundment before the liner was put
10	in, that it was not covered under the CCR rule.
11	BY MS. FRANZETTI:
12	Q. When you make reference to that prior
13	total suspended solid sampling of pond three,
14	and I refer you back to Exhibit 602 that we
15	discussed yesterday, and my question is simply:
16	Is that the testing you were referring to in
17	your answer?
18	A. Yes, it is.
19	Q. Are you aware generally whether there
20	are any inspection requirements under the
21	federal CCR rule?
22	A. Yes, there are inspection requirements.
23	Q. Are there any ash ponds today at Joliet
24	29 that are subject to that inspection

Page 48 requirement? 1 2 Today ash pond two is still Α. Yes. 3 subject to that requirement, even though at this 4 point this is a gas-fired power plant. 5 Is Midwest Gen conducting the Ο. 6 inspections on pond two pursuant to the CCR 7 rule? 8 Α. Yes, we are, and documenting them 9 online as appropriate and as required. 10 Have you discovered any problems as a Q. result of those inspections with pond two? 11 12 Α. No. 13 Ο. We are going to turn now to the Powerton Station. 14 15 Α. Okay. 16 And I will ask that the aerial of Q. 17 Powerton be brought up on the screen. 18 Ms. Race, you are generally familiar 19 with the Powerton Station, aren't you? 20 Α. Yes, I am. 21 Q. All right. I'm going to ask you, is 22 that aerial map that we are showing on the 23 screen a reasonably accurate depiction of the 24 Powerton Station?

	Page 49
1	A. Yes, it is.
2	MS. FRANZETTI: We have three stipulations on
3	the Powerton Station: Stipulation 17, Midwest
4	Gen operates the Powerton Electric Generating
5	Station located in Pekin, Tazewell County,
6	Illinois; stipulation 18, Midwest Gen has
7	operated the Powerton Station since 1999; and
8	stipulation 19, Midwest Gen leases the Powerton
9	Station.
10	BY MS. FRANZETTI:
11	Q. I'm going to ask you to turn back to
12	Exhibit 631 in your binder.
13	A. All right.
14	Q. Ms. Race, what is Exhibit 631?
15	A. This is the sale and retained tract
16	exhibit from Commonwealth Edison that is dated
17	January 29, 1999.
18	Q. And, again, we have already gone over
19	what the meaning is of a retained tract. Are
20	there any retained tracts on the Powerton survey
21	map?
22	A. Yes. There were two retained tracts
23	here.
24	Q. Okay. Now looking up at the screen

Page 50 1 and if we can bring up retained tract areas. 2 Okay. 3 Do you see an area up on the screen 4 that's denoted, not owned by MWG? 5 Α. Yes, I do. 6 Ο. Does that fairly depict the retained 7 tract area that's shown on Exhibit 631? 8 Α. It depicts one of them, yes. 9 Okay. Do you know who retained Q. ownership of that tract? 10 11 Α. That was retained by Commonwealth 12 Edison. 13 Ο. After Midwest Gen purchased the 14 Powerton Station from Commonwealth Edison, did 15 it have any access rights to that area depicted 16 as not owned by Midwest Gen? 17 Α. No. 18 Q. Now, you also mentioned a second area referring to retained tracts. Is that shown up 19 20 there on the aerial? 21 Α. No, it is not. 22 Q. Where is it on the survey map 23 Exhibit 631? 24 It is to the south and west of the Α.

Page 51 power plant, sort of kitty-corner from it. 1 2 Do you know who retained ownership of 0. 3 that tract? Commonwealth Edison. 4 Α. 5 Did Midwest Gen have any access rights Q. or control over that tract? 6 7 Α. No. 8 MS. FRANZETTI: I would move to admit 9 Exhibit 631. 10 THE HEARING OFFICER: Ms. Bugel? 11 MS. BUGEL: No objection. 12 THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Ms. Bugel. Respondent's Exhibit 631 is admitted. 13 14 (Whereupon document so 15 offered was received in 16 evidence as Respondent's 17 Exhibit No. 631.) 18 BY MS. FRANZETTI: 19 Ms. Race, about how old is the Powerton Q. Station? 20 21 Α. Well, the Powerton Station units one 22 through four were brought online in the 1920s. 23 And then units five and six came on line in the 24 early '70s, '73.

		Page 52
1	Q.	Are units one through four currently
2	operatin	ıg?
3	Α.	No. Units one through four have been
4	demolish	led.
5	Q.	Do you about how long ago?
6	Α.	It was during the time that I worked at
7	Midwest	Generation, so maybe five or ten years
8	ago.	
9	Q.	That they were demolished?
10	Α.	That they were demolished.
11	Q.	When did they stop operating?
12	Α.	Oh, gosh. Before my time. I don't
13	know.	
14	Q.	So which units are operating now?
15	Α.	Units five and six.
16	Q.	And do you know approximately when
17	those tw	o units started operating?
18	Α.	1971 and 1973.
19	Q.	I'm going to ask you to go to
20	Exhibit	632 in your notebook.
21		Do you recognize this document?
22	Α.	Yes, I do.
23	Q.	What is it?
24	Α.	This is the Phase I Environmental Site

Page 53 Assessment that was performed by ENSR. 1 I'm going to ask you to turn to Bates 2 Ο. 3 number page 8516, specifically directing your 4 attention to Section 2.4 entitled Site History. 5 Have you reviewed this part of this 6 ENSR report before? 7 Α. Yes, I have. 8 Ο. What did it tell you about the site 9 history? It tells you the approximate time that 10 Α. it was constructed. They interviewed John 11 12 Henderson, who was the compliance specialist at 13 the time, and they noted that -- you know, 14 basically they have a -- I mean, in this section 15 it's just a history of what Mr. Henderson gave 16 them. 17 Ο. Okay. What did it tell you -- what did 18 they report with respect to whether any land 19 filling had occurred on the property? If you 20 look at the third paragraph under 2.4. 21 Α. Oh, the -- no evidence of land filling 22 was observed in the photographs reviewed for the 23 assessment. 24 MS. FRANZETTI: We would move to admit

Page 54 1 Exhibit 632 into evidence. 2 THE HEARING OFFICER: Ms. Bugel? 3 MS. BUGEL: No objection. 4 THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. 5 Respondent's Exhibit 632 is admitted. 6 (Whereupon document so 7 offered was received in 8 evidence as Respondent's 9 Exhibit No. 632.) 10 BY MS. FRANZETTI: 11 Ms. Race, could you pull out exhibit --Ο. 12 Complainant's Exhibit 17-D. It's the Powerton 13 ENSR Phase II report. 14 Α. Okay. 15 Ο. If you could turn to page 4 of that 16 document. It's Bates numbered 3260. 17 Α. Okay. 18 And the Section 1.4, Limitations. Q. 19 Α. Yes. 20 Okay. You have already testified about Q. 21 this language with respect to the Joliet 29, 22 Phase II report, which was Complainant's 23 Exhibit 20-D. And was your understanding of the 24 meaning of the information presented here,

	Page 55
1	particularly in this first paragraph, the same
2	for this Powerton report as you've already
3	testified to the Joliet 29 report in
4	Exhibit 20-D?
5	A. Yes. The limitations described here is
6	that this is a snapshot in time that took place
7	between October 5th and November 3, 1998, and
8	may have incomplete or different information at
9	a different point in time.
10	Q. I'm going to ask you to turn to page 16
11	of the report. It's Bates page 3272.
12	A. Okay.
13	Q. And what information is presented here?
14	A. This is a section of data assessment.
15	And 4.1 discusses the extent of contamination at
16	the site.
17	Q. And what did they find regarding
18	groundwater contamination? You may have to turn
19	a few pages.
20	A. Yeah. I need a minute.
21	Q. Take your time.
22	A. There were no constituents of concern
23	detected at concentrations above the IEPA
24	cleanup objective in the groundwater samples

Page 56 1 collected. 2 Now, if you would turn to table five of Ο. 3 the report. It's at page 3291. 4 Α. Yes. 5 What type of information is contained Q. in table five? 6 Table five contains laboratory analysis 7 Α. 8 data from groundwater monitoring wells. 9 And have you reviewed this table five Ο. 10 before? 11 Yes, I have. Α. 12 Is the information in it consistent Ο. with ENSR's finding that no constituents of 13 14 concern were detected above the Class 1 cleanup 15 objectives? 16 Α. Yes, it is. 17 Ο. Now, you were asked back in October about the conclusion by ENSR in this Phase II 18 19 report that there was no requirement under 20 Illinois law to further investigate or remediate 21 the property. Do you generally recall that? 22 Α. Yes, I do. 23 Why was that information relevant to Q. 24 Midwest Gen?

	Page 57
1	A. Because we were an operating facility
2	wanting to make sure that we were in compliance
3	with the rules.
4	Q. I'm going to ask you to bring up the
5	aerial now for Powerton.
6	Ms. Race, does this aerial show ash
7	ponds at the Powerton Station?
8	A. Yes, it does.
9	Q. And approximately not approximately.
10	How many ash ponds are there at
11	Powerton?
12	A. Well, it depends on if you are talking
13	about the CCR rule or not. So in this case just
14	speaking from ponds that regardless of the
15	rule at this point and the way that we may have
16	seen this before the rule existed, there was the
17	metal cleaning basin, the ash surge basin, the
18	ash bypass basin, and the secondary basin.
19	Q. On the aerial, there are three areas
20	denoted in a purple color, which what are
21	those?
22	A. Those are the main components of the
23	ash handling system. And the secondary ash
24	basin that is above them was a finishing pond.

	Page 58
1	Q. Now, with respect to the ash in the
2	Powerton ash ponds, did the ash remain in those
3	ponds or was it periodically removed?
4	A. The ash was periodically removed from
5	the ponds.
6	Q. Now, we are going to talk about each of
7	the specific ponds.
8	MS. FRANZETTI: We have Stipulation 20, the
9	ash surge basin was constructed in 1978 with a
10	Poz-o-Pac liner on the bottom and a Hypalon
11	liner on the sides.
12	BY MS. FRANZETTI:
13	Q. What is the purpose of the ash surge
14	basin?
15	A. The ash surge basin is there to settle
16	out the bottom ash that is processed in the
17	facility, or the slag actually, as you can call
18	it, since it's a cyclone unit.
19	Q. Would it be accurate to describe it as
20	kind of the main ash pond?
21	A. Yes, it is the main ash pound.
22	Q. How often is ash removed from the ash
23	surge basin?
24	A. Well, it used to be removed probably

	Page 59
1	every six to eight years, something like that.
2	And now because the plant is operating less
3	often, it won't be removed as often. But when
4	it's necessary, when the ash impoundment starts
5	to fill up, then it's time to de-water it and
6	remove the ash.
7	Q. All right. Now, we will turn to the
8	bypass basin.
9	A. Okay.
10	MS. FRANZETTI: We have two stipulations on
11	this: Stipulation 28, the bypass basin had a
12	Poz-o-Pac liner on the bottom and a Hypalon
13	liner on the sides; Stipulation 30, the bypass
14	basin receives ash when Powerton is emptying the
15	ash surge basin.
16	BY MS. FRANZETTI:
17	Q. So about how often is the bypass basin
18	used?
19	A. It's not used very often. It's used
20	when the ash surge basin is out of service.
21	Very similar to Joliet's situation.
22	Q. And about how often is ash removed from
23	the ash surge basin?
24	A. I it really isn't necessary to

	Page 60
1	remove ash from the ash surge basin very often.
2	I'm not even sure if I remember correctly,
3	they may not have found ash in it very much
4	ash in it when they relined it.
5	Q. Okay. Turning to the secondary ash
6	basin. How is that used?
7	A. That is a polishing or finishing
8	pond.
9	Q. And for which basin's effluent is the
10	secondary ash basin used as a finishing pond?
11	A. The ash surge basin.
12	Q. So its function is similar to Joliet 29
13	pond three?
14	A. Exactly.
15	Q. Is ash periodically removed from the
16	secondary ash basin?
17	A. Ash was not found in that basin, so ash
18	never needed to be removed from there. And I
19	see it as very similar to Joliet's situation.
20	MS. FRANZETTI: Okay. We have Stipulation
21	22, since before 1999, the secondary ash basin
22	had a Hypalon liner.
23	BY MS. FRANZETTI:
24	Q. Now I'm going to turn to the metal

	Page 61
1	cleaning basin. What is its purpose?
2	A. It is there for materials that are
3	cleaned out of equipment in the power plant.
4	MS. FRANZETTI: And we have Stipulation 25,
5	the metal cleaning basin was constructed in 1978
6	with a Poz-o-Pac liner on the bottom and a
7	Hypalon liner on the sides. Stipulation 26, in
8	2010 Midwest Gen relined the metal cleaning
9	basin with a 60-millimeter HDPE liner. And
10	Stipulation 27, the ash in the metal cleaning
11	basin is dredged approximately on an annual
12	basis.
13	BY MS. FRANZETTI:
14	Q. Turn to the former ash basin. What's
15	its purpose?
16	A. The former ash basin is currently an
17	emergency overflow for the ash surge basin.
18	Q. Did it have any different purpose
19	before?
20	A. Yes. It once was the settling basin
21	for the ash impoundment. I mean, it was the ash
22	impoundment before the ash surge basin existed.
23	Q. Is it lined?
24	A. I don't know. I don't think it is.

	Page 62
1	Q. Okay. Turning to 2010 at Powerton, did
2	Midwest Gen reline we can bring up the
3	timeline now did Midwest Gen reline any of
4	the ash basins?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. Which ones?
7	A. We relined the bypass basin and
8	Q. Do you recall if it was the metal
9	cleaning basin?
10	A. Yes, the metal cleaning basin was the
11	other one.
12	Q. And why did you reline these two ponds?
13	A. We were requested to reline them by the
14	station. They needed to use those basins and
15	wanted to reline them.
16	Q. Did you assist in the permitting side
17	for the construction of those new liners?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. I'm going to ask you to turn in your
20	binder to Exhibit 633. It appears to be a
21	series of e-mails with some calculations
22	attached at the back involving you, Jamie
23	Rabins, and Heather Simon of Natural Resource
24	Technology. And this is in the

	Page 63
1	August/September 2010 time frame.
2	Would you take a moment to look at that
3	and tell me if you recognize the e-mails
4	contained in Midwest Gen Exhibit 633?
5	A. Yes, I do.
6	Q. What do these e-mails pertain to?
7	A. They pertain to the liner design
8	that and Heather Simon and Eric Splaychek
9	(phonetic), our Natural Resources Technology
10	consultants that we use for probably all of our
11	liner replacements over the years. And so they
12	were discussing with Jamie Rabins the materials
13	that were going to be used in the liner
14	construction.
15	Q. And what was Mr. Rabins asking about?
16	A. He was asking about whether or not the
17	overburden stress on the liner was going to be
18	adequate for having, you know, heavy machinery
19	running over the liner.
20	Q. And why would heavy machinery run over
21	the liner?
22	A. To remove the ash.
23	Q. Now, what information did the NRT
24	personnel you have just identified provide to

Page 64

1 Mr. Rabins? 2 They provided calculations. And they Α. 3 also provided documentation of specifications 4 regarding the CA6 material -- or the limestone 5 material that was being placed above the 12-inch cushion layer. 6 7 Ο. And what were they showing or providing 8 to Mr. Rabins with regard to the CA6 layer? 9 That it has a higher sheer strength and Α. materials that typically cover Geomembrane 10 11 liners. So that they looked at it as a stronger 12 material. 13 Ο. And just so the record is clear, what 14 pages of the document are the calculations by 15 NRT concerning the construction specifications 16 for this new liner contained on? Can you read 17 the Bates numbers where those calculations are 18 found? 19 49296 through 49298. Α. 20 Q. Okay. And what was the purpose of 21 providing those calculations? 22 Α. It was to ensure that Jamie was 23 comfortable that we were using the appropriate 24 specifications for the construction of the

Page 65 1 liner. 2 MS. FRANZETTI: I would move to admit Midwest Gen Exhibit 633. 3 4 THE HEARING OFFICER: Ms. Bugel? 5 MS. BUGEL: No objection. THE HEARING OFFICER: 6 Thank you, Ms. Bugel. Respondent's Exhibit 633 is admitted. 7 8 (Whereupon document so 9 offered was received in 10 evidence as Respondent's 11 Exhibit No. 633.) 12 BY MS. FRANZETTI: Ms. Race, let's turn to Exhibit 634 in 13 Q. 14 your book. Do you recognize this document? 15 This is a permit modification for Α. 16 Powerton generating station regarding their RO 17 waste. Okay. And this modifies what type of 18 Q. 19 permit? 20 Their NPDES permit. Α. 21 Q. So it would be accurate to say that 22 this is the current NPDES permit for the 23 Powerton Station? 24 Α. Correct.

	Page 66
1	Q. If you turn to page 2 of the permit, at
2	the top it says Effluent Limitations and
3	Monitoring and it's referring to outfall 001 ash
4	treatment system effluent.
5	What information is contained on this
6	page of the permit?
7	A. This contains the information regarding
8	the receiving waters from each of the
9	discharge discharge service water discharges
10	by number.
11	Q. Do any of these discharges refer to
12	waste streams from the ash ponds?
13	A. The ash treatment system effluent and
14	depending upon if you look at it from a CCR rule
15	perspective, possibly. Maybe the metal cleaning
16	waste treatment system effluent or not because
17	it's not in the CCR rule.
18	Q. What does item number one describe on
19	that list of what the discharge consists of?
20	A. Ash treatment system effluent.
21	Q. And approximately how much of the
22	discharge is represented by that bottom ash in
23	economizer ash sluice waste water?
24	A. 10.9 million gallons per pay.

	Page 67
1	MS. FRANZETTI: I would move to admit
2	Exhibit 634 into evidence.
3	THE HEARING OFFICER: Ms. Bugel?
4	MS. BUGEL: We have an objection against many
5	of these permits as not the discharge from
6	the outfalls as not being relevant to whether
7	the ponds and landfills are causing groundwater
8	contamination.
9	THE HEARING OFFICER: Ms. Franzetti?
10	MS. FRANZETTI: We disagree and that it shows
11	they are part of the treatment system at the
12	plant and they are not threatening the
13	groundwater.
14	THE HEARING OFFICER: Yeah, you know, I think
15	it's relevant. In any event it will go to the
16	weight and not the admissibility. So overruled.
17	Exhibit 634 is admitted over objection.
18	Respondent's Exhibit 634, thanks.
19	(Whereupon document so
20	offered was received in
21	evidence as Respondent's
22	Exhibit No. 634.)
23	BY MS. FRANZETTI:
24	Q. I would like to have you turn to

	Page 68
1	Exhibit 635 in your binder, Ms. Race. It
2	appears to be a report by Andrews Engineering
3	dated June 8th, 2004, regarding report of
4	sampling the limestone runoff basin, dredge
5	spoil area, and coal combustion waste.
6	Would you just take a moment to look at
7	it. My question is: Are you familiar with this
8	document?
9	A. Yes, I am.
10	Q. Were you involved in requesting that
11	Andrews Environmental Engineering prepare this
12	document?
13	A. Yes, I was.
14	Q. What was the work that Andrews did to
15	prepare this document?
16	A. Well, they needed to do three things
17	for us. They needed to take they needed to
18	dig test pits and do some sampling within the
19	limestone basin, which is another basin on the
20	east side of the property that was not part of
21	our NPDES system but was did contain a liner
22	and contain material that we wanted to see if it
23	would qualify as coal combustion byproduct. And
24	so they had to do that task.

	Page 69
1	They also we had dredge spoils that
2	we needed sampled for just as a separate
3	project because of the dredging that we would do
4	from our intake or discharge at the Illinois
5	River.
6	And then they also sampled coal
7	combustion waste to see what the analytical
8	results would be from our material.
9	Q. With respect to the some of the
10	analytical work they did, would you turn to page
11	Bates 11305. And specifically, Section 2.0 on
12	that page, limestone runoff basin. And would
13	you just read to yourself that first paragraph.
14	I'm going to have a question to you on that.
15	A. Okay.
16	Q. What's the limestone runoff basin?
17	A. The limestone runoff basin is named
18	that way because it was a historic basin that
19	was used in the '70s for some experimental
20	project. I believe the Department of Energy may
21	have been involved with it. But that has no
22	no longer in operation and hasn't been since the
23	'70s. And so this basin is there and currently
24	not being used as far as I know.

Page 70 1 Ο. So why was it sampled? 2 Α. It was sampled because there were --3 there was equipment that was taken out of 4 service and so the ash was cleaned out of it. 5 It was put there for a temporary holding space until the beneficial use determination could be 6 7 made. So what does this paragraph describe? 8 Ο. 9 Α. This describes how the limestone runoff 10 basin was sampled. 11 So there were stakes put out. Test 12 pits were located. I believe they randomized 13 how they were going to -- which places they were 14 going to sample from. 15 Ο. Which test method did they use? 16 They used the neutral leech method, Α. 17 which is the method that's required by Illinois EPA to determine whether you can beneficially 18 19 use a material. 20 And is that also referred to as -- or Ο. known as ASTM D3987-85? 21 22 Α. Yes, it is. 23 And why was that method used? Ο. 24 Α. Because Illinois EPA required it in

	Page 71
1	order to show that material like the bottom ash
2	that was in those impoundments could be used
3	beneficially for structural fill or any number
4	of other things.
5	Q. Okay. And generally what were the
6	results of this sampling in terms of whether the
7	ash could be beneficially reused?
8	A. Generally the results were good and
9	they could be beneficially used. I can't
10	remember
11	Q. Well, let me ask you to turn to
12	page 11341, and tell me what information is
13	contained in that table.
14	A. This table shows sampling results
15	summary comparing the Class 1 groundwater
16	quality standard to the test pit numbers for
17	various chemical constituents. And what it's
18	showing here is a lot of non-detects for the
19	chemical constituents. And there were two test
20	pits or one test pit that was above the
21	groundwater quality standard for chromium and
22	selenium.
23	Q. Okay. Taking chromium, is this showing
24	that the Class 1 groundwater standard is 0.1 and

Page 72 that the test result was 0.16? 1 2 Yes, it is. Α. 3 And with respect to selenium, is it Q. 4 showing the Class 1 groundwater standard as point -- is 0.05 and the test pit '03 result was 5 6 0.08; is that correct? 7 Α. It's -- yes. 8 And test pit 13's result for selenium Ο. 9 was 0.15, correct? 10 Α. Correct. What's the SFA column? 11 Ο. I believe --12 Α. MS. BUGEL: I'm going to object. I believe 13 14 there was a misstatement unto the evidence. I 15 believe you just stated test pit 13, and I think 16 you meant 15. 17 THE HEARING OFFICER: I think you are right. 18 Sustained. 19 MS. FRANZETTI: Yes, yes. 20 BY MS. FRANZETTI: 21 Q. Correcting that to test pit 15, is the 22 selenium result for test pit 15 0.15? 23 Α. Correct. 24 What is the column that's marked SFA-1 Q.

Page 73 providing results on? 1 2 I believe -- well, let me look. Α. This 3 is an area of material that appeared to be set 4 up in compressed flash. 5 So that is not test results for the Ο. 6 type -- for bottom ash which is what's in the 7 ash ponds, right? 8 Α. That is correct. 9 Okay. Would you turn back to Q. 10 page 11344. It says at the top, table four, 11 Midwest Generation Powerton Coal Combustion 12 Waste Sampling Results Summary. What type of information is contained 13 in this table four? 14 15 Α. Coal combustion waste sampling results 16 for the 51 boiler, the 52 boiler, and then a 17 bottom ash sample BA-01. And is Powerton the same as Joliet 29 18 Q. 19 in that only bottom ash went to the ponds? 20 Α. Yes. 21 Q. With respect to the bottom ash sampling 22 results, what does table four show? 23 Α. Table four shows that -- just give me a 24 moment.

	Page 74
1	Q. Uh-huh.
2	A. Fly ash sample there are two fly ash
3	samples here. One is from boiler 51 and one is
4	from boiler 52. And in addition to these fly
5	ash samples, one sample of bottom ash was also
6	obtained.
7	Q. And is that one sample of bottom ash
8	results reported under the column BA-01 on table
9	four?
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. And what do those results show?
12	A. Those results show mostly non-detects
13	and that there is nothing that results in above
14	Class 1 groundwater quality standard exceedents.
15	Q. So what did this assessment by Andrews
16	Engineering tell you regarding the bottom ash,
17	the quality or constituents of the bottom ash
18	being generated at Powerton that was being sent
19	to the ash ponds?
20	MS. BUGEL: Objection. Calls for
21	speculation.
22	THE HEARING OFFICER: Could you rephrase,
23	please. Sustained.
24	

Page 75

1 BY MS. FRANZETTI:

2	Q. What did the analytical test results on
3	the bottom ash that was sampled by Andrews
4	Engineering tell you about the nature of the
5	bottom ash being sent to the ash ponds?
6	MS. BUGEL: Hearing Officer, my objection is
7	that she is asking the witness to draw a broader
8	conclusion about these test results when these
9	test results, number one, they speak for
10	themselves. Number two, it's speculative and
11	prejudicial to say that that somehow reflects
12	all the bottom ash being sent to the ponds.
13	THE HEARING OFFICER: Well, I'm going to
14	overrule it and you can bring it up on your
15	cross to flesh that out. So the answer stands.
16	Or has she responded?
17	MS. FRANZETTI: No, not yet.
18	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. So overruled.
19	You may proceed.
20	MS. BUGEL: Could you read back the question,
21	please?
22	MS. FRANZETTI: I will restate it.
23	MS. BUGEL: Okay.
24	

	Lieutonic Filing. Received, Clerk's Office 2/3/2010
	Page 76
1	BY MS. FRANZETTI:
2	Q. What did the bottom ash sampling
3	results shown here on table four tell you about
4	the nature of the bottom ash being sent to the
5	ash ponds at Powerton?
6	A. Well, to begin with, the coal that we
7	were using didn't it was sub-bituminous
8	Wyoming coal and didn't change over periods of
9	time. We were always using that.
10	So my conclusion in looking at the
11	bottom ash results was that the bottom ash was
12	suitable for beneficial use and met the standard
13	that was required under the Illinois
14	Environmental Protection Act.
15	Q. Okay. Do you recall whether the
16	Powerton ash ponds were included in that NRT
17	pond's ranking and prioritizing work for
18	relining the ponds that we were talking about
19	yesterday?
20	A. Yes, they were included.
21	Q. Do you recall whether NRT made the same
22	recommendation regarding the type of liner for
23	the relining of the Powerton ash ponds as it did
24	for Joliet 29?

Page 77 1 Α. Yes, they did. 2 MS. FRANZETTI: I'd move to admit into 3 evidence Midwest Gen Exhibit 635. 4 MS. BUGEL: Aside from our objection on the 5 record as to the line of questioning, we have no 6 further objection. 7 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Thank you. Respondent's Exhibit 635 is admitted subject to 8 9 Ms. Bugel's objections. 10 (Whereupon document so 11 offered was received in 12 evidence as Respondent's 13 Exhibit No. 635.) 14 MS. FRANZETTI: On the timeline I'm going to move forward now to 2008 but still on Powerton. 15 16 BY MS. FRANZETTI: 17 Ο. I'm going to refer you back to Exhibit 621. And this is the July 15th, 2009, 18 19 Midwest Generation letter to Illinois EPA with 20 regard to both the development of the 21 groundwater monitoring plan and the 22 hydrogeologic assessment plan for Powerton. 23 Turning, if you would, to page 297 of 24 that document. Does Section E on that page

Page 78 provide information on the three Powerton 1 2 Station ash ponds? Α. 3 Yes, it does. 4 Now, one of those is referred to as Q. 5 "emergency overflow basin." Can you explain 6 what that is referring to? 7 Α. The emergency overflow basin was Yes. 8 a -- formerly the ash basin for bottom ash 9 settling at the plant and was directly to, like, the northeast of where the current ash surge 10 11 basin is. 12 And I think we have talked about the Ο. other ash basins and ponds that are referenced 13 there in Section E. 14 15 So my just general question is: What 16 did Midwest Gen advise the Illinois EPA 17 concerning the potential for groundwater migration from the Powerton ash ponds? 18 19 Α. We did not believe that the ash ponds 20 were leaking and that we did not believe that 21 there was groundwater -- that there was any 22 migration to groundwater from the ash 23 impoundments. 24 And what was the primary reason for Q.

	Page 79
1	Midwest Gen's conclusion?
2	A. Well, you know, we knew after we
3	started installing the ash liner ash
4	impoundment liners that the Poz-o-Pac that we
5	were seeing was in good condition and so we
6	fully expected it to be in good condition
7	everywhere.
8	Q. Could you turn to page 299 of the
9	document. Using the Bates number, 299.
10	A. Got it.
11	Q. All right. And with respect to
12	Powerton, what information regarding the
13	presence of wells, potable wells, does this
14	portion of the report present to IEPA?
15	A. It identifies six wells that were
16	within this 2,500-foot radius that Illinois EPA
17	wanted us to look at to see if there were
18	potable wells. Those six wells actually are
19	station wells. And they were not downgradient
20	of the ash impoundments.
21	Q. Are all six of them station wells?
22	A. I believe so.
23	Q. Do you want to take a look at this
24	paragraph to make sure?

Page 80 Α. 1 Sure. 2 Two of them are potable water supplies for Powerton. And it looks like there are four 3 4 others as well, which I was -- I had forgotten. So I misspoke. 5 6 Ο. And, I'm sorry, "four others," what do 7 you mean? There must be four other wells, but 8 Α. 9 they are not downgradient of ash impoundments. 10 Okay. But they're not station wells Q. 11 either? 12 On Bates page 303, Monitoring Well-9 Α. and 10 are on station property. Other wells 13 that are listed here are not. 14 15 Ο. Okay. 16 And they are not downgradient of the Α. 17 ash impoundments. 18 Were the two wells that were the Q. 19 station water supply wells, were those 20 downgradient from the ash ponds? 21 Α. No, they were not -- are not. 22 Q. So none of the six wells were 23 downgradient of the ash ponds? 24 Α. Correct.

	Page 81
1	Q. Just as with Joliet 29, did Midwest Gen
2	proceed to install groundwater monitoring wells
3	around the ash ponds and conduct quarterly
4	monitoring of them?
5	A. Yes, we did.
6	Q. I'm going to ask you to pull out
7	Complainant's Exhibit 13-C.
8	THE HEARING OFFICER: I'm thinking about
9	taking a break in about five.
10	MS. FRANZETTI: I was just going to ask you
11	about that.
12	THE WITNESS: I found it.
13	BY MS. FRANZETTI:
14	Q. Okay. With respect to Complainant's
15	Exhibit 13-C that's previously been identified
16	as the Powerton hydrogeologic report, is that
17	the initial report on the monitoring wells
18	installed at Powerton in the first round of
19	groundwater sampling?
20	A. Yes, it is.
21	Q. Do you recall how many monitoring wells
22	were installed at Powerton?
23	A. There were several. Initially we put
24	in ten monitoring wells.

	Page 82
1	Q. Okay. And generally where were they
2	located in relation to the ash ponds?
3	A. In discussions with Illinois EPA, we
4	proposed and installed them around all the ash
5	impoundments.
6	Q. Could you turn to page 7100
7	A. Got it.
8	Q of Complainant's Exhibit 13-C.
9	What does this figure show?
10	A. This figure shows the location of the
11	monitoring wells at Powerton Station.
12	Q. Okay. Now I'm going to ask you to look
13	back up at the at your screen, the aerial,
14	and bring up the if you could bring up the
15	aerial map and bring up the groundwater
16	monitoring well depictions.
17	Does the aerial with those red flags
18	with MW and a number on each of the flags, does
19	that generally accurately depict the location of
20	the monitoring wells Midwest Gen installed
21	around the Powerton ash ponds?
22	A. After 2011, there were additional wells
23	that were installed at the request of Illinois
24	EPA beyond what was on this exhibit.

Page 83 1 Ο. Right. 2 Α. But, yes, that -- those are the 3 locations. 4 So that exhibit is just showing the Ο. 5 original wells installed? 6 Α. Correct. 7 Ο. And on the aerial here, this is the 8 originals plus the additional ones installed? 9 Α. Correct. 10 Do you recall approximately how many, Q. 11 once all the wells were installed, there were? 12 Gosh, close to 20. Like 17, 19, yeah. Α. 13 Around 20. 14 Ο. Now, do you know from your work in 15 overseeing this, are some of the wells 16 upgradient, some downgradients, how were the 17 wells placed? 18 The upgradient wells that are Α. Yes. 19 largely like MW-9, MW-16, the wells to the south 20 of the property. 21 Q. Now, if you could turn to page 7095 in 22 Complainant's 13-C. It's table two, Groundwater 23 Analytical Results. 24 What groundwater analytical results are

Page 84 being presented here with respect to the 1 2 quarterly sampling? 3 These are the first quarterly sampling Α. 4 results that we received based upon the original 5 well arrangement that was agreed upon with Illinois EPA in the hydrogeologic assessment 6 7 plan. 8 Now, some of the numbers in this table 0. 9 are in boldface font and most of them aren't. 10 What's your understanding of the significance of 11 the values that are in bold font? 12 The numbers that are in bold font Α. exceed the groundwater quality standard Class 1. 13 14 Ο. Okay. And out of the approximately 20 15 chemical names on this table, which ones have 16 any exceedents as of the Class 1 standards? 17 Α. Manganese and boron. And with respect to -- let's take boron 18 Q. 19 In how many wells was any elevated boron first. 20 detected? 21 Α. Just one. 22 Q. With respect to -- and if you need to 23 either refer to the diagram in this document or 24 up on the screen, which -- was that well an

	Page 85
1	upgradient or downgradient well?
2	A. That's an upgradient well.
3	Q. What's the well number?
4	A. Monitoring Well-9.
5	Q. Now let's turn to sulfate.
6	Was any sulfate detected above the
7	Class 1 standard?
8	A. No, it was not.
9	Q. And so the only other detection above
10	the Class 1 standard was for manganese, correct?
11	A. Correct.
12	Q. And Midwest Gen continued this
13	quarterly groundwater monitoring?
14	A. Yes, we did.
15	MS. FRANZETTI: Okay. Now would be good for
16	a break if that's okay.
17	THE HEARING OFFICER: Let's take a break for
18	15. But I do want to note for the record that
19	there are no members of the public here, and I
20	don't think there were any yesterday as well
21	other than what's affiliated with in respect
22	to the parties.
23	Yes, Mr. Wannier?
24	MR. WANNIER: Yeah, Your Honor, before we go

	Page 86
1	off the record, we complainants have, I think
2	we mentioned yesterday, three to four two to
3	four members of the public that would be
4	interested in coming in and giving public
5	comment. I believe we discussed yesterday that
6	there was some flexibility when they come in.
7	We're working now to figure out what
8	day would work best, but we are expecting that
9	would be either later in the week this week or
10	Monday of next week.
11	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. You suggested
12	later in the week this week and I said okay.
13	And so I was thinking perhaps after lunch or
14	whatever is convenient for your public comment.
15	MS. LAUGHRIDGE GALE: Mr. Hearing Officer, do
16	we have our concern with public comment
17	before we are finished with our case-in-chief is
18	that it will interfere or you know, we
19	have to we need time to do our own
20	case-in-chief first.
21	So we would only object that any time
22	taken by public comment would interfere with
23	what we are trying to do here.
24	My understanding is is under the rules

Page 87 public comments are only allowed at your 1 2 discretion and when time and facilities allow. 3 And so our only request and our objection to any 4 public comment before we are done with our 5 case-in-chief is that we are allowed to finish 6 what we are doing here first. 7 THE HEARING OFFICER: Your objection is so 8 noted, and I don't agree with you. Mr. Wannier 9 said there was three or four people about five minutes a piece. 10 I mean, I don't think it 11 MR. WANNIER: Yes. 12 would take more than 20 minutes, max 30. Ι would be surprised if it went beyond 30. 13 14 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. In any event, I 15 do also want to note for the record we have 16 Senior Mark Powell, we have attorney advisor 17 Jason James here again today from the board, and we also have had technical unit -- Anand Rao 18 19 from the technical unit, and Chloe Cummings, our 20 newest intern. 21 I'll see you in 15. Thank you. We are 22 off the record. 23 24

	Page 88
1	(Whereupon, a recess was had at
2	10:39 a.m., after which the
3	hearing was resumed at
4	10:55 a.m. as follows:)
5	THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. We are back
6	on the record. It's approximately five to
7	11:00.
8	Before the break, we talked as an
9	aside. Midwest appears to have take issue
10	with possible public comment due to the fact
11	that it may cut into their case-in-chief time.
12	They want to get done about Monday or Tuesday.
13	But I have been assured that public comment will
14	take no longer than 20 minutes tops.
15	I would time them if Midwest feels the
16	need for me to time them. But I think we can
17	get through this, and it's entirely my
18	discretion. And it was discussed somewhat in an
19	e-mail sent from Mr. Wannier to me and the rest
20	of the parties regarding public comments.
21	The Board welcomes them and I think we
22	can find the time. If we can't, we'll just cut
23	lunch and breaks short and proceed.
24	So in any event, Ms. Franzetti and

Page 89 1 Ms. Race, you are on. 2 MS. FRANZETTI: Thank you, Mr. Halloran. 3 BY MS. FRANZETTI: 4 Ms. Race, I'm going to show you -- I'm Q. 5 going to go back to Joliet 29, just briefly. 6 Something I neglected to do. 7 MS. FRANZETTI: Mr. Halloran. 8 THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. 9 BY MS. FRANZETTI: This is Midwest Gen Exhibit 663. 10 0. 11 Ms. Race, would you take a moment to look 12 through that. It appears to be a hardcopy of the Joliet 29 timeline of events that we have 13 14 been showing up on the screen and on your 15 monitor. And if you would just take a moment to 16 look through that. 17 I don't want to go back over the contents that it contains. But is this a 18 19 generally reasonable and fair summary of the 20 events relating to the Joliet 29 station 21 including its ash ponds? 22 Α. Yes, it is. 23 MS. FRANZETTI: Mr. Hearing Officer, we would 24 move to admit Exhibit 663. We believe it would

	Page 90
1	be helpful to the Board and to you in terms of
2	the review of the transcript since there have
3	been repeated references to the timeline.
4	THE HEARING OFFICER: Ms. Bugel?
5	MS. BUGEL: We do have an objection to
6	Exhibit 663. It is due to the photo that
7	appears on the first page. Above it, obviously,
8	there is a statement, 1978, and then a reference
9	that Joliet number 29 ponds, one, two, and three
10	constructed with Poz-o-Pac liner, and then there
11	is a photo under that.
12	Our objection is beginning with
13	foundation of the photo and then the what it
14	claims to represent, the photo. And it's
15	it's not identified well.
16	It is if they are claiming that's a
17	core sample of Poz-o-Pac, it is not clear
18	whether that is from Joliet. And it's not clear
19	that that can represent the condition of the
20	any Poz-o-Pac liner in its totality.
21	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Thank you for
22	putting your objection in the record.
23	Ms. Franzetti.
24	MS. FRANZETTI: Mr. Halloran, we believe that

	Page 91
1	that is just meant to be an example of a sample
2	of Poz-o-Pac which we had here at the October
3	hearing, with that clarification. Does that
4	address counsel's concern?
5	MS. BUGEL: No, it does not.
6	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. I will ask the
7	Board to take note of Ms. Bugel's objections,
8	Ms. Franzetti's explanation, and I will admit
9	Exhibit 663 of Respondent's into evidence over
10	objection.
11	(Whereupon document so
12	offered was received in
13	evidence as Respondent's
14	Exhibit No. 663.)
15	MS. FRANZETTI: And similarly, I'm going to
16	take never mind.
17	BY MS. FRANZETTI:
18	Q. Ms. Race, I'm going to direct your
19	attention to Exhibit 636 in your binder.
20	Do you recognize that document as a
21	copy of the Powerton Compliance Commitment
22	Agreement that was signed by Midwest Gen?
23	A. Yes, I do.
24	Q. And turning to the last page of the

Page 92 document, do you recognize the signature under 1 2 the heading for respondent? 3 Yes, I do. It's for John Kennedy, the Α. 4 senior vice president of generation for Midwest 5 Gen at the time. 6 MS. FRANZETTI: All right. I would move to 7 admit Exhibit 636. 8 THE HEARING OFFICER: Ms. Bugel? 9 MS. BUGEL: We have no objection. 10 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Thank you. Respondent's Exhibit 636 is admitted. 11 12 (Whereupon document so 13 offered was received in 14 evidence as Respondent's 15 Exhibit No. 636.) 16 BY MS. FRANZETTI: 17 Ο. Would you turn to page Bates 554 of Exhibit 636, Section III, Compliance Activities. 18 19 Does this section list the activities 20 that Midwest Gen agreed to undertake pursuant to 21 this agreement? 22 Α. Yes, it does. 23 And with respect to Powerton, was the Ο. 24 obligation to continue to remove ash from the

Page 93 pond similar to the Joliet 29 commitment? 1 2 Α. Yes, it was. 3 And were there also obligations Ο. 4 about -- during removal of the ash protecting 5 the liner's integrity and inspecting the liners 6 similar to what you have already testified to as to the Joliet 29 station? 7 8 Α. Yes, there is. 9 Now, with respect to groundwater Ο. monitoring wells -- and I'm moving down to 10 paragraph 5(D), as in dog, of the document --11 12 was there anything included under the compliance activities for Midwest Gen relating to 13 14 groundwater monitoring wells? 15 A new well needed to be installed Α. Yes. 16 and monitored just like the other 15 existing 17 groundwater monitoring wells at that time. 18 Q. And what was the purpose of that 19 additional groundwater monitoring well? 20 Illinois EPA wanted to have a well that Α. 21 they thought might be more in the background on 22 the property. More, you know, upgradient from 23 the existing wells. 24 Okay. With respect to any relining of Q.

	Page 94
1	ponds, did Midwest Gen agree to do any relining?
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. What ponds did it agree to reline?
4	A. The ash surge basin and the secondary
5	ash settling basin.
6	Q. And were there any provisions in here
7	with respect to a Groundwater Management Zone?
8	A. Yes, there are.
9	Q. And did Midwest Gen agree to establish
10	a groundwater monitoring zone?
11	A. Yes. And we did submit the application
12	to establish Groundwater Management Zone, and
13	that was accepted by Illinois EPA.
14	Q. All right. Were there any provisions
15	in here relating to establishing an ELUC, an
16	environmental land use control?
17	A. Yes, there were. And that area was to
18	cover the same area as the Groundwater
19	Management Zone. And it was to be established
20	and was accepted by Illinois EPA as well.
21	Q. Okay. With respect to I'm going to
22	ask you to turn to page 555, and specifically
23	subparagraph L. It pertains to the east yard
24	runoff basin.

	Page 95
1	Why were there requirements in here
2	relating to monitoring the east yard runoff
3	basin?
4	A. I think that IEPA wanted to ensure that
5	the ash listing was going to either the bypass
6	basin or the ash surge basin and that because
7	the east yard runoff basin is a storm water
8	basin that only small incidental amounts of ash
9	might end out in the east ash basin.
10	Q. Did Midwest Gen perform all of the
11	compliance activities described in this
12	Section III of Exhibit 636?
13	A. Yes, we did.
14	MS. FRANZETTI: Okay. Now, we have a
15	stipulation, Stipulation 62, provides on
16	October 17, 2013, sorry, Midwest Gen submitted
17	to Illinois EPA its certification that all of
18	the Powerton CCA measures were successfully
19	completed.
20	BY MS. FRANZETTI:
21	Q. I'm going to ask you to turn to Exhibit
22	637 in your binder.
23	Is that the certification that Midwest
24	Gen submitted to Illinois EPA that all the

Page 96 1 Powerton CCA measures were successfully 2 completed? 3 Yes, it is. Α. 4 MS. FRANZETTI: I would move to admit 5 Exhibit 637. 6 MS. BUGEL: No objection. 7 THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Ms. Bugel. 8 Respondent's Exhibit 637 is admitted. 9 (Whereupon document so 10 offered was received in 11 evidence as Respondent's 12 Exhibit No. 637.) 13 MS. FRANZETTI: Stipulation number 58 states 14 that at Powerton, Midwest Gen applied for a GMZ 15 that covers the eastern part of the station 16 including the ash ponds. 17 BY MS. FRANZETTI: 18 Q. Ms. Race, if you would, on your table 19 find Complainant's Exhibit 254. 20 Α. All right. 21 Q. Is that the Powerton GMZ application 22 Midwest Gen submitted to Illinois EPA? 23 Α. Yes, it is. 24 MS. FRANZETTI: Now, Stipulation number 59 is

Page 97 1 that Illinois EPA approved the Powerton GMZ on October 3, 2013. 2 BY MS. FRANZETTI: 3 4 Now back to your binder, Exhibit 638. Q. 5 It appears to be IEPA's approval of the Powerton 6 GMZ. 7 Α. Correct. 8 Ο. Is it? 9 Α. Correct, it is. 10 MS. FRANZETTI: I would move to admit 11 Exhibit 638. 12 THE HEARING OFFICER: Ms. Bugel? MS. BUGEL: No objection. 13 14 THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Ms. Bugel. 15 Respondent's Exhibit 638 is admitted. 16 (Whereupon document so offered was received in 17 18 evidence as Respondent's 19 Exhibit No. 638.) 20 BY MS. FRANZETTI: 21 Q. Ms. Race, directing your attention to 22 the screen and the area that's got green slanted 23 hashmarks on it, do you see where I'm referring 24 to?

	Page 98
1	A. Yes, I do.
2	Q. Do you know what that area is
3	depicting?
4	A. Yes. That area depicts the area above
5	the Groundwater Management Zone and the
6	environmental land use control agreement that
7	were approved by Illinois EPA.
8	Q. Is it a reasonably accurate depiction
9	of the area the identical area of the ELUC
10	and the GMZ?
11	A. Yes.
12	MS. FRANZETTI: Now we have Stipulation
13	number 60, states that at Powerton, Midwest Gen
14	applied for an ELUC that covers the eastern part
15	of the station including the ash ponds.
16	BY MS. FRANZETTI:
17	Q. If you can find Exhibit 253,
18	Complainant's Exhibit 253, I would ask you to
19	confirm whether that is a copy of Midwest Gen's
20	ELUC application for the Powerton plant.
21	A. Yes, it is.
22	MS. FRANZETTI: And Stipulation number 61 is
23	that Illinois EPA approved the Powerton ELUC on
24	October 26, 2013.

Electronic Filing: Received, Clerk's Office 2/5/2018 Page 99 1 BY MS. FRANZETTI: 2 Now, if you go back to your binder, Q. 3 Exhibit 639, I'm going to ask you if that is a 4 copy of Illinois EPA's approval of the Powerton 5 ELUC? 6 Α. This is the Groundwater Management Zone 7 application's review by Illinois EPA. They had 8 a comment about what was upgradient and --9 Let me help you out on the ELUC. Q. Why don't you review to yourself the last paragraph 10 11 on that first page. 12 Illinois EPA agreed that the ELUC Α. 13 should be -- proceed with as proposed. 14 Ο. All right. And ask for a copy of it 15 after it was filed? 16 Α. Correct. 17 Q. Did Midwest Gen, in fact, record the ELUC with the county recorder's office? 18 19 Α. Yes. 20 MS. FRANZETTI: I would move to admit 21 Exhibit 639. 22 THE HEARING OFFICER: Ms. Bugel? 23 MS. BUGEL: No objection. 24 THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.

	Page 100
1	Respondent's Exhibit 639 is admitted.
2	(Whereupon document so
3	offered was received in
4	evidence as Respondent's
5	Exhibit No. 639.)
6	BY MS. FRANZETTI:
7	Q. Now, turning back to the two Powerton
8	ash ponds that Midwest Gen agreed to reline
9	under the CCA, were you again monitoring that
10	relining project?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. Okay. Similar to the other relining
13	projects you have already described, did that
14	relining of those two ponds, the secondary basin
15	and the ash surge basin, include removing the
16	material and the pond, preparing the subgrade,
17	and installing the HDPE liner?
18	A. That's true for the ash surge basin.
19	However, for the secondary basin, there was
20	really nothing to remove.
21	Q. Okay.
22	A. To dredge.
23	MS. FRANZETTI: All right. Can we bring the
24	timeline back up and go to 2013.

Page 101 Stipulation number 21 is that in 2013 1 2 Midwest Gen relined the ash surge basin with a 60-mil HDPE liner. 3 4 Stipulation number 24, in 2013, Midwest 5 Gen relined the secondary ash settling basin with a 60-mil HDPE liner. 6 BY MS. FRANZETTI: 7 8 When the ash surge basin was relined, 0. 9 was the condition of the existing Poz-o-Pac liner observed? 10 11 Yes, it was, and it was in good Α. condition. 12 Moving forward to 2014 on the timeline, 13 Ο. 14 after NRG purchased Powerton and the federal CCR 15 rules were adopted at the end of 2014, did 16 Midwest Gen conduct a review of the Powerton ash 17 ponds to determine whether or not they were regulated under the federal CCR rule? 18 19 Yes, we did. Α. 20 And are you familiar with the results Q. of that review? 21 22 Α. Yes, I am. 23 Did that review conclude whether any of Q. 24 the ponds at Powerton were not regulated by the

Page 102 1 federal CCR rule? 2 Yes. Of the impoundments we have just Α. 3 described, the secondary basin was not covered 4 by the rule. 5 The secondary ash basin? Q. 6 Α. The secondary ash basin. On what basis or information was it 7 Ο. 8 concluded that the secondary ash basin was not 9 regulated by the CCR rules? 10 It's the same basis for Joliet pond Α. three, which is that it is basically a finishing 11 12 pond and is not accumulating ash. Same question with respect to the 13 Ο. former ash basin. How did the CCR rules 14 15 regulate, if they did, the former ash basin at 16 Powerton? 17 Α. The former ash basin is covered under 18 the CCR rule. And so what did Midwest Gen have --19 Ο. 20 decide to do with regard to the former ash 21 basin? 22 Α. Midwest Generation will be going 23 through closure on the former ash basin. 24 And why did they decide to go through Q.

Page 103 1 closure? 2 Because the impoundment really is Α. 3 rarely used and it just was prudent to do that 4 at this point in time, it made sense. 5 Okay. Does that involve at all Q. 6 removing the water in the former ash basin? 7 Α. Yes, it will involve removal of the 8 water in the ash basin and moving the material 9 from the north -- I think it's the north side of the basin to the south side of the basin. 10 Because it's cut by a rail loop right now. 11 12 What's cut by a rail loop? Ο. The impoundment. The old ash basin has 13 Α. 14 a rail loop that runs across the middle of it. 15 And so in order to close it, the south portion 16 of it will be filled in with part of the 17 material from the north portion. 18 Q. Okay. So is there already a plan for 19 how the closure --20 Α. Yes, there is already a plan. 21 Q. And do you know approximately when 22 that's going to occur? 23 Α. I believe it's 2020. 24 Q. What about the ash surge basin, is that

Page 104

	Page .
1	affected by the CCR rule?
2	A. Yes. It is part of the CCR rule. And
3	so we are doing everything that's required for a
4	CCR basin, you know, inspections and annual
5	inspections. We have to document things online
6	in a file for the station so that the public can
7	see it.
8	We have to ensure that, you know, as
9	that we are following best practices. If ash is
10	removed, that we are doing inspections of the
11	liner. Just those types of things.
12	Q. Any plans to close that pond?
13	A. Not that I'm aware of.
14	Q. Okay. Ms. Race, I'm going to hand you
15	what has been marked as Midwest Gen Exhibit 664.
16	Take a minute, if you need it.
17	Ms. Race, I have put before you what's
18	been marked as Midwest Gen Exhibit 664, entitled
19	Powerton Timeline of Events. It is basically a
20	copy of what we have periodically been referring
21	to and showing up on the screen and on your
22	monitor with respect to the events at the
23	Powerton Station from the beginning of its
24	operations through to the present, basically,

	Page 105
1	and with respect to the ash ponds.
2	And if you would just take a moment to
3	look at that, my question is: Is that a
4	reasonably accurate summary of the relevant
5	events relating to the Powerton Station?
6	A. Yes, it is.
7	MS. FRANZETTI: I would move to admit
8	Exhibit 664.
9	THE HEARING OFFICER: Ms. Bugel?
10	MS. BUGEL: Complainants have the same
11	objection to 664 that we did to 663 regarding
12	the photo on the first page. I won't repeat the
13	details of that, but it's the same objection.
14	In addition, I do notice that there is
15	a photo also on included on the second to
16	last page, and we would object to the photo
17	again because it's not clear what this photo
18	claims to represent. One concern I would point
19	out is from the photo, it appears that this ash
20	basin is empty.
21	And, again, I just have a concern
22	regarding why, how, and what it claims to
23	present.
24	THE HEARING OFFICER: Are you talking about

	Page 106
1	the panoramic view of the entire basin from the
2	northeast corner facing southwest?
3	MS. BUGEL: Yes.
4	THE HEARING OFFICER: Ms. Franzetti?
5	MS. FRANZETTI: Yes. Mr. Halloran, what we
6	can do is, is rather than admit the entire
7	exhibit right now, we would move to admit
8	everything but that photo. And then when
9	Mr. Kelly testifies, we will come back to that
10	photo and have him explain what it is depicting.
11	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Ms. Bugel,
12	subject to your objection regarding the
13	Poz-o-Pac liner core or that core was it the
14	coal ash are you fine with that, if we
15	MS. BUGEL: Subject to my objection about the
16	Poz-o-Pac core, I am fine with Ms. Franzetti's
17	suggestion as to saving the panoramic view photo
18	for later.
19	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Let's do that.
20	Let's take that photo out of Respondent's
21	Exhibit 664 and maybe address it later.
22	MS. FRANZETTI: Okay. Do you want to
23	physically remove it?
24	THE HEARING OFFICER: Physically remove it.

	Page 107
1	MS. FRANZETTI: Yeah, okay.
2	THE HEARING OFFICER: And then I would ask
3	the board to note Ms. Bugel's objection and
4	Ms. Franzetti's explanation regarding the
5	Poz-o-Pac photo and both Exhibit 663 and 664 on
6	the first page.
7	So Respondent's Exhibit 664 is
8	admitted.
9	(Whereupon document so
10	offered was received in
11	evidence as Respondent's
12	Exhibit No. 664.)
13	MS. FRANZETTI: Mr. Halloran, do you want us
14	to take back your copy of the photo and give it
15	back to you when you get to it?
16	THE HEARING OFFICER: Yes, thank you.
17	MS. FRANZETTI: All right. We are going to
18	turn to the Waukegan station. If we can bring
19	up the aerial photo of the Waukegan station,
20	please.
21	We have two stipulations: Stipulation
22	32, Midwest Gen owns and operates the Waukegan
23	Electric Generating Station located in Waukegan,
24	Lake County, Illinois; and Stipulation 33,

	Page 108
1	Midwest Gen has owned and operated the Waukegan
2	station since 1999.
3	BY MS. FRANZETTI:
4	Q. Ms. Race, are you familiar with the
5	Waukegan station?
6	A. Yes, I am.
7	Q. Is what's shown on the screen a
8	reasonably accurate aerial photograph of the
9	Waukegan station?
10	A. Yes, it is.
11	Q. I'm going to ask you to look at 640 in
12	your binder
13	A. Okay.
14	Q what appears to be a survey of the
15	Waukegan station and ask you if you recognize it
16	and, if so, what is it.
17	Do you recognize Exhibit 640, Ms. Race?
18	A. Yes, I do.
19	Q. What is that document?
20	A. This is the ALTA survey, title survey,
21	that was done for Commonwealth Edison upon the
22	sale to Midwest Generation of the Waukegan
23	coal-fired generating station.
24	Q. And are there any retained tracts for

Page 109 the Waukegan station that ComEd did not sell to 1 Midwest Gen in 1999? 2 3 Α. Yes, there are. 4 And where is that retained tract on the Q. 5 survey using just direction, southwest, 6 northwest, whatever? 7 Α. To the northwest of the property. 8 Ο. And is that the area that is -- is that 9 area depicted up on the aerial? 10 Ms. Race, can you describe -- we don't 11 have it outlined -- but generally where that 12 area is that's the retained tract? There is an area that is 13 Α. Sure. 14 directly to the west of the station that is a 15 switch yard area, like I described earlier, 16 with --17 Q. Do you mind going up there and actually 18 pointing to it so we all have a sense of where it is. 19 20 Sure. So this is the area where the Α. 21 switch yard is (indicating). And the retained 22 tract is through here (indicating). And I 23 believe -- I don't remember -- it goes all the 24 way -- yes. And then all the way over here to

Page 110 the road (indicating). And then comes down 1 2 basically down here (indicating). 3 Okay. So it's like an upsidedown L Ο. 4 shape --5 Α. Yeah. -- running along the west side of the 6 Q. 7 station up towards the north, hanging a right? 8 Α. Yes, exactly. 9 Okay. With respect to that area, Q. 10 again, did Midwest Gen have any rights to use 11 that area in any way or have any access rights 12 to it? 13 Α. We did have access rights to the road 14 that runs around it so that we could -- and also 15 the train tracks there that we use for coal. So 16 those two things, but otherwise, no. 17 Ο. Not to the property itself? 18 Α. Not to the property itself. 19 Q. Okay. Does the -- does the Waukegan 20 station have any ash ponds? Yes, it does. 21 Α. 22 MS. FRANZETTI: Okay. We have Stipulation 23 34, that the east pond and the west pond at 24 Waukegan were originally constructed in 1977

Page 111 with a Hypalon liner. 1 2 BY MS. FRANZETTI: 3 Now I will stay with the aerial Ο. 4 photograph here. Can -- do those blue areas on 5 the aerial identify the east pond and the west 6 pond? 7 Α. Yes, they do. 8 And I take it the east pond is the one 0. 9 on the right towards Lake Michigan? 10 Yes, it is. Α. And the west pond is the one to the 11 Ο. left of the east pond on that aerial; is that 12 13 correct? 14 Α. Yes, it is. 15 MS. FRANZETTI: We have stipulation 37, that 16 only one pond, the east pond or west pond, is in 17 service at a time. 18 Stipulation 35, in 2003, Midwest Gen 19 relined the east pond with a 60-mil HDPE liner. 20 Stipulation 36, in 2004, Midwest Gen 21 relined the west pond with a 60-mil HDPE liner. 22 BY MS. FRANZETTI: 23 So now I am going to ask you to find Q. 24 Exhibit 44 on your table.

Page 112 1 Α. There we go. 2 Take a moment to review Exhibit 44. Ο. 3 You were asked questions about it, but it was 4 back in the October hearing. So can you take a 5 moment to just refresh your recollection about 6 the e-mails that are contained in Exhibit 44. 7 And then tell me when you are done. 8 Α. Yes, I'm ready. 9 All right. Do you generally recall Ο. 10 that you were asked some questions about Exhibit 44 in October's hearing? 11 12 Α. Yes. 13 I want you now to go back to your Ο. 14 binder and look at Exhibit 641, which you were 15 not asked about in October. And if you would 16 just take a moment to review Exhibit 641. It's 17 one page of e-mails between yourself and Jamie Rabins and also between Jamie Rabins and Julia 18 19 Wozniak of September 3, 2014. Tell me when you 20 are ready. 21 Α. I'm ready. 22 Q. Do the e-mails that are in -- well, 23 first of all, do you recognize the e-mails in 24 Exhibit 641?

Page 113 1 Α. Yes, I do. 2 Do the e-mails in Exhibit 641 have any 0. 3 relation to the e-mails that are contained in Exhibit 44? 4 5 Yes, they do. Α. 6 Ο. How are these e-mails related to each 7 other? 8 Α. Well, actually, part of Exhibit 44 is 9 identical -- one page of it is identical to the Bates page here, 44617. 10 11 And do the e-mails that -- that are Ο. 12 highlighted in Exhibit 641, do -- are those the follow-up e-mails to the rest of the e-mails 13 that are in Exhibit 644? 14 15 Α. Yes, they are, because the other 16 e-mails were dated at an earlier date. 17 Ο. Okay. So what was -- what was the nature of the information that was being 18 19 presented or discussed, I guess I should say, 20 with Illinois EPA by yourself and Julia Wozniak 21 of Midwest Gen? 22 Α. Julia at that point was managing NPDES 23 permitting and hadn't been part of the whole 24 history, and so she was asking questions that

	Page 114
1	Jamie Rabins had asked her and she wanted to
2	he wanted to understand better when the liners
3	were lined because he was looking at doing
4	probably a permit renewal at that point, I would
5	think. I know that in 2013 or so there was a
6	hearing.
7	Q. All right. So Ms. Wozniak's primary
8	responsibility at that time was with regard to
9	NPDES permitting issues, is that what you are
10	saying?
11	A. Correct.
12	Q. And you get brought into this e-mail
13	chain with respect to Mr. Raven's questions
14	about the relining of the ponds?
15	A. Correct. Because I was the one with
16	the historical information because I was
17	managing the NPDES permitting during the time
18	period that he is asking about in the early
19	2000's.
20	Q. Okay. So what did you tell Mr. Rabins,
21	Illinois EPA, regarding when each of the
22	Waukegan ponds was relined?
23	A. Well, one of the questions that he had
24	was whether or not IEPA issued a permit to

	Page 115
1	reline the ponds, was a permit applied for. And
2	we said, no, because we were told by Illinois
3	EPA that since largely the same design was used
4	that we would not need to apply for a new permit
5	because we were replacing like with like and
6	they saw it as a maintenance rather than a new
7	construction permit requirement.
8	Q. So is this an instance of this like
9	being replaced with like that you have
10	previously testified about?
11	A. Correct.
12	Q. Okay. So in Exhibit 44, if you go back
13	to that, you are telling IEPA that a relining
14	occurred in 2001. Do you see that at the very
15	top e-mail from you to Lynn Dunaway, August 28,
16	2014?
17	A. Give me a minute here.
18	Can you repeat the question?
19	Q. It's specifically with regard to the
20	e-mail at the top of Exhibit 44.
21	A. Uh-huh.
22	Q. It's from you to Lynn Dunaway,
23	August 28, 2014, regarding the Waukegan station.
24	And it says [as read]: I know that they were

	Page 116
1	already lined when I started working here in
2	2001. We relined them in the 2002 time frame.
3	I don't remember if we had a construction
4	permit.
5	A. Correct.
6	Q. Is that accurate in terms of, were they
7	relined twice in just a couple of years?
8	A. No. I believed in 2001 I think
9	because I was new at that job that the
10	material that they were lined with was HDPE, but
11	I believe it was actually Hypalon.
12	Q. Okay. So was there any relining of the
13	Waukegan ponds before 2003?
14	A. No.
15	MS. BUGEL: Hearing Officer, I just want to
16	object for the record. I feel like the
17	questions have drifted into repeated leading
18	questions.
19	THE HEARING OFFICER: Ms. Franzetti, I have
20	noted that as well. So please
21	MS. FRANZETTI: Okay.
22	BY MS. FRANZETTI:
23	Q. Had any regulatory agency requested the
24	relining of these ponds?

	Page 117
1	A. Of the ponds in 2003 and 2005, no, they
2	had not.
3	Q. Was there a regulatory requirement that
4	they be relined?
5	A. No, there was not.
6	Q. Why was it done?
7	A. It was done for maintenance purposes.
8	The plant decided that it was time to reline the
9	ponds. And so they relined one basin while the
10	other one was in service. And then when the
11	other basin went out of service, they relined
12	that one.
13	Q. And as part of the relining project,
14	was any sampling done of the bottom ash in the
15	ponds?
16	A. I believe there was some sampling
17	performed of the bottom ash in the ponds.
18	Q. Now, going back to your overall
19	relining of the ponds program, that was
20	Exhibit 607 if you need to refer to it after
21	I ask my question, feel free to but were the
22	Waukegan ponds included in that chart you made
23	of the relining program for the Waukegan ash
24	ponds?

	Page 118
1	A. Yes, they were well, wait a minute.
2	Let me check it.
3	Q. Okay. Check it.
4	A. 607.
5	Q. Yeah.
6	A. No, they are not.
7	Q. You made that chart after 2005?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. What's the reason why the Waukegan
10	ponds aren't on there for relining?
11	A. I wouldn't have thought they needed to
12	be relined further for quite some time.
13	Q. Does the ash remain in the Waukegan
14	ponds or is it, you know, routinely removed?
15	A. It's routinely removed from the ash
16	impoundment. So if the west ash basin is in
17	service, then the ash is being removed from the
18	east ash basin, and likewise.
19	Q. And about how often is the ash removed?
20	A. It was removed about annually, so every
21	other year from each station from each
22	impoundment. However, the station is operating
23	now at a lesser capacity factor, so it probably
24	won't be removed quite as often.

	Page 119
1	Q. I am going to ask you to turn to
2	well, before I do that, I'm sorry.
3	MS. FRANZETTI: I would move to admit
4	Exhibit 640 and 641 into evidence.
5	THE HEARING OFFICER: Ms. Bugel?
6	MS. BUGEL: No objection to 640. No
7	objection to 641.
8	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. 640 and 641
9	of Midwest are admitted.
10	(Whereupon documents so
11	offered were received in
12	evidence as Respondent's
13	Exhibits Nos. 640 and 641.)
14	BY MS. FRANZETTI:
15	Q. Turning to Exhibit 642 in your binder,
16	it appears to be an NPDES permit for the
17	Waukegan generating station. Do you recognize
18	it?
19	A. Yes, I do.
20	Q. And what is it?
21	A. It is a modification of the NPDES
22	permit that we we had asked for we had
23	asked for some modifications to the permit at
24	the time that we were going through renewal.

	Page 120
1	But we were afraid it would slow the renewal
2	down, so these modifications were incorporated
3	later.
4	Q. Is this the current permit current
5	NPDES permit for the Waukegan station?
6	A. Yes, it is.
7	Q. I would like you to turn to page 5 of
8	the NPDES permit. And similar to what we have
9	gone over for Joliet 29 and for Powerton, what
10	type of information is shown on page 5 of the
11	NPDES permit for Waukegan?
12	A. The type of information shown here are
13	for outfall CO1, the wastewater treatment
14	system. It states of what the discharge
15	consists. So bottom ash sluice water,
16	1.6 million gallons per day. And some other
17	various assorted runoff from the plant
18	operations.
19	MS. FRANZETTI: I would move to admit
20	Exhibit 642 into evidence.
21	THE HEARING OFFICER: Ms. Bugel?
22	MS. BUGEL: We have the same objection to
23	this NPDES permit as articulated to the other
24	NPDES permits.

	Page 121
1	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay, thanks. I do
2	find it more relevant than not. And I would
3	note for the Board it goes to the weight, not to
4	the admissibility. So 642 of Midwest is
5	admitted over objection.
6	(Whereupon document so
7	offered was received in
8	evidence as Respondent's
9	Exhibit No. 642.)
10	BY MS. FRANZETTI:
11	Q. Bringing back up the Waukegan timeline,
12	do you have an understanding of how long ago the
13	Waukegan station began operating?
14	A. Yes. Waukegan started operating in
15	1923.
16	Q. How many units are currently operating
17	at Waukegan?
18	A. Two units.
19	Q. And did there used to be more?
20	A. Yes, there were six units at one time.
21	Q. With respect to the units that are
22	currently operating and, I'm sorry, did you
23	say two or three?
24	A. Two. Two operating two operating

Page 122 1 units now. 2 Okay. Do you know what numbers those Q. 3 are? 4 Α. Seven and eight. 5 And about how long have they been Q. 6 operating? 7 Α. They have been operating since 1958 and 1962. 8 9 Okay. And approximately how long ago Q. did the units that are no longer operating stop 10 operating? 11 12 They stopped operating quite some time Α. In the late '70s. I'm not sure of the 13 ago. 14 exact date. 15 MS. FRANZETTI: If I can just have a moment. 16 (Short pause in proceedings.) 17 BY MS. FRANZETTI: 18 Q. You have been to the Waukegan station, 19 correct? 20 Α. Correct. 21 Q. You have been there a lot of times, 22 would you say, over the course of your career? 23 Α. Correct, yes. 24 Q. Okay. Have you seen -- and I'm going

Page 123 1 to go back to the aerial now. Have you seen the 2 property that's located immediately to the north 3 of the Waukegan station property? 4 Α. Yes, I have. 5 Are you generally familiar with what Q. 6 that property is? 7 Α. Yes, I am. 8 Ο. What is it? 9 Α. That is the Johns Manville Superfund 10 site. Is there any active Johns Manville 11 Ο. 12 operation in that property to the north? 13 Α. There are cleanup operations ongoing, 14 but no, you know, physical like power plant or 15 something like that. No industrial operations 16 beyond cleanup. 17 Ο. And how did you become aware that that's the Johns Manville Superfund site? 18 Have 19 you had communications with Johns Manville about 20 it? 21 Α. I actually have had some communications 22 with them over the years, largely due to the 23 sand issue that they have with the contamination 24 and asbestos. But also they had contacted me

 one time anecdotally about an arsenic plume that they were seeing on their property. Q. From your involvement in looking at information or responding to questions about that Superfund site, do you have any understanding of how long the operations had gone on at the Johns Manville property? A. I would say that it was probably similar to the units one to five operation. Probably operating since the '20s and, you know, into the '70s, '80s time frame. Q. Okay. Now I'm going to move to the property to the west of the Waukegan station. Do you have any knowledge of how that property has been used? A. Yes. The property to the west has been used as a former tannery site. So, you know, literally a place where tanners worked with acids and a lot of chemicals to take the hair off of animals. And there was a lot of contamination there at that site. And then below that to the south was a boiler site that also is closed but had a number of contaminants that are well-established within 		Page 124
 Q. From your involvement in looking at information or responding to questions about that Superfund site, do you have any understanding of how long the operations had gone on at the Johns Manville property? A. I would say that it was probably similar to the units one to five operation. Probably operating since the '20s and, you know, into the '70s, '80s time frame. Q. Okay. Now I'm going to move to the property to the west of the Waukegan station. Do you have any knowledge of how that property has been used? A. Yes. The property to the west has been used as a former tannery site. So, you know, literally a place where tanners worked with acids and a lot of chemicals to take the hair off of animals. And there was a lot of contamination there at that site. And then below that to the south was a 	1	one time anecdotally about an arsenic plume that
 4 information or responding to questions about 5 that Superfund site, do you have any 6 understanding of how long the operations had 7 gone on at the Johns Manville property? 8 A. I would say that it was probably 9 similar to the units one to five operation. 10 Probably operating since the '20s and, you know, 11 into the '70s, '80s time frame. 12 Q. Okay. Now I'm going to move to the 13 property to the west of the Waukegan station. 14 Do you have any knowledge of how that 15 property has been used? 16 A. Yes. The property to the west has been 17 used as a former tannery site. So, you know, 18 literally a place where tanners worked with 19 acids and a lot of chemicals to take the hair 20 off of animals. And there was a lot of 21 contamination there at that site. 22 And then below that to the south was a 23 boiler site that also is closed but had a number 	2	they were seeing on their property.
 that Superfund site, do you have any understanding of how long the operations had gone on at the Johns Manville property? A. I would say that it was probably similar to the units one to five operation. Probably operating since the '20s and, you know, into the '70s, '80s time frame. Q. Okay. Now I'm going to move to the property to the west of the Waukegan station. Do you have any knowledge of how that property has been used? A. Yes. The property to the west has been used as a former tannery site. So, you know, literally a place where tanners worked with acids and a lot of chemicals to take the hair off of animals. And there was a lot of contamination there at that site. And then below that to the south was a boiler site that also is closed but had a number 	3	Q. From your involvement in looking at
 understanding of how long the operations had gone on at the Johns Manville property? A. I would say that it was probably similar to the units one to five operation. Probably operating since the '20s and, you know, into the '70s, '80s time frame. Q. Okay. Now I'm going to move to the property to the west of the Waukegan station. Do you have any knowledge of how that property has been used? A. Yes. The property to the west has been used as a former tannery site. So, you know, literally a place where tanners worked with acids and a lot of chemicals to take the hair off of animals. And there was a lot of contamination there at that site. And then below that to the south was a boiler site that also is closed but had a number 	4	information or responding to questions about
 gone on at the Johns Manville property? A. I would say that it was probably similar to the units one to five operation. Probably operating since the '20s and, you know, into the '70s, '80s time frame. Q. Okay. Now I'm going to move to the property to the west of the Waukegan station. Do you have any knowledge of how that property has been used? A. Yes. The property to the west has been used as a former tannery site. So, you know, literally a place where tanners worked with acids and a lot of chemicals to take the hair off of animals. And there was a lot of contamination there at that site. And then below that to the south was a boiler site that also is closed but had a number 	5	that Superfund site, do you have any
 A. I would say that it was probably similar to the units one to five operation. Probably operating since the '20s and, you know, into the '70s, '80s time frame. Q. Okay. Now I'm going to move to the property to the west of the Waukegan station. Do you have any knowledge of how that property has been used? A. Yes. The property to the west has been used as a former tannery site. So, you know, literally a place where tanners worked with acids and a lot of chemicals to take the hair off of animals. And there was a lot of contamination there at that site. And then below that to the south was a boiler site that also is closed but had a number 	6	understanding of how long the operations had
 9 similar to the units one to five operation. 10 Probably operating since the '20s and, you know, 11 into the '70s, '80s time frame. 12 Q. Okay. Now I'm going to move to the 13 property to the west of the Waukegan station. 14 Do you have any knowledge of how that 15 property has been used? 16 A. Yes. The property to the west has been 17 used as a former tannery site. So, you know, 18 literally a place where tanners worked with 19 acids and a lot of chemicals to take the hair 20 off of animals. And there was a lot of 21 contamination there at that site. 22 And then below that to the south was a 23 boiler site that also is closed but had a number 	7	gone on at the Johns Manville property?
 Probably operating since the '20s and, you know, into the '70s, '80s time frame. Q. Okay. Now I'm going to move to the property to the west of the Waukegan station. Do you have any knowledge of how that property has been used? A. Yes. The property to the west has been used as a former tannery site. So, you know, literally a place where tanners worked with acids and a lot of chemicals to take the hair off of animals. And there was a lot of contamination there at that site. And then below that to the south was a boiler site that also is closed but had a number 	8	A. I would say that it was probably
 into the '70s, '80s time frame. Q. Okay. Now I'm going to move to the property to the west of the Waukegan station. Do you have any knowledge of how that property has been used? A. Yes. The property to the west has been used as a former tannery site. So, you know, literally a place where tanners worked with acids and a lot of chemicals to take the hair off of animals. And there was a lot of contamination there at that site. And then below that to the south was a boiler site that also is closed but had a number 	9	similar to the units one to five operation.
 Q. Okay. Now I'm going to move to the property to the west of the Waukegan station. Do you have any knowledge of how that property has been used? A. Yes. The property to the west has been used as a former tannery site. So, you know, literally a place where tanners worked with acids and a lot of chemicals to take the hair off of animals. And there was a lot of contamination there at that site. And then below that to the south was a boiler site that also is closed but had a number 	10	Probably operating since the '20s and, you know,
 property to the west of the Waukegan station. Do you have any knowledge of how that property has been used? A. Yes. The property to the west has been used as a former tannery site. So, you know, literally a place where tanners worked with acids and a lot of chemicals to take the hair off of animals. And there was a lot of contamination there at that site. And then below that to the south was a boiler site that also is closed but had a number 	11	into the '70s, '80s time frame.
14Do you have any knowledge of how that15property has been used?16A. Yes. The property to the west has been17used as a former tannery site. So, you know,18literally a place where tanners worked with19acids and a lot of chemicals to take the hair20off of animals. And there was a lot of21contamination there at that site.22And then below that to the south was a23boiler site that also is closed but had a number	12	Q. Okay. Now I'm going to move to the
 property has been used? A. Yes. The property to the west has been used as a former tannery site. So, you know, literally a place where tanners worked with acids and a lot of chemicals to take the hair off of animals. And there was a lot of contamination there at that site. And then below that to the south was a boiler site that also is closed but had a number 	13	property to the west of the Waukegan station.
A. Yes. The property to the west has been used as a former tannery site. So, you know, literally a place where tanners worked with acids and a lot of chemicals to take the hair off of animals. And there was a lot of contamination there at that site. And then below that to the south was a boiler site that also is closed but had a number	14	Do you have any knowledge of how that
 17 used as a former tannery site. So, you know, 18 literally a place where tanners worked with 19 acids and a lot of chemicals to take the hair 20 off of animals. And there was a lot of 21 contamination there at that site. 22 And then below that to the south was a 23 boiler site that also is closed but had a number 	15	property has been used?
18 literally a place where tanners worked with 19 acids and a lot of chemicals to take the hair 20 off of animals. And there was a lot of 21 contamination there at that site. 22 And then below that to the south was a 23 boiler site that also is closed but had a number	16	A. Yes. The property to the west has been
 acids and a lot of chemicals to take the hair off of animals. And there was a lot of contamination there at that site. And then below that to the south was a boiler site that also is closed but had a number 	17	used as a former tannery site. So, you know,
20 off of animals. And there was a lot of 21 contamination there at that site. 22 And then below that to the south was a 23 boiler site that also is closed but had a number	18	literally a place where tanners worked with
21 contamination there at that site. 22 And then below that to the south was a 23 boiler site that also is closed but had a number	19	acids and a lot of chemicals to take the hair
And then below that to the south was a boiler site that also is closed but had a number	20	off of animals. And there was a lot of
23 boiler site that also is closed but had a number	21	contamination there at that site.
	22	And then below that to the south was a
24 of contaminants that are well-established within	23	boiler site that also is closed but had a number
	24	of contaminants that are well-established within

	Page 125
1	Illinois EPA's records because they have been
2	involved in state site remediation programs as
3	well.
4	MS. BUGEL: I'm going to object to the long
5	narrative answer and the speculation that was
6	contained in the answer. The assumption of
7	facts, the claims of knowledge of what is in
8	IEPA records without documentation, and the
9	claims as to knowledge of how a tannery works.
10	MS. FRANZETTI: I'm willing to ask some more
11	follow-up questions to lay the foundation.
12	THE HEARING OFFICER: Please do. I agree
13	with Ms. Bugel at this point, but please follow
14	up. Thank you.
15	BY MS. FRANZETTI:
16	Q. How did you become aware of this
17	tannery site?
18	A. I became first aware of the tannery
19	site because we were approached when I was first
20	working at Midwest Generation to get an
21	environmental land use control by Pete McCauley
22	who worked for Commonwealth Edison.
23	Q. And why did ComEd want an ELUC or
24	environmental land use control for
1	

	Page 12
1	MS. BUGEL: Objection. I apologize for
2	interrupting. Objection. Calls for
3	speculation.
4	MS. FRANZETTI: I will rephrase it.
5	BY MS. FRANZETTI:
6	Q. What did this representative, Pete
7	McCauley
8	A. Uh-huh.
9	Q tell you was the reason or reasons
10	why ComEd was requesting an ELUC from Midwest
11	Gen?
12	MS. BUGEL: And I'm going to object to this
13	question also calling for hearsay.
14	THE HEARING OFFICER: Overruled. You may
15	answer if you are able.
16	THE WITNESS: Pete McCauley told me that in
17	their site investigations that they had found
18	that there were some plumes of chemical coming
19	onto our site, including arsinic, and that
20	because of that they wanted to make an agreement
21	with us that we wouldn't use our potable water
22	and do that through an ELUC.
23	BY MS. FRANZETTI:
24	Q. Now, with respect to ComEd's

L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C. 312-419-9292 6

Page 127 1 investigation, was there any actual sampling involved? 2 Yes, there was sampling involved, and I 3 Α. 4 received all of that material from Pete 5 McCauley. 6 Q. And by "that material," do you mean the 7 sampling results that ComEd obtained from doing 8 that investigation? 9 Yes. I had a couple of giant binders Α. 10 full of sampling results from that site. 11 And did you review that data? Ο. 12 Yes, I did. Α. And what did that review tell you about 13 Ο. 14 what the data showed with regard to impacts? 15 Α. Between that and the modeling data that 16 they had also had performed by a gentleman at 17 URS, and then I believe he changed companies to 18 another company, but he had found that there was 19 a plume of arsenic, iron, and manganese coming 20 onto our site. 21 And so the ELUC was to cover those 22 things. And they wanted to monitor our site to 23 make sure that -- to see where the extent of the 24 contamination might have gone.

	Page 128
1	Q. Now, earlier you started talking about
2	the history of the tannery site. Did you ever
3	receive information about the history of the
4	tannery site to review?
5	A. Yes. That was in the documentation I
6	received from Mr. McCauley.
7	Q. And did you review that information?
8	A. Yes, I did.
9	MS. FRANZETTI: With that follow up line of
10	questioning, Mr. Hearing Officer, I would submit
11	that the prior answer that was objected to
12	should stand. The requisite foundation for her
13	knowledge of those facts has been laid.
14	MS. BUGEL: I believe that there hasn't been
15	any response to my objection regarding
16	presumptions as to IEPA.
17	MS. FRANZETTI: Oh. You know what, that part
18	I don't have a problem with. But
19	everything else I believe is
20	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. The record will
21	so note. Accepted. Thank you.
22	BY MS. FRANZETTI:
23	Q. With respect to your exhibit book
24	there, would you turn to Exhibit 643, please.

Page 129 Do you recognize that document? 1 2 Α. Yes, I do. 3 What is it? Ο. Α. This is the radial investigation report 4 5 for the tannery that we are talking about that 6 was received by IEPA on April 4, 1994. 7 Q. Who prepared it? 8 Α. It was prepared by Metcalf & Eddy. 9 Ο. For who? 10 Α. For Commonwealth Edison. 11 Now, is this part of historical site Ο. 12 operations of the tannery that you were referring to in your prior answer that you 13 reviewed? 14 15 That is correct. Α. 16 MS. FRANZETTI: I would move to admit Exhibit 17 643 into evidence. 18 MS. BUGEL: No objection. Thank you, Ms. Bugel. 19 THE HEARING OFFICER: 20 Respondent's Exhibit 643 is admitted. 21 (Whereupon document so 22 offered was received in 23 evidence as Respondent's 24 Exhibit No. 643.)

Page 130 BY MS. FRANZETTI: 1 2 Can I ask you to turn to page Bates Q. 3 number 47089. At the top it should say, 4 Section 2.0, Site Background? 5 Α. Yes, it does. 6 Ο. All right. And can you take a moment 7 to review particularly under Section 2.2, Site 8 History, and my question is: Did this provide 9 information relating to the types of chemicals that were involved in the tannery process that 10 11 used to operate on that property? 12 This document does show impacts that Α. 13 would be attributed to the tannery process and 14 the types of chemicals that were used on site. 15 Ο. Do any of the chemicals listed include 16 borax? 17 Α. Yes, they do. 18 Q. Other than a tannery process, does this 19 report note any other historical use of the 20 tannery property? 21 Α. Yes. 22 Q. What is that other use besides a 23 tannery? 24 Falcon Marine Company used a portion of Α.

	Page 131
1	the area for winter storage of boats and
2	recreational vehicles and that there was
3	evidence of dumping of miscellaneous materials
4	apparent in this area during previous site
5	investigations.
6	Q. Okay. And turning to page Bates 47180.
7	I'm going backwards now in the document. No,
8	I'm not. I'm sorry. 47181, keep going.
9	A. Okay.
10	Q. What type of information is shown in
11	the document beginning at page 47181?
12	A. This is the boring log for well
13	number Monitoring Well Number 5.
14	Q. And what does that boring log
15	information generally show with respect to
16	and I'm specifically directing you to the fourth
17	and fifth layers that are shown in that boring?
18	A. Well, in the fourth and fifth layers,
19	dark gray, wet loose poorly graded fine to very
20	fine sand, trace medium sand, trace to little
21	silt, and trace organic material.
22	Q. And now go backwards to the page right
23	before it, 47180, and compare the fourth and
24	fifth layers there. Are they similar or are

Page 132 there different materials contained in that 1 2 bore? 3 In this boring for Monitoring Well-4, Α. 4 in the fourth layer it states [as read]: Medium 5 density very poorly graded fine to very fine 6 sand, trace medium and coarse sand, trace coal 7 and peat material. 8 So the trace coal is different than in 9 the other boring log. And moving down to the fifth layer, is 10 Q. 11 there any coal material or peat material identified there? 12 13 Α. Yes, there is. 14 Q. Now, go back to the next page, page 15 181, and go up to the top layer of the boring --16 the information presented for the top layer of 17 that boring. 18 Α. Okay. 19 And what did that show? Ο. 20 It showed also very loose well-graded Α. 21 fine and medium sand, little coarse sand, trace 22 to little fine angular slag and gravels, and a 23 trace of silt. 24 The reference to angular slag, what Q.

Page 133 does that mean to you? 1 2 That sounds like slag from a coal fired Α. 3 unit to me. 4 Is slag sometimes used in these boring Q. 5 reports to have a meaning similar to ash material? 6 7 Α. Yes, it usually --8 MS. BUGEL: Objection. Calls for 9 speculation. 10 THE HEARING OFFICER: Could you rephrase I think you can work it out. 11 that. 12 MS. FRANZETTI: Okay. BY MS. FRANZETTI: 13 14 Ms. Race, have you worked in the past Q. 15 with this boring log information? 16 Α. Yes. 17 Ο. And have you actually at times spoken to people who -- the outside consultants who 18 19 analyzed boring results? 20 Yes, I have. Α. 21 Q. And in those conversations, what have 22 you learned in terms of the use of the term 23 "slag" and at times the use of the word "ash" in 24 describing the contents of boring logs?

	Page 134
1	A. Slag is a type of bottom ash. It's
2	specific generally to cyclone boilers.
3	Q. I'm going to turn now to Exhibit 644 in
4	your book. It appears to be the Phase II
5	remedial investigation report, former
6	Griess-Pflager Tannery Site, Waukegan, Illinois.
7	It's got a stamp received site stamp received
8	date November 30, 1995.
9	Do you recognize this document?
10	A. Yes, I do.
11	Q. What is it?
12	A. This is a Phase II document for the
13	tannery of which we have been speaking that was
14	prepared by Metcalf & Eddy for Commonwealth
15	Edison.
16	Q. How did you get this document?
17	A. I got this from Mr. McCauley.
18	Q. Who worked for ComEd?
19	A. Who worked for ComEd.
20	Q. And why was he providing you with this
21	document?
22	A. I asked him for
23	MS. BUGEL: Objection. Withdrawn.
24	THE HEARING OFFICER: Ms. Bugel?

	Electronic Filing: Received, Clerk's Office 2/5/2018
	Page 135
1	MS. BUGEL: Withdrawn.
2	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
3	You may proceed.
4	THE WITNESS: I asked him for all the
5	information regarding the tannery site so that I
6	could make a judgement about the environmental
7	land use control agreement.
8	BY MS. FRANZETTI:
9	Q. And what just generally, what type
10	of information does a Phase II report like this
11	provide to you regarding ComEd's request for an
12	ELUC from Midwest Gen for a portion of the
13	Waukegan station property?
14	A. Well, it gives you an idea of, a
15	snapshot in time again, of what they found, and
16	the dates that are listed in this document,
17	about the sampling results of well borings or
18	soil sampling that was performed at the tannery
19	site.
20	Q. Was any groundwater monitoring
21	performed as part of this Phase II?
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. Turning to page 46627, Section 4.0,
24	Nature and Extent of Contamination. And the

	Page 136
1	first section on this page is 4.1, Groundwater.
2	Do you see where I'm referring to?
3	A. Yes, I do.
4	Q. What type of information did this
5	section of the report provide to you?
6	A. This provides a summary of analytical
7	results. You know, it's basically the first
8	part of the groundwater description for the
9	nature and extent of contamination.
10	Q. Okay. What did you learn about the
11	groundwater conditions at the adjacent tannery
12	site from the information presented in this part
13	of the report?
14	A. That, indeed, as Mr. McCauley of ComEd
15	had represented, that groundwater containing
16	arsenic exceeding the Illinois Class 1 standard
17	had migrated to the eastern edge of the tannery
18	property.
19	Q. Continuing on in this section of the
20	report, and particularly with respect to
21	pages let me get the page 46629, Bates
22	number, and continuing over to page 46630, was
23	there also additional information on other
24	contaminants presented in this report?

	Page 137
1	A. Yes, other contaminants that were above
2	the Class 1 standard were cadmium, mercury,
3	lead, manganese, iron. Also total dissolved
4	solids.
5	And it notes that total chromium
6	concentrations from Phase I sampling event are
7	comparable to those obtained for filtered Phase
8	II sampling samples.
9	Q. And what does that mean?
10	A. In the field when you're taking a
11	groundwater sample, you have a choice to either
12	filter it or not filter it before it goes to the
13	laboratory for testing.
14	Q. And so what was that telling you about
15	filtered and non-filtered results?
16	A. Well, it
17	MS. BUGEL: I'm going to object to the line
18	of questioning. It is calling for expert
19	opinions.
20	THE HEARING OFFICER: Overruled. You may
21	proceed.
22	THE WITNESS: It's saying that the total
23	chromium that the results for a filtered
24	sample and unfiltered sample were basically the

	Page 138
1	same.
2	BY MS. FRANZETTI:
3	Q. Let me take you back to page 46627.
4	Did this report provide you with any information
5	relating to the extent of the arsenic
6	contamination or the arsenic plume?
7	A. It this doesn't contain the modeling
8	that was done later, but it does give you an
9	idea of where they are finding arsenic in the
10	wells and that they need to install more wells
11	in order to find out more information.
12	Q. And did the information here show that
13	the arsenic plume had actually migrated over to
14	Midwest Gen property?
15	A. They knew that it had migrated to the
16	eastern edge of the property. Give me a minute
17	here.
18	Q. Okay.
19	A. And, yes, two monitoring wells were
20	installed as part of the Phase II-A
21	investigation. This was a multi-phased
22	investigation, which is why I need to look at
23	the document.
24	And, yes, they had installed two

	Page 139
1	additional monitoring wells on Waukegan's
2	property and found that arsenic had migrated
3	there at this point in time.
4	MS. FRANZETTI: I would move to admit
5	Exhibit 644.
6	THE HEARING OFFICER: Ms. Bugel?
7	MS. BUGEL: No objection.
8	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
9	Respondent's Exhibit 644 is admitted.
10	(Whereupon document so
11	offered was received in
12	evidence as Respondent's
13	Exhibit No. 644.)
14	BY MS. FRANZETTI:
15	Q. I'm going to turn to Exhibit 645.
16	Would you take a moment to look at it and tell
17	me if you recognize the document.
18	A. Yes, I do.
19	Q. What is it?
20	A. This is a remedial objectives report
21	remediation objective report that was put
22	together by RETEC, the group that initially was
23	doing the modeling for Commonwealth Edison for
24	the tannery site.

	Page 140
1	Q. How did you get this document?
2	A. I asked for this document in 2002 when
3	we were looking at the environmental land use
4	control. So this came as a large package of
5	documents.
6	Q. And when you referenced you were
7	looking at the environmental land use control,
8	the one that ComEd was requesting Midwest Gen
9	accept and agree to?
10	A. Correct. So this was part of the large
11	document request that I received.
12	Q. Okay. Generally, what kind of
13	information was ComEd providing to you in
14	Exhibit 645, the remediation objectives report?
15	A. Generally, what types of exposures
16	could happen, whether there were, you know,
17	groundwater soil, et cetera, exposures. And so
18	what the remediation should be.
19	Q. Let me direct your attention to
20	pages 46255 and 56 of this document. It's going
21	to be about 20 or so-ish pages in. Tell me when
22	you're there.
23	A. I am there.
24	Q. All right. Specifically as to

Page 141 1 groundwater contamination, how did ComEd propose 2 to address the groundwater contamination per 3 this report? 4 Commonwealth Edison was looking at a Α. 5 variety of ways that they could possibly deal 6 with the contamination. And in the case of 7 46255 -- is that the page you want me at? 8 Ο. Uh-huh. 9 -- they were looking particularly at Α. 10 objectives for direct contact pathways. So, for example, to address exceedents of the arsenic 11 12 action level of 800 milligrams per kilogram in certain areas, all the soil with concentrations 13 14 exceeding that level would be removed and 15 disposed of off-site. 16 And would they do anything else with Q. 17 respect to the preventing exposure to the soils? 18 That -- they looked at that as Α. Yes. 19 reducing the average concentration of arsenic in 20 the area that they were modeling. So there 21 wouldn't be as much arsenic in the future coming 22 on to the site. 23 And did they -- besides removing the Ο. 24 soil, what were they going to do about the

Page 142 impacted groundwater? 1 2 The impacted groundwater was the reason Α. 3 that they wanted the environmental land use 4 control, so that we would make the commitment 5 not to use the groundwater and to -- if soil was 6 disturbed and it had been impacted by the 7 groundwater, that we would appropriately manage 8 it. Or if our workers were in contact with 9 those soils, would deal with that appropriately. Was ComEd going to do anything to treat 10 Q. the arsenic in the groundwater to reduce it? 11 12 Α. No. MS. FRANZETTI: I would move to admit 13 Exhibit 645 into evidence. 14 15 MS. BUGEL: No objection. 16 THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Ms. Bugel. 17 Respondent's Exhibit 645 will be admitted. 18 (Whereupon document so offered was received in 19 20 evidence as Respondent's 21 Exhibit No. 645.) 22 BY MS. FRANZETTI: 23 Turning to Exhibit 646, this appears to Q. 24 be an ELUC agreement. Do you recognize it?

1

Α.

Yes, I do.

Page 143 ental land use

2 And what is it? Q. 3 The -- it is an environmental land use Α. 4 control agreement that was made by Midwest 5 Generation for the property at Waukegan station 6 to limit the land use related to the 7 environmental contamination that was coming from 8 the tannery site. 9 If you turn to page 12721 of Q. Okay. 10 this ELUC agreement. And it has a figure on there, and there is a dark black area on that 11 12 figure. What is the significance of that 13 darkened black area on that figure? 14 Α. That area shows the area of the 15 environmental land use control agreement. 16 And did Midwest Gen agree to this --Ο. 17 enter into this ELUC for ComEd? Yes, we did. 18 Α. 19 All right. Now, directing your Q. 20 attention up to the screen, there is a purple 21 hash-marked area outlined on the aerial map of 22 Waukegan. Is that a reasonably accurate 23 depiction of the area of the ELUC that Midwest 24 Gen agreed to enter into with ComEd?

Page 144 1 Yes, it is. Α. 2 And that area -- the ELUC area is Q. 3 entirely on Midwest Gen property, correct? 4 Α. Correct. 5 Q. With respect -- give me just a moment. 6 If you go to page 12717, do you see a 7 signature on that page? 8 Α. Yes, I do. 9 And that is the signature of Georgia Q. Nelson. Was she the president at that time of 10 11 Midwest Gen? 12 Α. Yes, she was. Okay. Was she president, in part, 13 Q. 14 during the time you worked there? 15 Yes, she was. Α. I would move for Exhibit 646 16 MS. FRANZETTI: 17 to be admitted into evidence. 18 MS. BUGEL: No objection. 19 THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. 20 Respondent's Exhibit 646 is admitted. 21 (Whereupon document so 22 offered was received in 23 evidence as Respondent's 24 Exhibit No. 646.)

Page 145 1 BY MS. FRANZETTI: 2 Turn to page 12714 of the ELUC, Q. 3 Exhibit 646, specifically starting at the bottom 4 of that page, Section 6, Indemnity, and going 5 over on to the top of the next page. If you would just read that to yourself for the moment, 6 7 and then I have a question. 8 Α. Okay. 9 What's your understanding of this Ο. indemnity provision of the ELUC? 10 11 That if iron, manganese, or arsenic, Α. 12 which are known as the hazardous materials in here, are released, that Commonwealth Edison 13 14 takes on the entire liability for that. 15 Ο. And are you referring to the last part 16 of that section at the top of page 12715? 17 Α. Yes, I am. And so that refers to this indemnity 18 Q. 19 obligation relating to [as read]: The presence, 20 discharge, disposal, migration, or release of a hazardous or toxic waste substance or 21 22 constituent as defined in any applicable 23 federal, state, or local law, ordinance or 24 regulation, including without limitation, iron,

Page 146 1 manganese, and arsenic. 2 So those three were specified, correct? 3 Α. Correct. Was it limited to only those three in 4 Q. 5 where it says including without limitation? 6 What's your understanding? 7 It doesn't necessarily have to be Α. limited. 8 9 Q. Okay. Did ComEd have to do any continued groundwater monitoring --10 11 Α. Yes. 12 -- as part of this ELUC agreement? Ο. 13 Α. Yes, they did. 14 Ο. All right. And do you know how 15 frequently, approximately? 16 It was semiannual for a while, and they Α. 17 also -- and I don't remember all the details. But it depends upon which constituent it is and 18 19 whether or not it seems to be increasing, 20 whether they can drop -- or if it's decreasing 21 after a certain number of years, they can drop 22 the sampling to annual or every five years or 23 something like that. 24 Okay. I want you to take a look --Q.

Page 147 it's going to be on your desk, not in your 1 binder -- at Exhibit 41. 2 3 Okay. I have a 41-F. Α. 4 Q. Yes. 5 Α. Okay. Exhibit 41-F appears to be an Andrews 6 Ο. 7 Engineering evaluation of the RETEC reports of 8 the tannery site. Do you recognize this 9 document? 10 Α. Yes, I do. 11 What is it? Ο. 12 It's a document that we asked Andrews Α. 13 Environmental Engineering to put together to review the reports by RETEC. We, essentially, 14 15 wanted a consultant of our own to look at the 16 work RETEC was doing and make sure that it made 17 sense. 18 Q. And these are the RETEC reports for 19 ComEd on the tannery site? 20 Α. The sampling results. Okay. What did Andrews conclude based 21 Q. 22 on its review of the RETEC sampling results? 23 MS. BUGEL: Objection. Calls for 24 speculation.

	Page 148
1	THE HEARING OFFICER: Rephrase.
2	BY MS. FRANZETTI:
3	Q. Ms. Race, did you review this
4	Exhibit 41-F that Andrews prepared at your
5	request?
6	A. Yes, I did.
7	Q. And based on that review, do you have
8	an understanding of what Andrews concluded with
9	regard to its analysis of the RETEC reports,
10	including their sample results?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. What's that understanding?
13	A. Well, my understanding is that there
14	were some there were ways in which our
15	consultant considered what RETEC did to be
16	inaccurate.
17	Q. In what respect?
18	A. Like, for example, an arsenic it was
19	different for each thing. So for arsenic, there
20	were high levels in the groundwater consistently
21	at monitoring well MW-11, which led them to
22	believe that the source concentration at the
23	location was higher than the average value they
24	were using in their modeling.

	······································
	Page 149
1	Q. That RETEC was using in its modeling?
2	A. That RETEC so in other words, there
3	were things that were skewing the numbers to be
4	lower in the modeling than we were actually
5	seeing in real life, like arsenic, for example.
6	Q. Okay. Would it be accurate to say then
7	that Andrews thought that the RETEC modeling may
8	have understated the actual degree of
9	contamination?
10	A. Yes, that's true.
11	Q. Did Andrews basically conclude that
12	concentrations of arsenic, iron arsenic and
13	iron were exceeding the applicable groundwater
14	standards?
15	A. Yes. And the other thing to note is
16	that because there was a transcription error in
17	the RETEC report, there were they were using
18	those incorrect iron results to do calculations
19	that were carrying forward because every time
20	they did a report, they would still include
21	those two numbers. So finding those numbers and
22	that they needed to be corrected was also
23	another thing that Andrews did.
24	Q. All right. Does that pretty well cover

Page 150 the Andrews conclusions with respect to the 1 2 arsenic and iron --3 Α. Yes. 4 -- exceedents? Okay. I would move --Q. 5 oh, that's already admitted. I don't need to 6 admit that. 7 Moving on, if you can find Exhibit 8 42.5, Complainant's Exhibit 42.5. 9 Α. I have a 42.5-F. Yes, sorry. I keep dropping the F. 10 Q. Ι don't know why. 11 12 This looks like it's a July 21, 2016, 13 URS report to a Mark Castro, senior remediation 14 project manager at Commonwealth Edison. Do you 15 recognize this document? 16 Yes, I do. Α. 17 Ο. And what is this document? 18 This is one of the documents that we Α. 19 receive on a regular basis from URS from David 20 Merry, who was the modeler doing trend analyses 21 for what's going on at the ELUC area. So this 22 is Commonwealth Edison's consultant making their 23 report to Commonwealth Edison and providing it 24 to us.

 Q. And what type of information are they basing the report on? A. The information that they are receiving from monitoring wells. Q. From sampling those wells? A. Sampling, sampling.
A. The information that they are receiving from monitoring wells. Q. From sampling those wells?
<pre>4 from monitoring wells. 5 Q. From sampling those wells?</pre>
5 Q. From sampling those wells?
6 A. Sampling, sampling.
7 Q. Now, on page 3, are you copied on this
8 letter?
9 A. Yes, I am.
10 Q. And was that typical, that you would be
11 sent copies of these letters during this time
12 frame?
13 A. Yes. Generally it would be the station
14 environmental specialist and myself.
15 Q. Okay. What did this report tell you
16 particularly as to arsenic in terms of whether
17 or not the concentrations of arsenic in the
18 groundwater were increasing or decreasing or
19 something else?
20 A. That there are no significant downward
21 trends of arsenic, so they have to continue
22 monitoring on an annual basis.
23 Q. So it's not decreasing?
24 A. It's not decreasing.

	Page 152
1	Q. What did it tell you about total
2	dissolved solids concentrations in the
3	groundwater?
4	A. That the groundwater monitoring had to
5	change back from every five years to annually
6	since there's an increasing trend in total
7	dissolved solids.
8	Q. Turning to table two that's attached to
9	this letter, it's at Bates 61558, what type
10	A. I'm sorry. Table two?
11	Q. Yes. It should be Bates 61558.
12	A. Okay. Thank you.
13	Q. What type of information is contained
14	in table two?
15	A. Table two has the groundwater
16	analytical results specifically from the Midwest
17	Generation ELUC area.
18	Q. Okay. And just for the record, does
19	that area contain monitoring wells MW-10 through
20	MW-15?
21	A. Yes, it does.
22	Q. Do you have a do you know whether
23	those wells are downgradient or upgradient of
24	the tannery property?

	Page 153
1	A. Yes, they are downgradient.
2	Q. So they should be monitoring
3	groundwater that is migrating off of the tannery
4	property, is that your understanding
5	A. Correct.
6	Q of what their purpose is?
7	A. Correct.
8	Q. All right. So in 2016, what
9	constituents did URS sample and what's reported
10	here?
11	A. They sampled for arsenic, iron,
12	manganese, and total dissolved solids.
13	Q. Okay. And with respect to those
14	parameters that they sampled for in the wells on
15	Midwest Gen's property in the ELUC area, what
16	are they showing in terms of the levels of
17	manganese, arsenic, iron, and total dissolved
18	solids?
19	A. Monitoring Wells 10 and 11 are showing
20	relatively high numbers. Arsenic is above the
21	Groundwater 1 standard, for example. And some
22	of the other wells I mean, there are detects
23	in almost every well. And the only non-detect
24	is for arsenic in monitoring well 13 and on

	Page 154
1	12/17/2003. So this shows several you know,
2	like, 2003, 2004, the two sampling episodes in
3	2004. And so you're not seeing any real
4	non-detects here.
5	Q. Okay. I'm going to ask you to turn to
6	Exhibit 19-D. That's, again, going to be in
7	your pile
8	A. Okay.
9	Q not in your binder. And it should
10	say Commonwealth Edison Company on the front,
11	Phase II Environmental Site Assessment.
12	A. I might need a little help with this.
13	I can't find it.
14	Q. Okay.
15	A. It's right in front of me. Thank you.
16	Q. Okay. Looking at Exhibit 19-D, do you
17	recognize the document?
18	A. Yes, I do.
19	Q. What is it?
20	A. This is the Commonwealth Edison Phase
21	II Environmental Site Assessment that was done
22	by ENSR in November of 1998.
23	Q. Okay. And is this similar report in
24	terms of the type and nature of information it

	Page 155
1	contained to the ENSR Phase II reports we have
2	already discussed with respect to Joliet and
3	Powerton?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. And did you utilize this report in the
6	same way as you've already testified you would
7	use the information in it for Powerton and
8	Joliet 29?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. And turn to page 45800. It's, again,
11	Section 4.1.4. That's entitled groundwater
12	A. I see that.
13	Q do you see where I'm referring to?
14	Okay. Now, specifically on this
15	report, in that section, what did this report
16	tell you?
17	A. It says that [as read]: Arsenic is the
18	only constituent of concern detected at
19	concentrations above the IEPA cleanup
20	objectives.
21	And that was at that time in 1998.
22	Q. Okay. So arsenic is there in 1998.
23	Can you tell which area that arsenic has been
24	detected in at that time?

1	Page 156
1	A. [As read]: A construction debris area
2	that's in the southwestern corner of the
3	facility by Monitoring Well 11 adjacent to the
4	former tannery property owned by Commonwealth
5	Edison.
6	Q. Okay. Moving ahead to 2009, if we can
7	go to the timeline, please.
8	The ash ponds at Waukegan, they were
9	also the subject of Illinois EPA's request that
10	Midwest Gen do a hydrogeologic assessment of its
11	stations, correct?
12	A. Correct.
13	Q. Okay. So when Midwest Gen submitted
14	the preliminary hydrogeologic assessment and
15	potable well survey that was Exhibit 621, did
16	that also cover Waukegan?
17	A. Let me take a look.
18	Q. Sure.
19	A. Yes, it did.
20	Q. And what did that assessment tell the
21	IEPA regarding the condition of the ash ponds at
22	the Waukegan station?
23	A. That they had been relined relatively
24	recently and they were in good condition.

	Page 157
1	Q. What did it tell them about their liner
2	condition before the recent relinement?
3	A. That it was they were in good
4	condition then as well.
5	Q. Regarding the potable wells portion
6	I'm sorry, let me rephrase that.
7	Regarding the potable wells survey
8	portion of the report, what did the report tell
9	Illinois EPA about the presence of any potable
10	wells?
11	A. That there were eight potable
12	industrial well use wells within 2,500 feet
13	of Waukegan's ash impoundments, but they are
14	the ash ponds are located in close proximity to
15	Lake Michigan and groundwater is believed to
16	flow towards the lake; therefore, none of the
17	potable wells used for drinking water supplies
18	to the east or south of the ash pond would be
19	impacted if there was a release.
20	Q. Okay. So were any of those potable
21	wells downgradient of the ash pound?
22	A. No, they were not.
23	Q. Did IEPA ask Midwest Gen to install
24	groundwater monitoring wells at Waukegan?

	Page 158			
1	A. Yes, they did.			
2	Q. And did it?			
3	A. Yes.			
4	Q. And you are familiar with the			
5	installation and monitoring of those wells?			
6	A. Yes, I am.			
7	Q. Let's bring up the aerial map, okay.			
8	And you see a bunch of red flags with			
9	numbers up there?			
10	A. Yes.			
11	Q. Based on your familiarity with the			
12	monitoring well network installed at the			
13	Waukegan station, does the aerial with those red			
14	flags reasonably and accurately depict the			
15	location of the monitoring wells installed at			
16	the Waukegan station?			
17	A. It represents the current wells			
18	installed at the station.			
19	Q. So was this done in more than one			
20	phase, the installation of the wells?			
21	A. Yes, it was.			
22	Q. Okay. Do you recall generally			
23	approximately how many wells were installed in			
24	Phase I? And by that, I mean most of them or			

Page 159 1 half? 2 In Phase I of the groundwater Α. No. 3 monitoring that we were doing around the ash 4 impoundments, only five wells were installed. 5 And then IEPA wanted us to install several other 6 wells after we started getting results back. 7 Q. Okay. 8 Α. Which we did do. 9 Can I direct your attention to the Q. grassy area to the west of the ash ponds. 10 Do you understand generally where I'm referring to 11 on the aerial? 12 13 Α. Yes, I do. 14 Q. Okay. Now, with respect to that 15 area -- and I want you to turn back to -- on 16 Exhibit 19-D, actually, there is actually a map 17 right here (indicating). 18 All right. Α. 19 If you could go to Bates number 45814 Q. 20 on Complainant's Exhibit 19-D. 21 Α. Okay. 22 Q. Is that area to the west of the ponds 23 there shown on that figure? 24 Α. Yes.

			Page	160
1	Q. How i	s it identified on that i	figure?	
2	A. It is	called a former slag/fly	y ash	
3	storage area.			
4	Q. Now,	do you know, has Midwest	Gen	
5	determined if	there is ash in that area	a?	
6	A. No, w	e haven't done any sampli	ing	
7	specifically t	o understand that.		
8	Q. Okay.	Has any environmental a	agency	
9	requested that	you investigate that are	ea?	
10	A. No.			
11	Q. Do th	e CCR rules the federa	al CCR	
12	rules require any investigation or work in this			
13	area?			
14	A. No.			
15	Q. Does	the ComEd tannery site EI	LUC cover	
16	any of that ar	rea?		
17	A. Yes,	it does.		
18	Q. Durin	g your communications wit	ch ComEd	
19	in connection	with the tannery ELUC, ha	as ComEd	
20	ever raised a	concern about this area?		
21	A. No.			
22	Q. Okay.	Did any of your prior o	coal ash	
23	sampling resul	ts play any role in Midwe	est Gen	
24	not investigat	ing this area?		

	Page 161
1	A. We had coal ash sampling rules that
2	showed that the coal ash could be used for
3	beneficial use, so that plays one part of it.
4	But beyond that, the drawings that I have seen
5	of this area identify this as an area that is
6	is to be seeded. I don't know any more about it
7	than that.
8	Q. Okay. I'm going to turn to
9	Complainant's Exhibit 14-C. So, again, that's
10	not in your binder, but it should be.
11	A. I'm getting them all messed up.
12	Q. That's all right. You're entitled.
13	A. Okay.
14	Q. Do you recognize that document?
15	A. Yes, I do.
16	Q. What is that document?
17	A. This is the hydrogeologic assessment
18	report that was prepared for the Waukegan
19	generating station and IEPA by Patrick
20	Engineering and submitted to Illinois EPA in
21	February of 2011.
22	Q. Okay. Like the other reports like this
23	one we have already discussed relating to Joliet
24	29 and Will County, does this report just

Page 162 contain the results of the first round of 1 2 quarterly groundwater sampling? 3 Α. Yes, it does. What did the results of that first 4 Q. 5 quarter groundwater sampling show? 6 Α. Well, the first round showed that Monitoring Well 5, which was the upgradient 7 8 monitoring well from the ash impoundments, was 9 higher in many constituents than the 10 downgradient wells were. 11 Okay. Did that surprise you? Ο. 12 Α. Yeah. All right. 13 Ο. What --14 Α. In a way but -- let me continue -- in a 15 way it did not because I know this is an old historical area. 16 17 Q. And so why is the fact that it's an old 18 historical area relevant to the discovery of 19 impacts in a well that's upgradient to the ash 20 pond? 21 Α. Well, the way that IEPA described this 22 assessment is the assessment was all about 23 understanding whether the ash impoundments were 24 leaking. And so this did not -- did not show

	Page 163
1	whether or not the ash impoundments were
2	leaking, because Monitoring Well 5, which was
3	the upgradient monitoring well, was higher in
4	many contaminants than the downgradient wells.
5	However, knowing the history of the
6	site and that the tannery site was located to
7	the west and that there was an environmental
8	land use control, I wasn't surprised to see that
9	there were results that might correspond to that
10	and to the other things that we have talked
11	about with the tannery in an upgradient well.
12	But it didn't succeed in really showing whether
13	a monitoring well or whether the ash
14	impoundments were leaking, which was what we
15	were telling IEPA to begin with.
16	Q. Okay. And so is that part of the
17	reason why more wells were added?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. Okay. And when those wells were added,
20	did they continue to be monitored?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. And in the end, it is the result of
23	that additional those additional wells, the
24	additional monitoring, what did you conclude

	Page 164
1	Midwest Gen conclude regarding the ash ponds
2	leaking?
3	A. We believe that the ash ponds are not
4	leaking, the liners are in good condition, and
5	that they're migrations from off-site sources,
6	and it just doesn't make sense that the ash
7	impoundments are leaking when you have an
8	upgradient well that's higher in contaminants
9	than a downgradient well.
10	Q. And that upgradient well is, in turn,
11	downgradient of, for example, the tannery site,
12	correct?
13	A. Correct.
14	Q. Turn to Exhibit 647. This appears to
15	be the Compliance Commitment Agreement Midwest
16	Gen entered into with IEPA for the Waukegan
17	site; is that correct?
18	A. Correct.
19	Q. And turning to page Bates number 567,
20	3, Compliance Activities, does that describe the
21	compliance sections that Midwest Gen agreed to
22	do under the terms of this Compliance Commitment
23	Agreement?
24	A. Correct.

	Page 165
1	Q. Okay. With respect to those
2	activities, are they similar to the other
3	Compliance Commitment Agreement terms we have
4	reviewed in terms of removing the ash,
5	maintaining the liners, visual inspection of the
6	ponds?
7	A. Correct.
8	Q. Did you also agree to install any
9	additional monitoring wells?
10	A. Yes, two additional wells.
11	Q. Generally, to what direction were those
12	wells to be installed in?
13	A. To the west.
14	Q. You also agreed to continue quarterly
15	monitoring of all the wells?
16	A. Yes, we did.
17	Q. Now, did this Compliance Commitment
18	Agreement also involve a new ELUC agreement to
19	be entered into by Midwest Gen?
20	A. Yes, it did.
21	Q. And now would this be, though, for a
22	different portion of the property as opposed to
23	the tannery site ELUC?
24	A. Yes, it basically would start where the

Page 166 ELUC of the tannery left off and go all the way 1 2 to the waterway. 3 Okay. Was there any requirement to Ο. 4 reline the ponds included in this CCA? 5 No, there was not. Α. 6 Ο. IEPA did not request that you do that 7 as part of this settlement? 8 Α. No. As a matter of fact, Lynn Dunaway, 9 who is an IEPA groundwater expert, stated that 10 he doesn't believe that the ash impoundments are 11 leaking. 12 Do you recall being present at a public Ο. hearing back in 2013 where Mr. Dunaway was --13 14 was present regarding some permitting of the 15 Waukegan plant? 16 Α. Yes, I was there. 17 Ο. You were there. 18 Do you recall generally why that public 19 hearing was held? 20 It was held for the -- for the NPDES Α. 21 permit renewal process. And a public hearing 22 was requested and so a public hearing was held. 23 Okay. Did you stay for the entire Q. 24 hearing?

Page 167 1 Α. Yes, I did. 2 And in addition to Mr. Dunaway, were Q. 3 any other Illinois EPA personnel present? 4 Α. Yes, there were other people present. 5 Such as Darin LeCrone, I believe he was there. 6 And he is like the head of the permit writers in the industrial permitting section. 7 8 All right. At that meeting, do you 0. 9 recall generally what Mr. Dunaway said when he was asked questions about the active ash ponds? 10 11 MS. BUGEL: Objection. Calls for hearsay. 12 THE WITNESS: Yeah. 13 THE HEARING OFFICER: Ms. Franzetti? 14 MS. FRANZETTI: No, this is at a public 15 hearing on the NPDES permit where Mr. Dunaway is 16 appearing as a representative of the state. Ι 17 believe that is reliable, useful information for the Board to know about in this case. It should 18 19 not be excluded as unreliable hearsay. 20 MS. BUGEL: Mr. Dunaway's statements may be reliable, but we don't have any verification 21 22 that the witness's ability -- memory ability to 23 repeat those statements' articulation is 24 accurate as to the witness -- Mr. Dunaway's

Page 168 1 statements. 2 MS. FRANZETTI: She was present, Mr. Hearing 3 Officer. 4 THE HEARING OFFICER: You know, that's 5 enough, please. I will take it under Section 6 101.626. Objection overruled. 7 You may answer, if you can remember. 8 MS. FRANZETTI: I will rephrase the question. 9 BY MS. FRANZETTI: 10 Q. What do you recall Mr. Dunaway stating 11 with respect to whether the active ash ponds at 12 the Waukegan station were a current source of contamination? 13 14 Α. Mr. Dunaway stated that he did not 15 believe that the current ash impoundment 16 activity was creating any -- well, the current 17 ash ponds were not leaking as they were lined. I'm going to direct your attention to 18 Q. 19 Exhibit 648. 20 Do you recall Midwest Gen obtaining a 21 copy of the transcript from this public hearing 22 at which Mr. Dunaway made the statement you have 23 just testified to? 24 Yes, I do. It's available online. Α.

	Page 169
1	Q. Okay. And would you turn to this
2	document does not contain the entire transcript,
3	but it does contain page Bates numbered 29975.
4	Would you please take a moment to review
5	Mr. Dunaway's statement and going on to 29976,
6	and tell me if that is the portion of
7	Mr. Dunaway's statement regarding the ash ponds
8	that you have just testified to.
9	A. Mr. Dunaway states [as read]:
10	Currently we don't believe the active ash ponds
11	are the source of contamination. There appears
12	to be some other source other than the active
13	ash ponds.
14	MS. FRANZETTI: We would move to admit
15	Midwest Gen Exhibit 648 into evidence.
16	THE HEARING OFFICER: Did we look at 647 as
17	well, or you haven't moved that yet?
18	MS. FRANZETTI: Oh, I'm sorry. Can I also
19	move 647 into evident, please.
20	THE HEARING OFFICER: Ms. Bugel, Respondent's
21	Exhibit 647, any objection?
22	MS. BUGEL: Hearing Officer, could I ask for
23	a moment to confer with co-counsel?
24	THE HEARING OFFICER: Sure. We can go off

Page 170 1 the record. 2 (Short pause in proceedings.) 3 THE HEARING OFFICER: We are on the record. 4 Yes, Ms. Bugel? 5 MS. BUGEL: Just starting as to 648, starting 6 as to 648, we object. Our main objection is 7 based on the fact that this is an excerpt from 8 the transcript and we do want the rest of the 9 transcript for context. And --10 MS. FRANZETTI: If I can respond to that? 11 THE HEARING OFFICER: Yes, you may. 12 MS. FRANZETTI: Counsel, on the review of the exhibits before this hearing, on which this was 13 14 provided to you as an excerpt, specifically just 15 the cover page and the two Bates numbers, you 16 agreed to the admission of this exhibit. 17 MS. BUGEL: Okay. We did --18 MS. FRANZETTI: If you wanted the entire 19 transcript, you could have raised it then and we 20 would provide it. We can still provide it 21 afterwards, but I do not believe it should be a 22 condition for the introduction of these pages. 23 THE HEARING OFFICER: Is that on the list 24 anywhere, your agreement for exhibits, that I

Page 171 1 have? 2 MS. FRANZETTI: It was e-mailed to you, 3 Mr. Halloran, on Monday -- a week ago Monday. 4 Go ahead, Kristen. 5 MS. LAUGHRIDGE GALE: I'm sorry. If I recall 6 correctly, we received that you guys, and I 7 think you cc'd Mr. Halloran on Monday, so nine 8 minus seven, January 22nd. 9 MS. FRANZETTI: Here. Do you want to -- this 10 is the page that has this exhibit. Thank you. Okay. I apologize, 11 MS. BUGEL: 12 that was in error. I apologize and that was in error. And we maintain this objection right now 13 14 to the excerpt. 15 MS. FRANZETTI: Again, Mr. Halloran, this is 16 the relevant portion of the permit hearing 17 transcript. The entire portion is available online. If Counsel would like to admit it in 18 19 their part of this matter, you can. But I 20 really don't think it needs to be included in 21 this particular exhibit. 22 THE HEARING OFFICER: I mean, I agree, it is 23 online. I can take administrative notice of it. 24 But what I will do is I will accept it over

	Page 172
1	objection, Respondent's Exhibit 648, with the
2	caveat that Midwest is to supply the whole
3	transcript. Is tomorrow going to be okay?
4	MS. FRANZETTI: I think so. I don't think
5	it's that huge in terms of printing it out.
6	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay.
7	MS. FRANZETTI: So we will bring a hardcopy
8	of it.
9	THE HEARING OFFICER: So 648 is admitted
10	subject to the rest of the transcript.
11	MS. BUGEL: And at this time we just want to
12	renew our objection to the hearsay testimony and
13	move to strike that portion of the witness's
14	testimony given on the Lynn Dunaway statements.
15	THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Overruled.
16	MS. FRANZETTI: And 647 is admitted?
17	MS. BUGEL: Let me just go I didn't deal
18	with that.
19	MS. FRANZETTI: That's just the signed CCA.
20	MS. BUGEL: Okay. No objection to 647.
21	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
22	
23	
24	

	Page 173
1	(Whereupon documents so
2	offered were received in
3	evidence as Respondent's
4	Exhibits Nos. 647 and 648.)
5	THE HEARING OFFICER: Do you want to take a
6	lunch pretty soon?
7	MS. FRANZETTI: Yes. Actually, if we get
8	done with this exhibit, that would be fine to
9	break for lunch.
10	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay, continue. Thank
11	you.
12	BY MS. FRANZETTI:
13	Q. Do you have Exhibit 649 in front of
14	you, Ms. Race?
15	A. Yes, I do.
16	Q. It looks like it's an e-mail from Lynn
17	Dunaway to Jamie Rabins with cc to Bill Buscher
18	dated January 6, 2015. Do you recognize this
19	document?
20	A. Yes, I do.
21	Q. And what is it?
22	A. It's a document from Lynn Dunaway to
23	Jamie Rabins talking about the regulations and
24	the coal ash impoundments. Talking about that

Page 174 hearing. 1 2 Does it also -- in Mr. Dunaway's e-mail 0. 3 to Mr. Rabins that's at the top of the page, 4 first page, does he talk about the CCA terms for 5 the Waukegan station? 6 Α. Yes, he does. And does he address the issue of why 7 Ο. there wasn't a corrective action requirement and 8 9 a GMZ included in the CCA? 10 Yes, he does. Α. And what is his explanation for why 11 Ο. 12 that was not included in the CCA? 13 Α. Because the CCA that was approved 14 didn't include a corrective action. So, 15 therefore, there was no Groundwater Management 16 Zone. 17 Ο. And why didn't it? 18 Because he didn't believe it was Α. 19 required. 20 And why does -- what does he state as Q. 21 the reason why it wasn't required? 22 Α. Because the active ponds are not the 23 likely source of contaminants in the 24 groundwater.

	Page 175
1	MS. FRANZETTI: Thank you. I would move to
2	admit Exhibit 649 into evidence.
3	THE HEARING OFFICER: Any objection,
4	Ms. Bugel?
5	MS. BUGEL: Complainants object to this
6	exhibit being brought into evidence as hearsay.
7	And also Complainants would move to strike the
8	witness's testimony on this exhibit also as
9	hearsay. Ms. Race's name doesn't appear on this
10	e-mail. She has no first-hand knowledge.
11	THE HEARING OFFICER: Is that I missed
12	that part of the testimony, Ms. Race does not
13	have any first-hand knowledge of this e-mail?
14	MS. FRANZETTI: Well, Ms. Race if I can
15	ask
16	THE HEARING OFFICER: You may.
17	MS. FRANZETTI: some foundational
18	questions.
19	BY MS. FRANZETTI:
20	Q. Ms. Race, do you notice the reference
21	to IEPA Exhibit number 64 in the upper right?
22	A. Yes, I do.
23	Q. Do you know what that signifies?
24	A. Yes. That is an exhibit within

Page 176 1 their --2 O. Permit record? 3 MS. BUGEL: Objection. Leading. The witness --4 5 THE HEARING OFFICER: Sustained. 6 MS. BUGEL: -- was trying to answer. The attorney is --7 THE HEARING OFFICER: Sustained. 8 9 THE WITNESS: It is an exhibit within their permit record that is available through FOIA, 10 11 Freedom of Information Act. 12 BY MS. FRANZETTI: And did you obtain this document from 13 0. the IEPA? 14 15 Α. Yes. 16 Q. At or about the time of the Waukegan 17 permit hearings? 18 A little later, I think. Α. 19 MS. FRANZETTI: I would renew my request to 20 admit Exhibit 649. 21 THE HEARING OFFICER: Ms. Bugel? 22 MS. BUGEL: We maintain our hearsay 23 objection. 24 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Overruled then,

	Page 177
1	101.626. Respondent's Exhibit 649 is admitted.
2	(Whereupon document so
3	offered was received in
4	evidence as Respondent's
5	Exhibit No. 649.)
6	MS. FRANZETTI: We could break for lunch now.
7	I have three or four more questions on Waukegan.
8	I can either do those and then we break and
9	we're done with the Waukegan station, or we can
10	break right now.
11	THE HEARING OFFICER: Let's take a break.
12	Come back here at a quarter 2:00. We're off the
13	record.
14	(Whereupon, a recess was had at
15	12:46 p.m., after which the
16	hearing was resumed at
17	1:53 p.m. as follows:)
18	THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. We are back
19	on the record. It's approximately 1:50. Thanks
20	for your prompt return.
21	Ms. Race is on the stand still under
22	oath, and she is on direct by Ms. Franzetti.
23	You may proceed.
24	MS. FRANZETTI: Thank you, Mr. Hearing

	Page 178
1	Officer. I'm just going to read joint
2	Stipulation 63, which states, at Waukegan,
3	Midwest Gen applied for an ELUC that covers the
4	remaining Waukegan station property that was not
5	already included in the existing former tannery
6	site ELUC including the ash ponds.
7	And joint Stipulation 64, Illinois EPA
8	approved the Waukegan ELUC on August 26, 2013.
9	BY MS. FRANZETTI:
10	Q. Ms. Race, would you look at Exhibit 650
11	that's in front of you.
12	A. Okay.
13	Q. Can you identify what that document is?
14	A. Yes. This is a letter from Illinois
15	Environmental Protection Agency to Midwest
16	Generation, John Kennedy, stating that the ELUC
17	that was submitted in response to the Compliance
18	Commitment Agreement has been accepted and that
19	we should proceed to have it drawn up as
20	proposed.
21	Q. And you are copied on that letter?
22	A. Yes, I am.
23	MS. FRANZETTI: I would move to admit Exhibit
24	650 into evidence.

Page 179 1 MS. BUGEL: No objection. 2 THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. 3 Respondent's Exhibit 650 is admitted. 4 (Whereupon document so 5 offered was received in 6 evidence as Respondent's 7 Exhibit No. 650.) BY MS. FRANZETTI: 8 9 Did Midwest Gen complete the pond's Q. relining and conducted the additional 10 11 requirements in the Waukegan Compliance 12 Commitment Agreement? 13 In the Waukegan Compliance Commitment Α. 14 Agreement, there wasn't a requirement for a pond 15 relining. 16 Oh, I'm sorry, I misspoke. Q. Thank you. 17 Did it complete the other remaining requirements in the Compliance Commitment 18 19 Agreement? 20 Α. Yes, we did. 21 MS. FRANZETTI: Joint Stipulation 65 states, 22 on August 22nd, 2013, Midwest Gen submitted to 23 Illinois EPA its certification that all of the 24 Waukegan CCA measures were successfully

	Page 180
1	completed.
2	BY MS. FRANZETTI:
3	Q. Would you turn to Exhibit 651.
4	A. Okay.
5	Q. Please review that exhibit and tell me
6	whether you recognize it.
7	A. I do recognize it.
8	Q. And what is it?
9	A. It is a compliance statement showing
10	that we are we have finished all the
11	Compliance Commitment Agreement requirements
12	from IEPA. And this is signed by John Kennedy,
13	who was the senior VP of generation at that
14	point in time.
15	MS. FRANZETTI: Move to admit Exhibit 651
16	into evidence.
17	MS. BUGEL: No objection.
18	THE HEARING OFFICER: Respondent's Exhibit
19	651 admitted.
20	(Whereupon document so
21	offered was received in
22	evidence as Respondent's
23	Exhibit No. 651.)
24	

Page 181 1 BY MS. FRANZETTI: 2 Ms. Race, once the CCR rules were Q. 3 adopted in 2014 -- I'm speaking about the 4 federal CCR rules -- did Midwest Gen determine 5 whether they regulated any of the ash ponds at 6 Waukegan? Yes, we did. 7 Α. 8 Ο. And did they? 9 Yes, they cover Waukegan east and west Α. 10 ash ponds. 11 Are those the only active ash ponds at Q. 12 Waukegan? 13 Α. Correct. 14 Ο. Now I'm going to turn to Will County 15 station. No, I'm not. I have one more thing. 16 I'm handing you, Ms. Race, what's been 17 marked as Midwest Gen Exhibit 665. It is a copy of the timeline that we have been periodically 18 19 showing at times on the screen and on your 20 monitor. Would you take a moment to look 21 through that document. 22 And my question is: Is this generally 23 an accurate summary of the relevant events 24 relating to the Waukegan station that you have

Page 182 1 been testifying to in this proceeding? 2 Yes, it is. Α. 3 MS. FRANZETTI: Midwest Gen would move for 4 the introduction into evidence of Exhibit 665, 5 is useful and helpful to the Board's review of 6 this matter. 7 THE HEARING OFFICER: Ms. Bugel? 8 MS. BUGEL: We object to Exhibit 665 simply 9 because it contains material that we objected to in the course of testimony. Specifically, there 10 are statements on the second -- let me see if 11 12 it's -- the second to last page. There are statements from the two exhibits that we 13 14 objected to as hearsay. Those also appear in 15 this document. So we object to the content, the 16 hearsay content. 17 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. And I know what 18 I did then, I overruled you on the hearsay 19 exhibits -- or objections. 20 And I -- Ms. Franzetti, anything you 21 want to add? 22 MS. FRANZETTI: The only thing is that you 23 did request that Midwest Gen submit a complete 24 copy of the NPDES public hearing transcript

	Page 183
1	tomorrow as a condition of the admission of that
2	exhibit, and we will do that. So with that, we
3	don't believe that the basis for the objection
4	to be sustained.
5	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. The record will
6	so note that you will supply me tomorrow with
7	that. And I'm going to admit Exhibit 665 from
8	Midwest over objection.
9	(Whereupon document so
10	offered was received in
11	evidence as Respondent's
12	Exhibit No. 665.)
13	BY MS. FRANZETTI:
14	Q. Ms. Race, one other last question on
15	Waukegan. Did Midwest Gen record the ELUC that
16	was Exhibit 650?
17	A. Yes, we did.
18	Q. Now turning to Will County station.
19	And turn to Exhibit 652 in the binder in front
20	of you. That appears to be a Phase I
21	Environmental Site Assessment of the Will County
22	station by ENSR for Commonwealth Edison dated
23	October 1998.
24	Do you recognize that document?

L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C. 312-419-9292 ٦

Page 184 1 Α. Yes, I do. 2 What is it? Q. 3 This is a Phase I that was performed Α. for Commonwealth Edison in October -- and the 4 5 report is dated October of 1998. 6 Q. I am going to ask you to turn to page 2-7 of the report. It's at Bates number 7 8 29515, specifically Section 2.4 that's entitled 9 Site History. 10 So could you repeat the number? Α. 29515, the Bates number. And the 11 Q. 12 report pagination, it's page 2-7. Thank you. I found it. 13 Α. 14 Ο. Okay. And specifically Section 2.4 15 entitled Site History, is that a portion of the 16 report you've reviewed before? 17 Α. Yes, it is. And what type of information does that 18 Q. 19 portion of the report contain? 20 It contains information from the person Α. 21 who -- it explains who actually assisted and 22 answered the questions that ENSR had about the 23 It describes what aerial photographs site. 24 there were or assessment records that were

	Page 185
1	available. And also the adjacent site history.
2	Q. And what did ENSR conclude from its
3	review of that historical information concerning
4	prior usage of the property?
5	A. That the area is bordered to the north
6	by a road, Romeo Road, beyond which is wooded
7	land. To the east is the Chicago Sanitary and
8	Ship Canal. And to the south is Material
9	Services Corporation. And to the west is the
10	Des Plaines River.
11	Q. With respect to the prior use of the
12	property and any disposal activities, did they
13	make any findings? Still in that section.
14	A. Just give me a minute.
15	Q. Uh-huh.
16	A. It states that no evidence of land
17	filling was observed in the photographs.
18	Q. And I ask you to turn back towards the
19	front of the document, Bates page 29507 through
20	29508. This is the Sections 1.2, Study
21	Limitations section. Take a moment to look at
22	this.
23	And my question is: Does this section
24	contain similar information to the prior Phase

	Page 186
1	II reports we've already reviewed regarding
2	Joliet 29, Powerton and Waukegan that had the
3	same limitations section in the report?
4	A. Yes, it has the same limitations
5	described in it that the other reports have.
6	Q. And did you use this report in the same
7	manner as you've described using those other
8	Phase II reports?
9	A. Yes, I did.
10	Q. Turning to Exhibit 18-D. That won't be
11	in your binder but is on the table.
12	A. Okay. Just give me a minute. Okay,
13	I've got it.
14	Q. Have you you've testified about this
15	report, you've seen it before, correct?
16	A. Correct.
17	Q. Okay. And does your approach to the
18	use of this report, Exhibit 18-D and how you
19	used it that you've testified to now with
20	respect to the prior exhibit, Exhibit 18 I'm
21	sorry, Exhibit 652, as well as the other
22	stations, apply as well to this report? Did you
23	use this report similarly?
24	A. Yes, I did.

	Page 187
1	MS. FRANZETTI: All right. Let's go to the
2	aerial map of Will County. I will just note a
3	couple of stipulations.
4	Stipulation 40, Midwest Gen owns and
5	operates the Will County Electric Generating
6	Station located in Romeoville, Will County,
7	Illinois.
8	Stipulation 41, Midwest Gen has owned
9	and operated the Will County station since 1999.
10	BY MS. FRANZETTI:
11	Q. I'm going to ask you first to refer to
12	Exhibit 653 in your book.
13	Do you recognize Exhibit 653?
14	A. Yes. This is an ALTA survey, title
15	survey that was done at the time of the sale
16	from Midwest Gen or from Commonwealth Edison
17	to Midwest Generation.
18	Q. And as on some of the other survey maps
19	for the other stations, are there any retained
20	tracts on this survey?
21	A. Yes, there is a retained tract that is
22	near the center of the site.
23	Q. Is that retained tract showed on the
24	aerial map that's on the screen and on your

Page 188 1 monitor with the black dotted outline to it? 2 Α. Yes, it is. 3 Ο. Then that says, not owned by Midwest 4 Gen? 5 Α. Correct. Is that a fair and accurate 6 Ο. 7 representation of the area that is identified as 8 the retained tract on the survey marked as Exhibit 653? 9 10 Α. Yes. 11 Does Midwest Gen control that area? Ο. 12 Α. No. 13 ComEd continues today to own that area Ο. 14 of the property? 15 Α. Yes. 16 MS. FRANZETTI: Stipulation 42, Will County 17 has one active electric generating unit, unit 18 four. 19 BY MS. FRANZETTI: 20 Did Will County used to have additional Q. 21 operating units? 22 Α. Yes. Units one, two, and three. 23 MS. FRANZETTI: I forgot to move, and I will 24 move now for admission of Exhibit 653.

Page 189 1 MS. BUGEL: No objection. 2 THE HEARING OFFICER: 653, what about 652? 3 MS. FRANZETTI: I will like to move to admit 4 that one as well. 5 THE HEARING OFFICER: Ms. Bugel, 652? 6 MS. BUGEL: No objection to either 652 or 7 653. 8 THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. Respondent's Exhibits 652 and 653 are admitted. 9 10 (Whereupon documents so 11 offered were received in 12 evidence as Respondent's 13 Exhibits Nos. 652 and 653.) 14 BY MS. FRANZETTI: 15 Ο. With respect to those additional units 16 that used to operate at Will County, do you know 17 at its peak how many units used to operate at Will County? 18 19 There were four units at Will County at Α. 20 its peak. 21 Q. Okay. And do you recall generally, can 22 you walk us through when the other units 23 operated? 24 In 1955, Will County began Α. Sure.

Page 190 operation of units one and two. In 1957, unit 1 2 three started up. And in 1963, unit four 3 started up. 4 Was it during Midwest Gen's ownership Q. since 1999 that one or more of the units were 5 deactivated? 6 7 Α. Yes. When was the first unit deactivated? 8 Ο. 9 Approximately is fine. 10 Probably around 2010. Let me think for Α. 11 a minute here. December of 2010. Well, units 12 one and two were deactivated, and unit three was 13 deactivated later than that, more recently. 14 Q. All right. Do you recall what year 15 unit three was deactivated? If you do. If you 16 don't, it's okay. 17 Α. Around 2013, 2014, somewhere in there. 18 Q. All right. We can help with the 19 timeline. Let's take a look at --20 2015. April 15th of 2015. Α. 21 Q. Does that refresh your recollection? 22 Α. Yes. 23 Okay. Now, let's go back to the aerial Q. 24 of Will County.

	Page 191
1	On what side of the Will County station
2	are the ash ponds located?
3	A. They are located on the west side of
4	the station.
5	Q. Now, you see on the aerial we've got
6	two areas that are in blue. Can we start with
7	those two areas, and would you tell us what
8	those depict.
9	A. Sure. The areas in blue that are
10	denoted on the overhead are ash pond number two
11	and ash pond number three. Sometimes called two
12	south or 2S and 3S.
13	Q. Okay. And the two areas that are
14	outlined in green, do you recognize those areas?
15	A. Yes. Those are ponds number one north
16	and one south.
17	Q. So does the aerial reasonably depict
18	the location and size of the ash ponds?
19	A. Yes.
20	MS. FRANZETTI: Now, we have Stipulation 44,
21	pond 1N, 1S, 2S, and pond 3S were each
22	originally constructed in 1977 with a Poz-o-Pac
23	liner.
24	Stipulation 43, there are two active

	Electronic Filing: Received, Clerk's Office 2/5/2018
	Page
1	ash ponds at the Will County station, pond two
2	south, which is 2S, and pond three south, which
3	is 3S.
4	BY MS. FRANZETTI:
5	Q. You have already identified those up on
6	the aerial, but which one is 2S and which one is
7	3S?
8	A. 3S is the one furthest to the south.
9	So if you think of it that way, it's one south,
10	two south, three south, and each one goes
11	further south.
12	Q. Okay.
13	MS. FRANZETTI: We have stipulation 45, pond
14	2S has a concrete Geocell on the sides of the
15	basin.
16	Stipulation 46, only one pond, 2S or
17	3S, is in service at a time.
18	Stipulation 47, pond 2S or pond 3S are
19	dredged approximately on an annual basis.
20	And Stipulation 48, there are two
21	inactive ponds at the Will County station, ponds
22	one north, or 1N, and one south, or 1S.
23	BY MS. FRANZETTI:
24	Q. Can you see those on the aerial photo?

Page 193 1 Α. Yes, I can. 2 Q. And pond 1N versus pond 1S, can you 3 just distinguish them up there? 4 Α. Sure. 1S is the one that's directly to 5 the north of pond 2S. And then the one that's 6 directly to the north of that is one north. 7 MS. FRANZETTI: Stipulation 49, ponds 1N and 8 1S at the Will County generating station have 9 Poz-o-Pac liners. BY MS. FRANZETTI: 10 11 Ο. Have you seen any documentation on how thick the liners on ponds 1N and 1S are? 12 13 Α. Yes. 14 Ο. And do you recall what that 15 documentation indicated the thickness of the 16 liners under those ponds was? 17 Α. I believe that there were -- if I 18 remember correctly, there were six 6-inch 19 layers, or lifts, as they are called in the 20 documents, on the sides. And then there was 21 a -- two 6-inch lifts and fill material, and two 22 more 6-inch lifts in the base. That what I 23 believe I remember. 24 MS. BUGEL: Can I just interrupt just to make

	Page 194
1	sure the I want to make sure the transcript
2	is clear, that Ms. Race seemed to repeat six
3	twice. So it was six 6-inch lifts. Just so it
4	doesn't appear as 6-inch lifts.
5	THE HEARING OFFICER: I'm sure the record so
6	notes, you know, and you can clean it up on
7	cross too. But this might be better. Thank
8	you.
9	MS. FRANZETTI: Can I have a moment?
10	THE HEARING OFFICER: Yes, you can go off the
11	record.
12	(Short pause in proceedings.)
13	THE HEARING OFFICER: We are back on the
14	record.
15	BY MS. FRANZETTI:
16	Q. Putting before you Midwest Gen
17	Exhibit 500, and just briefly, what is this
18	document?
19	A. This document is a pond
20	characterization that I had an intern put
21	together in the summer so that we would be able
22	to describe all of our all of our
23	impoundments, not just ash impoundments. And it
24	has the information that she pulled from

Page 195 1 as-built drawings that she found at the 2 stations. 3 And would you take a look at the Will Ο. 4 County ponds section of this document and tell 5 me whether or not it provides information from 6 those construction documents with respect to the 7 depth of the liners? 8 Α. Yes, it does. 9 Ο. And is the -- what page are you looking 10 at? 11 Α. At MWG 13-15 5. 12 Is the information that's presented in Ο. 13 Midwest Gen Exhibit 501, as to the depth of the 14 liners in the Will County ponds, consistent with 15 the testimony that you've just provided? 16 Α. No, it is not. 17 Ο. What does it state? 18 Six 6-inch lifts of Poz-o-Pac with a Α. 19 bituminous carrying coat. 20 Ο. So how is that different from what you testified to? 21 22 Α. Because I had miss-remembered that 23 there were -- that there was a -- two 6-inch 24 lifts and fill material and two 6-inch lifts. Ι

	Page 196
1	have it confused with some other impoundment.
2	Q. And, in fact, instead of that, how is
3	the liner constructed at Will County?
4	A. The liner is constructed with six
5	6-inch layers of Poz-o-Pac that have a
6	bituminous carrying coat over the top of them.
7	And just to you know, the way that this says
8	lifts I think is confusing. It's actually
9	that means layers. So six you would pour a
10	6-inch layer. Let it set. Pour a 6-inch layer,
11	do whatever engineering work you need to do,
12	until you have 36 inches of Poz-o-Pac.
13	Q. Ms. Race, I'm done with that exhibit.
14	Now I will have you go back to the other binder
15	and look at Exhibit 654.
16	A. Okay.
17	Q. There you go.
18	Do you recognize Exhibit 654?
19	A. Yes, I do.
20	Q. What is that document?
21	A. This is a document describing the ash
22	impoundments at Will County station, all of
23	them.
24	Q. And what type of document is it?

Page 197 1 Α. It is an as-built drawing. 2 Q. Have you seen this document before? 3 Yes, I have. Α. Was this part of the information that 4 Q. 5 was used to prepare Exhibit 500 that we were 6 just looking at? 7 Α. Yes. Oh. 8 MS. FRANZETTI: I would move to admit 9 Exhibit 654. 10 MS. BUGEL: I don't have an objection, but I would just make a clarification for the record. 11 12 There is one page on which the Bates number is 13 almost illegible. Do you want to represent for 14 the record what page that is? 15 MS. FRANZETTI: Sure. 16 MS. BUGEL: I think it's -- or do you want me 17 to? I can do it. 18 MS. FRANZETTI: If you can, I have no 19 objection. 20 MS. BUGEL: I believe, for the record, that 21 it's Bates MWG 13-15 37214. Oh, and the name of 22 the drawing, for the record, is Ash Pond 23 Sections Eight Details. 24 THE WITNESS: I'm looking at 213. Got it.

	Page 198
1	MS. FRANZETTI: With that taken care of, I
2	would re-move to admit Exhibit 654.
3	MS. BUGEL: No objection.
4	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. So that's
5	Bates-stamped 37214 that I wrote in here?
6	MS. FRANZETTI: The first page is 37213.
7	Bates is illegible on the next page. But the
8	exhibit goes through Bates 37217.
9	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. I'm looking at
10	Bates stamp okay. It's exhibit forgive
11	me. 654, Bates stamp 37213, what, MWG 13-15,
12	37213, and the next one should be 37214,
13	correct?
14	MS. FRANZETTI: Correct.
15	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. That's what I
16	have. Admitted. Thank you. I wrote it down on
17	the page.
18	(Whereupon document so
19	offered was received in
20	evidence as Respondent's
21	Exhibit No. 654.)
22	BY MS. FRANZETTI:
23	Q. Were any borings ever taken of the
24	bottom of the ash pond?

Page 199 One of the ash ponds at Will County had 1 Α. 2 a boring taken of it. 3 And do you recall what the results of Ο. 4 that boring indicated? 5 We were testing it for permeability. Α. 6 And the permeability turned out to be somewhere 7 around one times ten to the negative fifth 8 centimeters per second. 9 Do you recall what the boring showed in Q. terms of any information regarding the depth of 10 11 the liner? 12 The boring -- can I ask a question? Α. 13 Well, maybe it would help if I refer Ο. 14 you over to Exhibit 510. 15 I'm putting before you what has been 16 marked as Midwest Gen Exhibit 510. Do you want 17 to take a moment to look at that document. And 18 my question is: Do you recall whether the 19 boring taken from the bottom of the pond at Will 20 County provided any information with respect to 21 the depth of the liner, the thickness of the 22 liner? 23 I'll tell you what, Ms. Race, in the 24 interest of time, I am going to rephrase the

	Page 200
1	question and ask you a different question.
2	If you go to page 34271, can you tell
3	what the depth of the boring was that was taken
4	from the bottom of the pond?
5	A. The depth of the boring, it goes from 0
6	down to 36 inches before the core before they
7	stop actually pushing through the core. So they
8	never do encounter the bottom of the third layer
9	of Poz-o-Pac.
10	Q. Then what is
11	A. So there is still Poz-o-Pac there, but
12	they just don't go all the way down to the very
13	bottom of it when they are taking the core.
14	Q. So they went down with the boring
15	36 inches; is that correct?
16	A. Correct.
17	Q. That was the depth of the boring?
18	A. That was the depth of the boring.
19	Q. And the boring at that depth had not
20	yet reached the bottom or broken through the
21	bottom of the Poz-o-Pac liner?
22	A. That's correct.
23	Q. What does that indicate to you with
24	respect to the thickness of the Poz-o-Pac liner?

	Page 201
1	A. That it is deeper than 36 inches.
2	Q. Since 1977, has any portion, any slice
3	of the liners in either pond 1N or 1S been
4	removed?
5	A. No.
6	Q. So they still have the same liner
7	system thickness as was installed originally
8	A. Yes.
9	Q in the '70s?
10	A. Yes.
11	MS. FRANZETTI: Stipulation 50 is, ponds 1N
12	and 1S were removed from service in 2010 and
13	neither received any ash or process water.
14	BY MS. FRANZETTI:
15	Q. Are any of the four ponds that are at
16	the Will County station disposal sites?
17	A. No.
18	Q. And why is that?
19	A. Because the ash is removed.
20	Q. I'm going to ask you to go back to the
21	binder with the exhibits in the 600 series and
22	turn to Exhibit 655.
23	A. Okay.
24	Q. Do you recognize that document?

Electronic Filing: Received, Clerk's Office 2/5/2018 Page 202 1 Α. Yes, I do. 2 What is it? Q. 3 This is an NPDES permit for Will County Α. station. 4 5 Can you turn to page 5 of the permit. Q. These individual pages don't have Bates numbers. 6 7 You have to look at the upper left-hand corner. 8 Α. Thank you. 9 What type of information is shown on Ο. page 5 of the Will County NPDES permit? 10 Page 5 has outfall 002. The recycle 11 Α. 12 wastewater treatment system blowdown. It includes a discharge of ash sluice system 13 14 blowdown, which includes bottom ash sluice water 15 from units one, two, three, and four of the slag 16 tank overflow sumps and various other 17 intermittent flows. And so is that the bottom ash sluice 18 Q. 19 water from the ponds? 20 Α. Yes. 21 Q. I want to go to the topic of the 22 relining of the Will County ash ponds, basically 23 ponds 2S and 3S. And I'm going to need you to 24 go back to Exhibit 607.

Page 203 1 Α. Okay. 2 All right. Now, we've previously Q. 3 talked about Exhibit 607. That's your chart of 4 the pond's relining program at Midwest Gen, 5 correct? 6 Α. Correct. 7 Now, with respect to Will County ponds, Ο. 8 which one was going to be relined first? 9 Α. Will County south ash impoundment 10 three. 11 So what we have been calling 3S? Ο. 12 Yes, 3S. Α. 13 Ο. And then when was pond 2S going to be relined? 14 15 Shortly thereafter, the following year. Α. 16 All right. Would you look at your Q. 17 comments in the column marked Comments. And with respect to these Will County ponds, would 18 19 you read what your comment is and whether it's 20 the same for both ponds? 21 Α. Sure. My comment is [as read]: Weir 22 needs work. Risk of another TVA? 23 Ο. What did that comment mean? 24 Α. Well, it was two-fold. I had been

	Page 204
1	talking with Illinois EPA about what they
2	perceived as their ideas of what would be a risk
3	of another TVA. Like, could you have
4	MS. BUGEL: I'm going to object to the
5	speculation as to what IEPA perceived.
6	THE HEARING OFFICER: Yeah. Is there another
7	way you could rephrase that, Ms. Franzetti.
8	MS. FRANZETTI: I will try.
9	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
10	BY MS. FRANZETTI:
11	Q. Ms. Race, what questions was the IEPA
12	asking you about these ponds that prompted you
13	to write that comment?
14	A. IEPA asked whether our impoundments
15	were going to be at risk of another TVA
16	situation.
17	Q. And so what does the comment "weir
18	needs work" refer to?
19	A. That was a note to myself. I had run
20	into a situation at Joliet, and their coal
21	ash not coal ash coal pile runoff
22	impoundment where they had a French drain system
23	and the rock in it was getting in the way and
24	they had to replace all of the rock. And so I

	Page 205
1	was wondering if the same thing could have
2	happened at Will County. But then when I
3	upon further investigation, I found out that
4	their drain their weir is not a French drain,
5	therefore, that fear went away.
6	Q. And so did you conclude the risk went
7	away too?
8	A. The risk went away of another TVA
9	because the weir didn't need work. The weir was
10	fine. And the weir didn't set up any type of
11	over-topping, you know, to happen.
12	Q. If you would turn to the liner notes
13	portion of Exhibit 607. And what does it state
14	for the Will County ponds?
15	A. [As read]: 12 inches Poz-o-Pac poor
16	condition.
17	Q. All right. Did you later learn any
18	additional information regarding the liner's
19	condition and their thickness?
20	A. Yes. We later found out that the liner
21	thickness was much more than 12 inches and it
22	was in great condition.
23	Q. And how much more than 12 inches did
24	you find out the thickness of those liners was?

	Page 206
1	A. 36 inches total.
2	Q. All right. Now, according to your
3	chart, when were these two ponds, ponds 2S and
4	3S, going to be relined?
5	A. 2008 and 2009.
6	Q. Okay. And did that happen?
7	A. I know that the impoundments were
8	actually done, and I think the years are about
9	right.
10	Q. If we turn to the timeline, with
11	respect to Will County 3S, if you go up to
12	September 2009, it notes [as read]: Will County
13	pond 3S relined with HDPE.
14	Does that refresh your recollection as
15	to when 3S was relined?
16	A. Yes. 3S was relined in September of
17	2009, and it was the first of the two that was
18	relined.
19	Q. And then moving on in the timeline?
20	A. So it would have been put back into
21	service, and then pond 2S would have gone
22	through the process of being dredged and, et
23	cetera, and
24	Q. Did you ever get to reline pond 2S?

Page 207 1 Α. Eventually, yes. 2 Did the CCR rules play any role in Q. 3 affecting when 2S was going to be relined? 4 Let me refresh my memory. Now, the CCR Α. rule was enacted in 2014 and came into effect in 5 2015. Could I see more of the timeline? 6 7 Q. Sure, yeah. 8 With respect to -- I'm going to direct 9 your attention to June in 2010 when EPA formally proposed the rules. 10 11 Α. Okay. I see. 12 Now, I want to go back to January, Ο. about six months before then, EPA stated its 13 14 intent to propose regulating CCR as a hazardous 15 waste. 16 So does either of those help refresh 17 your recollection? 18 Yes, that does. When US EPA decided to Α. 19 propose regulation of CCR as a hazardous waste, 20 we were hesitant to move forward with any 21 further lining at that point unless it was 22 absolutely necessary because we weren't sure 23 what the rule would actually promulgate in terms 24 of liner requirements.

	Page 208
1	Q. So were you concerned about putting in
2	a liner that would wind up not satisfying the
3	federal rules once they were final?
4	A. Correct.
5	Q. So did well, let's turn back to
6	Exhibit 501. We have to go back to the other
7	book here.
8	Looking at Exhibit 501, it appears to
9	be an application for a permit to reline ponds
10	2S and 3S at Will County. Do you recognize that
11	document?
12	A. Yes, I do.
13	Q. Would you flip through it and see
14	wether or not you'd actually signed that
15	document?
16	A. The letter was signed for me by our
17	administrative assistant.
18	Q. Okay. But you were in charge of that
19	application?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. And was it an application for a permit
22	to reline ponds 2S and 3S?
23	A. Yes, it is.
24	Q. What kind of liner was going to be

Electronic Filing: Received, Clerk's Office 2/5/2018 Page 209 1 installed? 2 Α. HDPE. 3 Now, referring to page 2 of the Q. Okay. 4 application. 5 Α. After all of the figures, I'm assuming? 6 Q. Yeah. Well, what I'm --So this --7 Α. 8 Ο. And you can use whatever you want, but 9 the question is, was any portion of the existing 10 Poz-o-Pac liner going to be removed as part of 11 the relining? 12 Yes, it was. Α. 13 Do you recall approximately how much of Ο. 14 the existing Poz-o-Pac liner was going to be 15 removed? 16 Α. I believe it was the top 12 inches. 17 Ο. Take your time. 18 The removal and replacement of the Α. 19 upper two existing layers of Poz-o-Pac and 6 20 inches of existing fill were going to be replaced with a 60-mil HDPE liner. 21 22 Q. And how thick is a layer? If two 23 layers were going to be removed, how much was 24 going to be removed in inches?

Page 210 12 inches. 1 Α. 2 Ο. Now, with respect to -- and, again, we are talking about -- I want to talk about pond 3 4 3S that actually got relined. 5 Was that how the work was done, was 6 some portion of the Poz-o-Pac removed? 7 Α. Yes, it was. 8 To your recollection, what was the Ο. 9 condition of the Poz-o-Pac that was reported during the removal operation? 10 11 Α. My recollection from talking to people 12 at the station is that it was in good condition and they actually questioned whether they had to 13 14 remove it because it seemed like it was in great 15 shape, and whether we could change the design, 16 and I said no, we needed to stick with what we 17 had the permit for. 18 Q. Okay. I'm going to go back to -- we 19 are done with that exhibit. I'm going to go 20 back to Exhibit 621. And this is -- you've 21 already testified about this exhibit. It's the 22 preliminary hydrogeologic assessment and potable 23 well survey report. I want to just talk about 24 Will County, and that should start at Bates

Page 211

1 ending in 295.

2

A. I've got it.

3 Can you describe generally what the Q. 4 hydrogeologic information for Will County was 5 that is included in this assessment report? The information included here was an 6 Α. evaluation of the hydrogeology in the vicinity 7 8 of the ash ponds, the potable well survey within 9 2,500-foot radius of the station's ash ponds, and the assessment of the potential for any 10 11 impacts to existing potable water wells in the 12 area. 13 Ο. Okay. What was the nature of the 14 geology in the area of Will County station? 15 It included slurry and dolomite from Α. 16 near the ground surface to a depth of 17 approximately 55 feet, with shale approximately 18 55 to 100 feet below ground surface, and 19 limestone approximately 100 to 145 feet below 20 ground surface underlying the dolomite. 21 Q. Is there a more common term used 22 sometimes to refer to slurry and dolomite? 23 Α. Limestone. 24 Is it sometimes referred to as bedrock? Q.

	Page 212
1	A. Uh-huh. Yes.
2	Q. What did the potable well survey for
3	Will County station show? And by that, I mean,
4	were there any wells, and if so, where were
5	they?
6	A. The wells that existed for Will County,
7	there were wells at the site itself. And then
8	there were some other wells that were not
9	downgradient of the ash impoundments within
10	2,500 feet.
11	Q. Were there any wells downgradient of
12	the ash ponds?
13	A. No, there were not.
14	Q. And the onsite wells, how deep were
15	those?
16	A. Those are beneath the shale layer.
17	Q. So roughly more than how many feet?
18	A. More than 2,000 feet.
19	Q. Okay. Do those wells draw groundwater
20	from the area underlying the ponds?
21	A. Yes, they do.
22	Q. But down at a depth of greater than?
23	A. 2,000 feet.
24	Q. Okay. What conclusion did the

Page 213 assessment reach regarding whether there was any 1 2 risk posed to human health if a release from the 3 ash ponds occurred? 4 That there was no risk. There was no Α. 5 reasonable basis to expect that a release from 6 this facility would pose any risk to human 7 health. 8 Now I'm going to go to January 2000 --Ο. 9 no, excuse me, let me rephrase. 10 Just as with the other plants or stations, at Will County, did Midwest Gen agree 11 12 to install -- install and then start monitoring 13 the groundwater monitoring network? 14 Α. Yes, we did. 15 I'm going to ask you to find Q. 16 Complainant's Exhibit 15-C. 17 Α. Okay. 18 Q. Is that the hydrogeologic assessment 19 report for Will County? 20 Α. Yes, it is. 21 Q. And just like the other stations you 22 have already testified about, did that report 23 provide information regarding the first round of 24 groundwater sampling of the monitoring well

Page 214 network Midwest Gen installed at Will County? 1 2 Yes, it did. Α. 3 What did the results of the first round Ο. 4 of sampling indicate? 5 The first -- if I flip back to the Α. 6 monitoring reports and the sampling results that 7 we receive from the various monitoring wells --8 let me see if there's a table here. 9 You might want to look at page 7243. Ο. 10 Α. Thank you. 11 Ο. Table two, if you are looking for 12 monitoring results. That's what I was looking for is this. 13 Α. 14 What it showed at these wells is that 15 there were very few results that were above the 16 groundwater remediation objective. And so the 17 results that we were seeing were sulfates, a 18 couple for manganese -- three for manganese. So 19 the results that were basically very low level, 20 not -- not an area that seemed like it was 21 highly contaminated based upon all the well data 22 that we got from one sample. 23 Were those upgradient or downgradient Ο. 24 well or both?

	Page 215
1	A. Both.
2	Q. Okay. Now I'm going to have you go
3	back to 600 series.
4	A. All right.
5	Q. And I'm going to ask you to go to
6	Exhibit 656.
7	A. Okay.
8	Q. Is that the Compliance Commitment
9	Agreement that Midwest Gen signed with the IEPA
10	for Will County?
11	A. Yes, it is.
12	Q. And similar to the other CCAs we've
13	already looked at, does Section III beginning at
14	Bates 561 list the compliance activities that
15	Midwest Gen was to perform under this CCA?
16	A. Yes, it does.
17	Q. And as with the other ones, did Midwest
18	Gen agree to visually look at the pond liners
19	when ash is removed for any tears or breaches in
20	the liners and continue to do the quarterly
21	groundwater monitoring?
22	A. Yes, we did.
23	Q. Did they agree to reline pond 2S?
24	A. Yes.

	Page 216
1	Q. What type of liner?
2	A. A 60-mil thickness HDPE liner.
3	Q. Is that described in sub graph F?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. And then was there any GMZ established
6	at Will County?
7	A. Yes, there was a GMZ established.
8	Q. Was there any ELUC that was to be
9	established?
10	A. Yes.
11	MS. FRANZETTI: I would move for the
12	admission of Exhibit 656.
13	THE HEARING OFFICER: Ms. Bugel?
14	MS. BUGEL: No objection.
15	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. So
16	admitted.
17	Did we move for Respondent's
18	Exhibit 655?
19	MS. FRANZETTI: No, we didn't. I would move
20	for the admission of 655.
21	MS. BUGEL: I apologize, I need to go back
22	and see what 655 is.
23	MS. FRANZETTI: I apologize too, Faith. I
24	keep skipping exhibits. It's the application

Page 217 for the construction approval to reline the 1 2 ponds. 3 MS. BUGEL: 655? 4 MS. FRANZETTI: Oh, all right. I flipped to 5 many pages. 6 THE HEARING OFFICER: No, it's the permit. 7 MS. FRANZETTI: The NPDES permit. MS. BUGEL: And we would object to the NPDES 8 9 permit on the same grounds as our previous NPDES permit objections. 10 11 Okay. My grounds are THE HEARING OFFICER: 12 the same as before. So I overrule. And I will allow over objection Respondent's Exhibit 655 13 and 656. 14 15 (Whereupon document so offered was received in 16 17 evidence as Respondent's 18 Exhibits Nos. 655 and 656.) 19 MS. FRANZETTI: Thank you. 20 THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. 21 BY MS. FRANZETTI: 22 Q. Turning to Exhibit 657 in your binder. 23 Please identify that document. 24 This document is a liner replacement Α.

	Page 218
1	document for Will County station for pond 2S.
2	Q. Now, you were here in October, I
3	believe, when Becky Maddox testified regarding
4	the permit to reline ponds 2S and 3S. Do you
5	recall that generally?
6	A. Yes, generally.
7	Q. So why was a second permit issued for
8	the relining? Let me clarify.
9	We looked a little while ago at
10	Exhibit 502.
11	A. Correct.
12	Q. And that was a permit that covered
13	relining of both 2S and 3S. So my question is:
14	Why did you have to get another construction
15	permit for 2S? Why didn't the Exhibit 502
16	construction permit app cover that as well?
17	A. Because we wanted to if I recall
18	correctly, we wanted to change the construction
19	methodology.
20	Q. And was there any issue with IEPA that
21	it had been too long since the other permit had
22	been issued?
23	A. I don't recall that.
24	Q. Okay. Did Midwest Gen reline pond 2S?

Page 219 1 Α. Yes. 2 And what did that entail? Q. 3 That entitled taking the impoundment Α. 4 out of service. Letting the ash that was in the 5 impoundment de-water. And then removing the ash 6 that was there. And then taking the existing 7 12-inch top layer of polyethylene liner and 8 6-inch fill layer removed permanently from the 9 ash pond and replace it with the new 60-mil HDPE 10 geomembrane liner. Now, there was also reference in the 11 Ο. 12 prior document to a concrete Geocell. Do you know what that is? 13 14 Α. A concrete Geocell is an area where you 15 can put ash material. 16 Okay. Do you know why that was part of Q. 17 the new liner design? 18 I do not recall. Α. 19 Okay. Do you know what its purpose is? Q. 20 Is it a purpose for stability or is it to 21 protect the liner? 22 Α. I would assume that it would be there 23 to protect the liner because the membrane layer 24 would be something that would act as a cushion

	Page 220
1	for placement of more material.
2	Q. Referring back to the CCA compliance
3	activities, do you recall that they included the
4	requirement not to send process water to ponds
5	1N and 1S?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. And were those ponds at the time of
8	the CCA, were those ponds already out of use?
9	A. Yes, they were they were out of use
10	because it those were impoundments that were
11	fed by units one and two which had closed.
12	Q. Okay. So what did Midwest Gen do to
13	comply with the requirement that no process
14	water be sent to pond 1N and 1S?
15	A. We did some rerouting of the water so
16	that any stormwater or such that would start to
17	accumulate in those impoundments would be
18	redirected to an existing ash impoundment that
19	was operating.
20	Q. And so today since the CCA was signed,
21	up to today, has any process water been routed
22	to ponds 1N and 1S?
23	A. No.
24	Q. So given that, do the federal rules

Page 221 1 apply to those two ponds? 2 No, they do not. Α. 3 Okay. With respect to the compliance Ο. 4 commitment activity of establishing a GMZ, was 5 that done? 6 Α. Yes, it was. 7 MS. FRANZETTI: Now, Stipulation 66 is, 8 Midwest Gen applied for a GMZ that covers the 9 middle part of the station including the ash 10 ponds. 11 So can we bring up the aerial on the 12 And I -- and the GMZ tab. screen. BY MS. FRANZETTI: 13 14 Ms. Race, do you see the green slashed Q. 15 area on the aerial? 16 Α. Yes, I do. 17 Q. Does that area reasonably accurately depict the area that is covered by the GMZ 18 19 application Midwest Gen made for Will County? 20 Yes, it does. Α. 21 Q. Would you turn to Complainant's 22 Exhibit 276. You have before you Complainant's 23 24 Exhibit 276. Can you identify that agreement?

	Page 222
1	A. This is the Compliance Commitment
2	Agreement for the Groundwater Management Zone.
3	This is the Groundwater Management Zone
4	application that we performed under the
5	Compliance Commitment Agreement.
6	MS. FRANZETTI: And Stipulation 67 is that
7	Illinois EPA approved the Will County GMZ on
8	July 2, 2013.
9	BY MS. FRANZETTI:
10	Q. I'm now going to ask you to go to
11	Exhibit 658 in your binder.
12	A. Okay.
13	Q. What is that document?
14	A. This document is Illinois EPA's
15	approval of the ash pond Groundwater Management
16	Zone application and the environmental land use
17	control document. And they made a couple of
18	additions to the GMZ.
19	Q. Did they approve it?
20	A. Yes, they did.
21	Q. Does that document reflect that
22	approval?
23	A. Yes, it does.
24	MS. FRANZETTI: We move to admit Exhibit 658

Page 223 into evidence. 1 2 THE HEARING OFFICER: Ms. Bugel? 3 MS. BUGEL: No objection. 4 THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. 5 (Whereupon document so 6 offered was received in 7 evidence as Respondent's 8 Exhibit No. 658.) BY MS. FRANZETTI: 9 10 Q. I'm going to turn to the CCA agreements requirement that Midwest Gen establish an ELUC 11 12 at the Will County station. THE HEARING OFFICER: Respondent's 13 Exhibit 658 is admitted into evidence. 14 15 MS. FRANZETTI: Thank you. 16 THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. 17 MS. FRANZETTI: Stipulation 67 provides, at Will County, Midwest Gen applied for an ELUC 18 19 that covers the middle part of the station 20 including the ash ponds. BY MS. FRANZETTI: 21 22 Q. Exhibit 659, would you turn to that in 23 your book and identify that document? 24 This is the ELUC that Midwest Α. Yes.

	Page 224
1	Generation applied for as a result of the
2	Compliance Commitment Agreement. It was the
3	proposed ELUC to the Will County station.
4	Q. Flip to the next one, Exhibit 660.
5	What is that document?
6	A. This is a document written by Illinois
7	EPA that approves the GMZ.
8	Q. Did it approve anything other than the
9	GMZ?
10	A. And the modifications to the proposed
11	ELUC boundary map.
12	MS. FRANZETTI: Okay. I would move to admit
13	both Exhibits 659 and 660.
14	MS. BUGEL: No objection.
15	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. 659 and
16	660, Respondent's exhibits are admitted.
17	(Whereupon documents so
18	offered were received in
19	evidence as Respondent's
20	Exhibits Nos. 659 and 660.)
21	THE HEARING OFFICER: And before I go any
22	farther, did I miss Respondent's Exhibit 657?
23	Did we talk about that yet, or no?
24	MS. BUGEL: I believe we've talked about it.

	Page 225
1	MS. FRANZETTI: It was the pond 2S
2	construction permit.
3	THE HEARING OFFICER: Right. Did you move
4	for that, or no?
5	MS. FRANZETTI: Do you think I did?
6	MS. LAUGHRIDGE GALE: I think you did. I
7	think it was
8	MS. FRANZETTI: I don't have a very good
9	track record though on moving for admission, so
10	we might as well be sure and I will move again
11	for admission of that exhibit.
12	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Ms. Bugel?
13	MS. BUGEL: I'm now flipping back to it. No
14	objection.
15	THE HEARING OFFICER: All right.
16	Respondent's Exhibit 657 is admitted.
17	(Whereupon document so
18	offered was received in
19	evidence as Respondent's
20	Exhibit No. 657.)
21	MS. FRANZETTI: All right. Stipulation 69 is
22	that Illinois EPA approved the Will County ELUC
23	on September 26, 2013.
24	

	Page 226
1	BY MS. FRANZETTI:
2	Q. Did Midwest Gen then record the ELUC?
3	A. Yes, we did.
4	MS. FRANZETTI: And Stipulation 70, on
5	October 17, 2013, Midwest Gen submitted to
6	Illinois EPA its certification that all of the
7	Will County CCA measures were successfully
8	completed.
9	BY MS. FRANZETTI:
10	Q. So would you look at Exhibit 661,
11	please.
12	A. Yes. I have it.
13	Q. What is that document?
14	A. This is my letter to Illinois EPA
15	showing that we had with a signed compliance
16	statement showing that we had successfully
17	completed all the Compliance Commitment
18	Agreement measures.
19	MS. FRANZETTI: Move to admit Exhibit 661.
20	THE HEARING OFFICER: Ms. Bugel?
21	MS. BUGEL: No objection.
22	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. 661 is
23	admitted.
24	

<u> </u>	
	Page 227
1	(Whereupon document so
2	offered was received in
3	evidence as Respondent's
4	Exhibit No. 661.)
5	BY MS. FRANZETTI:
6	Q. Regarding the two active ponds at Will
7	County, ponds 2S and 3S, how do the CCR rules
8	affect those ponds?
9	A. Those two ponds are part of the CCR
10	rules.
11	Q. And is Midwest Gen following the CCR
12	rules with respect to those ponds?
13	A. Yes, we are. We do our inspections,
14	our annual reports, fugitive dust emission
15	reporting, everything that is part of the CCR
16	rule.
17	Q. Ms. Race, I'm putting in front of you
18	Exhibit 666. If you would take a look at
19	Exhibit 666, please.
20	A. Sure.
21	Q. And that is a copy of the timeline that
22	we've been referring to at times in your
23	testimony regarding Will County. And if you
24	could just take a moment to look through it.

Page 228

Does it accurately reflect the relevant events at Will County station with regard to its operation and the ash ponds?

A. Yes, it does.

5 MS. FRANZETTI: And Counsel and Mr. Hearing 6 Officer, I'm going to anticipate an issue here. 7 There is a picture of one of the ash ponds that 8 is on the third to last page of the exhibit. 9 And Ms. Gale is pointing out to me that this picture is a duplicate of the picture included 10 11 in Exhibit 510 that has already been admitted, 12 and specifically on the Bates page 34309 of 13 Exhibit 510.

14 So given that that's already introduced 15 into evidence, I think it is appropriate and 16 admissible to have it included in this exhibit. 17 THE HEARING OFFICER: Ms. Bugel? 18 MS. BUGEL: So, yeah, I agree, we would 19 object to the photo. I think it's appropriate 20 and admissible to have it in 510 because it's 21 got context there, whereas here it loses that 22 context. 23 And, in addition, we do have other 24 objections to 666. Again, the same photo of --

Page 229 1 appears on the first page. We have the same 2 objection here as we did to that photo on other 3 timelines. 4 In addition, I've noticed on page 3 5 there is a statement in bold under April through 6 May 2009 where it says [as read]: IEPA asked Illinois coal-fired power plants to install 7 8 groundwater monitoring. 9 There is a statement in bold beneath that where I don't believe we have had any 10 11 testimony on that statement. There is no 12 foundation for it. It appears to be hearsay. So I would object on that ground as 13 well. 14 15 THE HEARING OFFICER: Ms. Franzetti? 16 MS. FRANZETTI: May I ask a question with 17 regard to the -- a question of the witness with 18 regard to the April to May 2009? 19 THE HEARING OFFICER: Sure, try to clear it 20 up. Thank you. 21 BY MS. FRANZETTI: 22 Q. Ms. Race, do you remember speaking to 23 Illinois EPA during the April/May 2009 time 24 period when it had asked Midwest Gen to install

	Page 230
1	groundwater monitoring well networks at ash
2	ponds at Will County and other stations?
3	A. Yes, I do.
4	Q. And did those conversations with
5	Illinois EPA include any discussion of whether
6	in that period, April to May 2009, any of the
7	other Illinois companies with ash ponds at their
8	generating stations were agreeing or had agreed
9	to install the monitoring well networks?
10	MS. BUGEL: And I'm going to object to the
11	question because it's asking not just for
12	hearsay, which I would believe would be IEPA's
13	statements, but hearsay within hearsay if it was
14	other companies' statements to IEPA that then
15	were communicated to the witness.
16	THE HEARING OFFICER: Could you rephrase the
17	question or not rephrase it.
18	Court Reporter, could you read the
19	question back, please.
20	(Whereupon, the record was read
21	as requested.)
22	THE HEARING OFFICER: Yeah, I agree.
23	Ms. Franzetti, can you rephrase possibly?
24	MS. FRANZETTI: Okay. I will try again, yes.

Electronic Filing: Received, Clerk's Office 2/5/2018 Page 231 BY MS. FRANZETTI: 1 2 Ms. Race, before Midwest Gen agreed to Ο. 3 put in the groundwater monitoring networks it 4 did, did it ask IEPA whether any other companies 5 had agreed to put in monitoring well networks 6 for their ash ponds? 7 THE WITNESS: Yes, we --8 MS. BUGEL: Same objection. 9 THE HEARING OFFICER: She may answer if she is able. 10 THE WITNESS: Yes, we asked Illinois EPA and 11 12 we also asked other companies directly, because 13 I had been working with other companies on 14 Title V permitting, so it gave me an opportunity 15 to ask that question. 16 BY MS. FRANZETTI: 17 Ο. Okay. Do you recall what other 18 companies you asked? 19 MS. BUGEL: Same objection. This is still 20 hearsay because it's about the other company's 21 statement and there is no hearsay exception that 22 applies here. 23 THE HEARING OFFICER: You know, I don't think 24 it makes any difference, but I agree with

	Page 232
1	Ms. Bugel. I think, you know, we've beat this
2	to death. So I would sustain Ms. Bugel's
3	objection to "(others do not)." I don't know
4	how we could, you know, do that, cross it off,
5	redact it.
6	MS. FRANZETTI: We can redact it.
7	THE HEARING OFFICER: Is that
8	MS. BUGEL: That is fine.
9	THE HEARING OFFICER: Would that be fine?
10	MS. BUGEL: That would resolve that
11	objection. We still
12	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. If you let me
13	finish, thank you. I know it's getting late in
14	the day, but sometimes I like to talk.
15	And also, I'm addressing the picture of
16	the Poz-o-Pac. I know the transcript has your
17	objections on record and it has Ms. Franzetti's
18	explanation. And I would go a step farther
19	regarding this photo, and I think there was two
20	other photos of the Poz-o-Pac, I would just ask
21	the Board to disregard this picture of the
22	Poz-o-Pac.
23	In relation to this photo, the view of
24	basin from north slope facing southeast, it's

	Page 233
1	the third to the last page, I would allow it and
2	overrule Ms. Bugel's objection.
3	And you can talk now. Thank you.
4	MS. BUGEL: Thank you. Considering the
5	Hearing Officer's ruling on the photo of the
6	Poz-o-Pac, can we have that redacted?
7	THE HEARING OFFICER: I will just ask the
8	Board to disregard. If you want to file an
9	appeal later, that's fine.
10	Okay. I'm going to hand my exhibits
11	I'm not going to say it to Ms. Franzetti and
12	she can redact the others to not, I'm sorry.
13	666.
14	BY MS. FRANZETTI:
15	Q. Would you turn to Exhibit 662.
16	MS. BUGEL: Hearing Officer, before we begin
17	any discussion as to this exhibit, we I
18	this exhibit raises a number of, what I would
19	phrase, serious objections both to the
20	it's the title of it is 2014 Team IL-Beyond
21	Coal Campaign Plan.
22	THE HEARING OFFICER: What exhibit?
23	MS. BUGEL: Exhibit 662.
24	THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Go ahead.

	Page 234
1	MS. BUGEL: Beyond Coal Campaign was a Sierra
2	Club campaign. As a result, we believe there
3	is it is not possible for the witness to
4	authenticate this exhibit. She has no
5	first-hand knowledge of it. It was not created
6	by Midwest Gen. It was created by Sierra Club.
7	In addition, we believe it is it's
8	not at all relevant to the case at issue here
9	and whether Midwest Gen has violated Illinois
10	groundwater standards. It is prejudicial and it
11	is there are privileges related to this as
12	well.
13	I'm not aware of how Midwest Gen got
14	this exhibit, but to me it looks like an
15	internal document that is First Amendment
16	privileged as well.
17	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. I'm going to let
18	Ms. Franzetti briefly respond, but I'm also
19	going to ask most probably if you can brief your
20	objection and it's due by, we can say Thursday,
21	to this exhibit.
22	MS. FRANZETTI: Mr. Hearing Officer, I would
23	like to ask the witness questions of how she
24	came to obtain this document, which I think will

Page 235 respond directly to certain of Counsel's 1 2 objections. 3 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. 4 MS. FRANZETTI: But the -- on some of her 5 other objections, the document is very relevant 6 because it discloses and reveals this Beyond 7 Coal Campaign that the Sierra Club had, who is 8 one of the complainants in this proceeding, 9 whose goal was to simply shut down coal-fired generating stations. And we believe that is 10 11 very relevant evidence. 12 One can tell by how strenuously Counsel 13 is objecting to it that reveals what the true 14 motive is here for this lawsuit which really has little or nothing to do with the ash at the 15 16 stations, whether in the ponds, or found there 17 historically. 18 Okay. Thank you. THE HEARING OFFICER: You 19 may proceed with a couple questions, but I will 20 probably ask for briefing on this issue. Thank 21 you. 22 BY MS. FRANZETTI: 23 Turning to Exhibit 662, do you Q. 24 recognize that exhibit?

Page 236 1 Α. Yes, I do. 2 Is that a document that you found? Q. 3 I found this document by doing a Α. Yes. 4 Google search online with a couple of different 5 environmental groups that I was familiar with in 6 Waukegan because I was getting ready for a 7 meeting with my then boss John Bailor and we 8 wanted to better understand what to expect. 9 So I understand you correctly, you Q. 10 personally found a copy of this document on the 11 internet? 12 Α. I did. 13 Were you aware of its existence before Ο. 14 you found it on the internet? 15 Α. No. 16 Had anybody told you that it existed? Q. 17 Α. No. Frankly, I was shocked to find it. 18 And with respect to this document, what Q. 19 did you find -- did you find any of the 20 information in this document relevant with 21 respect to Sierra Club's plans relating to the 22 Waukegan station? 23 Α. Well, I think the conclusion that I 24 drew from reading through this was that even if

Page 237 John and I met with the group's -- with Clean 1 2 Lake County Power Group, or whatever the name 3 was of that group at the time, there was a 4 consortium of various groups, that we wouldn't 5 be able to achieve any kind of synergy with 6 them. That they're very strategically trying to 7 shut down coal and they are very -- they used 8 Waukegan as an example here. And I was really 9 shocked when I found this. I didn't expect to see that shutdown was the end result. 10 End result of what? 11 Ο. 12 End result of their campaign, that --Α. 13 that it wouldn't be -- I guess, I advised my then boss that it wouldn't be worthwhile to 14 15 carry on any type of discussions with Sierra 16 Club or the Clean Lake Power Group because it 17 didn't make sense, because they weren't going to 18 negotiate with us and try to do anything that 19 was constructive. 20 Did this document refer to any other Ο. 21 Midwest Gen stations besides Waukegan? 22 Α. Let me refresh my memory. 23 I don't believe so. And I'm not seeing 24 it here just on a cursory quick look through.

	Page 238
1	They're very focused on a Dynegy plant and the
2	Waukegan plant.
3	MS. FRANZETTI: Mr. Hearing Officer, I would
4	move to admit Exhibit 662.
5	THE HEARING OFFICER: Yeah, I think what we
6	will do is we'll treat this as an offer of
7	proof. So everything you have asked Ms. Race
8	will be in an offer of proof.
9	And with that said, I would invite
10	Ms. Bugel to ask a few questions of Ms. Race, if
11	you would like, within the offer of proof. And
12	then I will ask you to brief it and have it on
13	my desk no later than Friday, if you choose to
14	ask her questions within an offer of proof.
15	MS. BUGEL: Can I have a moment to consult
16	with Counsel?
17	THE HEARING OFFICER: Sure.
18	MS. BUGEL: So our concern here is, first of
19	all, we have no idea how this ended up on the
20	internet. And First Amendment privilege cannot
21	be inadvertently waived or accidently waived by
22	accidental disclosure. So that's a concern.
23	In addition, you know, I think I
24	maintain the other authenticity relevance and

Page 239

1 foundation objections.

And an additional objection is we -due to the confidential nature of this document, we believe any testimony of -- regarding the document, even the questions that have just been asked and answered, should be confidential in the transcript.

8 I don't believe we have any further 9 objections to this right now. But due to the 10 seriousness of the concerns, especially First Amendment concerns, I would ask that before 11 12 there is any further questioning, we just 13 brief -- have an opportunity to go to briefing. 14 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Very well. Do 15 you think you could brief it -- I was just 16 looking, I don't know -- by Thursday and 17 response by Friday, or brief it the openings on 18 Friday and then response is due Monday and I can 19 do an oral ruling? 20 MS. FRANZETTI: Friday/Monday, I think, would 21 work for us. 22 MR. WANNIER: Friday/Monday works for us. 23 Friday/Monday is preferable. MS. BUGEL: 24 May I ask one question? MS. FRANZETTI:

	Page 240
1	THE HEARING OFFICER: Sure. On the record
2	or
3	MS. FRANZETTI: Yes.
4	THE HEARING OFFICER: On the record.
5	MS. FRANZETTI: Yes. May I ask that Counsel
6	describe what First Amendment right she is
7	referring to so we can start to look at that for
8	response purposes?
9	MS. BUGEL: Can I allow Mr. Wannier to
10	respond?
11	THE HEARING OFFICER: Yes, please do.
12	MS. BUGEL: Thank you.
13	MR. WANNIER: Sure. So under the First
14	Amendment, freedom of association includes a
15	protection under the U.S. Supreme Court case
16	NAACP versus Patterson of internal
17	communications, that and secrets, it has been
18	very well established in case law. And that has
19	been applied to prevent internal communications
20	from all types of groups all over the country
21	from becoming publicly revealed in any sort of
22	litigation.
23	THE HEARING OFFICER: When was the last time
24	the U.S. Supreme Court cited the case you just

Page 241 1 cited? 2 MR. WANNIER: That is -- well, the U.S. 3 Supreme Court wrote this case. I don't know 4 when the last time is that they cited it 5 offhand. 6 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. 7 MR. WANNIER: I can look up the year of the 8 case. 9 THE HEARING OFFICER: No, that's fine. You 10 can -- I was just curious because I think I 11 remember that from law school days but... 12 MR. WANNIER: Well, I can tell you that they -- the U.S. District Court for the Central 13 District of Illinois cited this case in a 14 15 different Sierra Club proceeding just one year 16 ago that I was actually involved in, which is 17 how I know about it. But if you want to see 18 some briefing, it's on the record in that case. 19 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. 20 MS. FRANZETTI: May I show Counsel the 21 redacted portion of Exhibit 666? 22 MS. BUGEL: Thank you. Very good. 23 MS. FRANZETTI: Is that acceptable? 24 MS. BUGEL: Yes.

	Page 242
1	MS. FRANZETTI: And, Mr. Hearing Officer,
2	here is, per your request, the redacted version
3	of Exhibit 666.
4	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. I'm sorry,
5	Ms. Court Reporter, What I'm going to do is have
6	the parties brief this issue that we were just
7	talking about regarding Exhibit 662. The
8	opening brief is due by Friday, the sooner the
9	better on Friday. And then responses on Monday.
10	And, hopefully I will have enough time to take a
11	look at it and make a ruling on Monday or at the
12	very latest Tuesday morning. That's about the
13	best I can do.
14	MR. WANNIER: And between now and Friday, I
15	can clarify for the record that the Supreme
16	Court case name is NAACP versus Alabama, ex rel.
17	Patterson, 357 U.S. 449, and it was decided in
18	1958.
19	MS. FRANZETTI: I'm sorry, 357 U.S. 449?
20	MR. WANNIER: Yes, decided in 1958.
21	MS. FRANZETTI: 1958?
22	MR. WANNIER: Yeah.
23	THE HEARING OFFICER: And also while that was
24	going on, Ms. Franzetti handed me Respondent's

	Page 243
1	Exhibit 666 with the requested redaction on
2	page 3 right after MWG agrees. And Counsel for
3	the Citizen's Group is fine with that. So
4	Exhibit 666 is admitted.
5	(Whereupon document so
6	offered was received in
7	evidence as Respondent's
8	Exhibit No. 666.)
9	MS. BUGEL: And, Hearing Officer, we would
10	also move to strike the testimony about this
11	exhibit that is already Exhibit 662 that's
12	already in the record.
13	THE HEARING OFFICER: I can't do that, but
14	you can note that in your briefing; and if need
15	be, we can redact it later.
16	MS. BUGEL: Very good.
17	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
18	MS. FRANZETTI: No further questions of
19	Ms. Race.
20	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. Let's take
21	a break. Come back here about 3:35.
22	Ms. Bugel, then Ms. Race is your
23	witness and we can start on cross then.
24	MS. BUGEL: Very good.

	Page 244
1	(Whereupon, a recess was had at
2	3:20 p.m., after which the
3	hearing was resumed at
4	3:40 p.m. as follows:)
5	THE HEARING OFFICER: We are back on the
6	record. It's about twenty to 4:00. Ms. Race is
7	still on the stand. Ms. Bugel is ready to cross
8	her.
9	Before we go any farther, I wanted to
10	let everybody know, and for the record, that our
11	chairperson Katie Papademetriu is here and our
12	intern Chloe Cummings, and they're in the back.
13	In any event, you may proceed,
14	Ms. Bugel. Thank you.
15	MS. BUGEL: Thank you very much.
16	CROSS-EXAMINATION
17	BY MS. BUGEL:
18	Q. Ms. Race, you testified today about
19	Geocell, correct?
20	A. Correct.
21	Q. And you indicated that Geocell was not
22	used at pond three south for Will County?
23	A. I believe that's the case, as I sit
24	here.

	Page 245
1	Q. And Geocell was installed at pond two
2	south at Will County?
3	A. I believe so.
4	Q. And it was not used at any other
5	Midwest Generation pond that was relined at any
6	of the four locations at issue in this matter,
7	correct?
8	A. As I sit here, I believe that is
9	correct.
10	Q. And just to confirm, it was installed
11	on the side slopes of pond three south, correct?
12	A. Correct.
13	Q. And it was not installed on the bottom
14	of pond three south?
15	A. I am not certain of that.
16	Q. And do you know if it was installed on
17	the ramp into the pond three south?
18	A. I am not certain without looking at the
19	design documents.
20	Q. Are there design documents that have
21	been used as an exhibit that would refresh your
22	memory?
23	A. I think so.
24	Q. And I apologize, I am not able to tell

Page 246 1 you the exhibit number. MS. BUGEL: Perhaps Kristen or Susan can. 2 3 MS. FRANZETTI: Counsel, you may want to 4 refer the witness to Midwest Gen 510, Exhibit 510. 5 6 THE HEARING OFFICER: Ms. Franzetti -- excuse 7 me, Ms. Bugel -- do I have those exhibits up 8 here, the 500 --9 MS. FRANZETTI: They were used with Becky Maddox. 10 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Here it is. 11 12 Okay. Thank you. MS. FRANZETTI: My problem is the tabs aren't 13 14 fives, they're just one, two, three, four. 15 THE HEARING OFFICER: Understood. Thank you. 16 And we are on exhibit? 17 MS. FRANZETTI: 510. 18 MS. BUGEL: 510, thank you. 19 THE WITNESS: I am reviewing the documents 20 that were -- are the as-built drawings. And I can see that at the weir and Geocell section 21 22 that it was installed there. When I look at the 23 ramp section, I can see that the Geocell was 24 installed there as well on the ramp.

	Page 247
1	And that is on Bates page MWG
2	13-15_34432, I believe.
3	BY MS. BUGEL:
4	Q. And does that refresh your
5	recollection does Exhibit 510 refresh your
6	recollection as to the response to my previous
7	question about whether Geocell was installed on
8	the bottom of the pond?
9	A. No. I believe Geocell was not
10	installed on the bottom. However, there is the
11	Poz-o-Pac, the cushion layer, the 6-inch warning
12	layer, and the geotech style in the drawing.
13	Q. And it was your testimony that Geocell
14	helps to protect the liner, correct?
15	A. Correct.
16	Q. And do you agree that it helps to
17	protect a liner from damage during dredging?
18	A. I don't know what it would mean to
19	protect whether that additional protection
20	would be necessary in the design of the bottom
21	compared to the ramp.
22	Q. Let me clarify my question.
23	Do you agree that Geocell helps protect
24	the side slopes of a pond from damage to a liner

Page 248 during dredging? 1 2 Our engineer specified what they Α. 3 thought was appropriate for the protection of 4 the impoundment during ash handling by 5 equipment. 6 Ο. And does that specification include 7 Geocell? 8 Α. Yes. 9 Can you please turn to exhibit --Ο. Respondent's Exhibit 647. And that would be 10 from your notebooks today. 11 12 I have it. Α. 13 Ο. And just for the record, does this 14 appear to be the signed Compliance Commitment 15 Agreement for Waukegan? 16 MS. FRANZETTI: We can stipulate that that's 17 the case if it will help move it along. It's already been admitted as to the Waukegan CCA. 18 19 MS. BUGEL: Okay. Very good. 20 BY MS. BUGEL: 21 Q. And can you please state what your role 22 was at Midwest Gen at the time of the signing of 23 the CCAs? 24 I was director of compliance for Α. Sure.

Page 249 the environmental services group at this time. 1 2 And I believe you indicated earlier in Q. 3 testimony that you know who John Kennedy is. 4 Α. Yes, I do. 5 And I'm not referring to John F. Q. 6 Kennedy, former president. 7 And turning to page 569, John Kennedy signed the CCAs for Midwest Generation, correct? 8 9 Α. Correct. 10 And since I'm asking about them Ο. collectively, that question was about all four 11 12 even though we're just looking at Waukegan. He would have signed all four? 13 14 Α. I believe he signed all four. 15 Ο. And he was senior vice president of 16 generation -- I realize you already stated that. 17 Moving on. 18 So John Kennedy agreed to the CCAs on 19 behalf of Midwest Generation, correct? 20 Α. Correct. 21 Q. And that would be indicated by, above 22 his name, do you see where it says for 23 respondent? 24 Yes, I do see that. Α.

	Page 250
1	Q. And who is Michael Crumly?
2	A. He is the manager of compliance
3	assurance section for the division of public
4	water supplies at the bureau of water at
5	Illinois EPA.
6	Q. And Michael Crumly signed the CCAs for
7	the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency,
8	correct?
9	A. Correct.
10	Q. And turning to page Bates page 566,
11	this states under 3(a), [as read]: Operations
12	at ash impoundments have resulted in violations
13	of the groundwater quality standards at
14	monitoring wells MW-1, MW-2, MW-3, MW-4, and
15	MW-5. And then it has citations to Illinois
16	statutes and regulations that follow, correct?
17	MS. FRANZETTI: I'm going to object.
18	Mischaracterizing. That sentence begins with,
19	[as read]: Pursuant to the violation notice
20	issued on June 11th, the Illinois EPA contends
21	that respondent has violated the following
22	provisions.
23	So it's taken out of context.
24	THE HEARING OFFICER: Ms. Bugel?

	Page 251
1	MS. BUGEL: I disagree. I believe that's a
2	standalone sentence under 3(a).
3	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. The record will
4	reflect. And, please, no speaking objections.
5	You may continue, Ms. Bugel.
6	BY MS. BUGEL:
7	Q. Do you see where it says that?
8	A. I do see where it says that.
9	Q. Okay. And, again, even though we just
10	have Waukegan in front of us, referring to all
11	four Midwest Gen CCAs, Midwest Generation did
12	the first draft of the CCAs, correct?
13	A. I know that we submitted a letter in
14	which we suggested some CCA agreements.
15	Q. And when you say "submitted a letter,"
16	is it fair to say that Midwest Gen proposed the
17	sum CCA agreements that you referred to to IEPA
18	in that letter?
19	A. That is correct.
20	Q. And some of the contents of the CCAs
21	were informed by the groundwater monitoring
22	results, correct?
23	A. Correct.
24	Q. And, for instance, just one example,

Page 252 requirements for -- or provisions -- let me 1 2 rephrase that. Provisions in the CCA regarding 3 4 insulation of new monitoring wells would have 5 been informed by the results of the existing 6 groundwater monitoring, correct? Not always. Sometimes we found that 7 Α. 8 Illinois EPA wanted additional wells in areas 9 that perhaps we didn't agree with but they considered it something that they wanted. So it 10 11 wasn't always informed by the other groundwater 12 monitoring wells. Aside from the exception that you just 13 Ο. 14 noted, were some of the groundwater monitoring 15 wells proposed in the CCAs informed by the 16 results of the existing monitoring? 17 Α. I suppose you could say that. 18 And the monitoring and analysis of the Q. 19 monitoring results was performed, in part, by 20 Patrick Engineering up until the time of the 21 CCAs, correct? 22 Α. Correct. 23 And referring to Exhibits 613 through Ο. 24 616 --

	Page 253
1	A. I have them.
2	Q Exhibits 613 through 616 are the
3	hydrogeologic assessment plans for the four
4	locations; do you see that?
5	A. Yes, I do.
6	Q. And the monitoring was based on in
7	part on these four hydrogeologic assessment
8	plans, correct?
9	A. Can you clarify what monitoring you
10	mean?
11	Q. The groundwater monitoring results
12	between 2010 and the time of the CCAs that we
13	were just discussing.
14	A. This hydrogeologic assessment plan was
15	established before monitoring wells were
16	installed and suggested where the wells might be
17	installed.
18	Q. Were wells installed in accordance with
19	some of the suggestions in these plans?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. And these four hydrogeologic assessment
22	plans were also written, in part, by Patrick
23	Engineering, correct?
24	A. Correct.
I	

	Page 254
1	Q. And I would like to turn to we are
2	done with those exhibits for now.
3	And I would like to turn to
4	Exhibits 17-D, 18-D, 19-D, and 20-D. And those
5	are Complainant's exhibits.
6	A. Thank you. I'm ready.
7	Q. Okay. Thank you.
8	And those are the Phase IIs for the
9	four locations, correct?
10	A. Correct.
11	Q. And your testimony yesterday indicated
12	that you viewed these as not gospel, correct?
13	A. Correct.
14	Q. And you also said you viewed these as
15	just a snapshot, correct?
16	A. Correct.
17	Q. And you indicated that specifically
18	turning to 20-D, page 23308 referring to
19	page 23308 to 09, you have those in front of
20	you?
21	A. Yes, I do.
22	Q. And the limitations in Section 1.4, you
23	interpreted that as meaning that Midwest Gen
24	should not rely on these, correct?

Page 255 1 I interpret these to mean that ENSR Α. 2 prepared these solely for the benefit of their 3 client, which was Commonwealth Edison, and that 4 any use or reliance on the information is at the 5 risk of the third party, which would be us, 6 Midwest Generation. And that, for example, in 7 the pages that you've pointed out here, that 8 this is the information that was collected at 9 Joliet taking place between October 7th and 27th of 1998. And that's the way that I understand 10 this. 11 12 Do you recall yesterday saying 0. something to the effect that you believed you 13 14 should not rely on these documents as a result 15 of those limitations? 16 MS. FRANZETTI: Objection. I think it's a 17 mischaracterization of testimony. 18 THE HEARING OFFICER: Ms. Bugel? 19 MS. BUGEL: Obviously we do not have a 20 transcript yet, so I did have in my notes that 21 she said we shouldn't rely on it. 22 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. I'm going to 23 overrule it. She can answer if she's able 24 because I don't remember.

Electronic Filing: Received, Clerk's Office 2/5/2018 Page 256 1 THE WITNESS: Could you repeat the question, 2 please? BY MS. BUGEL: 3 4 Do you recall stating yesterday that as Q. 5 a result of Section 1.4, Limitations, you said 6 that Midwest Generation, or we, shouldn't rely 7 on it? 8 Α. I think that I would qualify that to --9 and I probably did somehow qualify that to say that I think it provides information that I'm 10 interested in seeing, but at the same time I 11 12 understand that reliance on this is at my own 13 risk. 14 And do you recall stating that you 0. 15 believed Midwest Generation should develop its 16 own information instead of relying on the 17 information in this document? I don't remember saying that. 18 Α. 19 You don't remember saying we should Q. 20 develop our own information? 21 Α. No, I don't remember the context for 22 that. 23 Do you agree that if the information in Ο. 24 this document is just a snapshot, then over time

Page 257 Midwest Generation should develop its own 1 information as to circumstances in these reports 2 3 instead of relying on these reports? 4 MS. FRANZETTI: I'm going to object to the 5 That's a very broad question, form. 6 "circumstances." 7 THE HEARING OFFICER: Sustained. Rephrase. 8 MS. BUGEL: Okay. I will move on. 9 BY MS. BUGEL: 10 Do you recall stating Midwest Gen is Q. concerned about operating in compliance with the 11 12 law generally? 13 I recall saying that we have a Α. 14 commitment to environment over production, which 15 means environmental compliances, you know, takes 16 precedent over everything else the same way that 17 safety does. 18 Q. I would like to turn to page 23324 19 in -- again, in Exhibit 20-D, which is the Phase 20 II for Joliet. 21 You did rely on the statement in these 22 Phase IIs that there is no requirement under 23 Illinois environmental law to further 24 investigate or remediate this property, correct?

	Page 258
1	A. I relied on that as well as the
2	information that I received from our own folks,
3	our consultants, our legal counsel. And so it
4	wasn't just based upon what's written in this
5	report.
6	Q. And can you please turn to the site
7	plan for the Joliet Phase II. And I am trying
8	to find a page number for that. Page 23339.
9	A. I have it.
10	Q. Thank you.
11	And you did Midwest Generation
12	develop its own information about the ash
13	landfill on the northeast part of the property
14	as depicted in this site plan?
15	A. We had information northeast. Okay.
16	We developed information during inspections that
17	would ensure that there were soils and seeding
18	grasses growing in that area. So we had regular
19	inspections and managed that area in accordance
20	with our NPDES stormwater permit.
21	Q. Did Midwest Generation ever take
22	borings from that area to the best of your
23	knowledge?
24	A. No.

		Page 259
1	Q.	Did Midwest Generation ever conduct
2	leech tes	sts of the ash buried in that area to
3	the best	of your knowledge?
4	Α.	As far as I know, we did not.
5	Q.	Did Midwest Generation ever attempt to
6	estimate	the volume of ash buried in that area
7	to the be	est of your knowledge?
8	Α.	As far as I know, we did not and we
9	were not	asked to.
10	Q.	And you testified that Midwest
11	Generatio	on needed to ensure that that area stays
12	covered,	correct?
13	Α.	Correct. That is what our NPDES
14	stormwate	er plan states that we need to do.
15	Q.	What is that area covered with?
16	Α.	Covered with soils and is seeded with
17	vegetatio	on that looks like a grassy area.
18	Q.	Is that cover impermeable?
19	Α.	I do not know how it was constructed
20	original	ly.
21	Q.	Did Midwest Generation ever attempt to
22	get info	rmation on how that cover was
23	construct	ted originally?
24	Α.	Not that I'm aware of. And even in the

	Page 260
1	CCR regulations, that's not a requirement.
2	Q. And do you know if that cover is
3	designed to prevent infiltration of rain water?
4	A. I do not.
5	Q. Do you know how thick that cover is?
6	A. I do not.
7	Q. And turning to the ash landfill that
8	is appears in the southwest portion of the
9	Joliet 29 property as depicted on the site
10	plan do you see where that appears?
11	A. Yes, I do.
12	Q. Did Midwest Generation ever develop its
13	own information about that ash landfill?
14	A. No, we did not.
15	Q. Did Midwest Generation ever take
16	borings from that area?
17	A. Not that I'm aware of.
18	Q. Did Midwest Generation ever conduct
19	leech tests for the ash buried in that area?
20	A. Not that I'm aware of.
21	Q. Did Midwest Generation ever attempt to
22	estimate the volume of the ash buried in that
23	area?
24	A. No, we have not.
1	

	Page 261
1	Q. And to the best of your knowledge, the
2	ash landfill in the strike that. Do you know
3	if strike that.
4	Can you please turn to Exhibit 19-D.
5	And can you please turn to Bates page 457 I
6	want the site plan, bear with me. On
7	page 45814.
8	A. I have that.
9	Q. And this depicts the former slag/fly
10	ash storage area in the lower left-hand corner
11	of the site plan, correct?
12	A. Correct.
13	Q. And did Midwest Generation ever develop
14	its own information about the former slag/fly
15	ash disposal area at Waukegan?
16	A. The only information that we developed
17	regarded the environmental land use control,
18	which covers half of it from the tannery and the
19	other half of it towards going towards the
20	lake. And we have installed monitoring wells in
21	that area.
22	Q. Did Midwest Generation ever conduct
23	leech tests for the ash buried in that area?
24	A. We don't know that there is ash buried

	Page 262
1	in that area. We haven't done investigation
2	within this whole area to characterize it.
3	Q. And have you ever seen borings that
4	show ash to be in the area designated on this
5	figure as the former slag/fly ash storage area?
6	A. I don't recall whether there was ash in
7	the borings for the monitoring wells that were
8	installed in those areas.
9	MS. FRANZETTI: Counsel, I don't know what
10	you have handed the witness.
11	MS. BUGEL: We've handed the witness a copy
12	of her deposition transcript. I have an
13	excerpted we are getting another copy.
14	MS. FRANZETTI: I understand. But unless
15	this is impeachment or refreshing recollection,
16	I will be objecting.
17	BY MS. BUGEL:
18	Q. And can you please turn to page 88.
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. Line 19 at the bottom of 88, I'm just
21	going to read that for context.
22	And that says, [as read]: Referring
23	to a different exhibit and the second
24	sentence of that bullet says, the

	Page 263
1	elevated concentrations of compounds of
2	interest in MW-5 appear to be the result
3	of a well being installed in the former
4	ash disposal area and not a result of
5	leakage from the current ash ponds.
6	Do you see where it says that?
7	Answer: Yes.
8	Question: Did you form an
9	independent conclusion of whether you
10	agreed with that or not?
11	By Ms. Nijman: In her layperson
12	understanding of it. This is written by
13	a consultant.
14	The Witness: I have I have an
15	opinion that there is ash shown to be in
16	that area but that does not make it a
17	former ash disposal area.
18	By Ms. Bugel: Question: What is
19	the basis for your understanding that
20	there is ash shown to be in that area?
21	Answer: I believe I have seen
22	borings independently that show ash to be
23	in that area bottom ash.
24	Does that refresh your recollection?

	Page 264
1	A. I, at this point, don't recall this.
2	But it looks as though what I was saying
3	although I don't know the whole context is
4	that there might be ash in that vicinity of
5	where I believe the way that this is set up,
6	you had asked about Monitoring Well 5 here. So
7	are we still talking about Monitoring Well 5?
8	Q. We are talking about Monitoring Well 5.
9	A. Okay. So at this point, which was
10	several years ago now when I did my deposition,
11	I remembered that there was shown to be ash in
12	Monitoring Well 5. But as I sit here today, I
13	do not remember that.
14	Q. Okay. And referring still to
15	referring back to Complainant's Exhibit 19-D,
16	and referring again to Bates page 45814,
17	referring again to the former slag/fly ash
18	storage area, to the best of your knowledge, the
19	former slag/fly ash storage area at Waukegan as
20	indicated in Complainant's Exhibit 19-D is not
21	capped, correct?
22	A. I'm not certain. I know I have seen
23	drawings that show seeding in this area, but I
24	don't know what is there or whether it was or

	Page 265
1	was not capped.
2	Q. Aside from seeding in that area, have
3	you ever seen any other indication of that area
4	being capped?
5	A. No, I have not. And I haven't seen any
6	other indication that that area was of either
7	the former slag/fly ash storage area as it's
8	listed here or what occurred in that area.
9	Q. And in your experience, would seeding
10	prevent infiltration of rain water?
11	A. In my experience, not speaking of this
12	particular situation, but in my experience
13	generally, if you if there have been landfill
14	designs where it was there was a clay cap
15	that would be put on and then soil and seeding.
16	And I have seen that occur before in landfill
17	settings.
18	In this particular situation, I don't
19	know anything about what occurred here.
20	Q. So just to confirm, you have seen no
21	indication that a clay clap was installed in the
22	former slag/fly ash storage area?
23	A. No, and I also don't know that it was
24	necessary.

	Page 266
1	Q. And in the boring log for Midwest
2	Generation Well Number 5 Monitoring Well
3	Number 5 there was no indication of that
4	encountering a clay cap, correct?
5	A. I'm not entirely sure that this drawing
6	depicts exactly where Midwest Generation Well
7	Number 5 was and whether it is in this area
8	that's labeled here or whether it's closer to
9	the impoundment because this is a very inexact
10	drawing.
11	Q. But my question was: There was no
12	indication in the boring log for MW-5 that it
13	encountered any sort of clay cap, correct?
14	A. I do not recall that, what was in that
15	boring at this point.
16	Q. Would that boring appear in the
17	Patrick it's going to take us a moment it
18	find that.
19	THE HEARING OFFICER: We can go off the
20	record.
21	(Short pause in proceedings.)
22	THE HEARING OFFICER: We are back on the
23	record.
24	BY MS. BUGEL:

	Page 267
1	Q. We are on 14-C and we are on Bates
2	page 7175, which is the boring log for MW-5.
3	Have you found that?
4	A. Yes, I have.
5	Q. And do you agree this is the boring log
6	for well MW-5?
7	A. Yes, it is.
8	Q. And in reviewing that boring log, do
9	you see any indication of a cap of the boring
10	encountering a cap?
11	A. It looks as though in the top of the
12	strata there is dark brown silky clay topsoil.
13	Q. And to what depth?
14	A. It looks I think it's two about a
15	half a foot, so six inches.
16	Q. And in your experience, have you ever
17	seen a clay cap that is six inches deep?
18	A. In my experience, I have not seen I
19	have not installed a clay cap that was at
20	all. So I have not seen one that is six inches
21	deep.
22	Q. I understand that you haven't installed
23	one at all, but you testified that one of your
24	responsibilities at Midwest Gen is landfill

Page 268 management, correct? 1 2 Α. Correct. 3 Ο. And does that mean you have some 4 experience with landfills? 5 The type of landfill that I have Α. 6 experience with is an unconventional landfill 7 because it does not have a soil cover. It has 8 water as its daily cover. So we -- until we 9 close it, we will not create a cap for it. 10 And have you had any experience -- I'm Q. 11 sorry, let me rephrase that. 12 Have you reviewed documentation of closed landfills at all? 13 14 Α. Yes, I have reviewed documentation of 15 landfill designs. 16 Does that include closed and capped Ο. landfills? 17 18 I have reviewed documentations in my Α. 19 current job of caps for a landfill at one of our 20 stations that is not closed but has a cap. 21 Q. And how deep is that cap? 22 Α. I cannot recall, to be honest with you, 23 and I don't want to guess. 24 Have you ever reviewed any Q.

	Page 269
1	documentation of a capped landfill that has a
2	cap that is six inches of clay?
3	MS. FRANZETTI: Objection. Asked and
4	answered.
5	THE HEARING OFFICER: Ms. Bugel?
6	MS. BUGEL: I don't think
7	THE HEARING OFFICER: Well, she answered she
8	doesn't know and it's been asked before, so
9	sustained.
10	MS. BUGEL: All right.
11	BY MS. BUGEL:
12	Q. Okay. We were still referring to
13	Exhibit 19-D, page 45814. To the best of your
14	knowledge, is the former slag/fly ash storage
15	area at Waukegan lined?
16	A. I don't know that there is a former
17	slag/fly ash storage area that still exists or
18	ever existed there. And I don't know if this
19	potential storage area had a liner or not for
20	that reason. I don't know anything about it.
21	Q. And let me just ask, the area on this
22	map that is depicted as the former slag/fly ash
23	storage area, have you ever seen any indication
24	that there is a liner in that area?

	Page 270
1	MS. FRANZETTI: Objection. Lack of
2	foundation. You haven't established she could
3	have seen under the ground in that area.
4	MS. BUGEL: Well, the question is not about
5	seeing under the ground. It's about
6	documentation of a liner.
7	THE HEARING OFFICER: Could you please read
8	the question back that Ms. Franzetti has an
9	objection to, please.
10	(Whereupon, the record was read
11	as requested.)
12	THE HEARING OFFICER: She can answer if she
13	is able. Overruled.
14	THE WITNESS: I don't know how I would have
15	seen any indication of a liner in that area
16	because we haven't okay.
17	So the design documents that I have
18	seen for the bottom ash selling basins that are
19	adjacent have also areas area next to it
20	where this is labeled that says area to be
21	seeded. And that is the only thing that I have
22	ever seen in documentation about this area that
23	we're you know, was part of the as-built
24	drawings in 1977, '78 time frame.

	Electronic Filing: Received, Clerk's Office 2/5/2018
	Page 271
1	BY MS. BUGEL:
2	Q. And you did not receive any as-built
3	drawings for the area on this map that is
4	depicted as the former slag/fly ash storage
5	area, correct?
6	MS. FRANZETTI: Objection. Lack of
7	foundation. You haven't she has not
8	established anything was ever built in that area
9	for there to be as-builts.
10	THE HEARING OFFICER: Ms. Bugel?
11	MS. BUGEL: That's the question. We are
12	trying to find out if anything was ever built in
13	that area, therefore, trying to find out if they
14	received as-built drawings for that area.
15	MS. FRANZETTI: But this witness has said she
16	doesn't know. She's answered that question.
17	THE HEARING OFFICER: Let's try one more
18	time. If you can answer, please do. But I sort
19	of agree with Ms. Franzetti, we kind of have
20	been there and she doesn't know.
21	MS. BUGEL: I'm just trying to find out if
22	she received as-built drawings for that area and
23	then we can move on.

THE HEARING OFFICER: It sounds like a yes or

24

Page 272 1 no, yes or no, so... 2 THE WITNESS: No. 3 BY MS. BUGEL: 4 And turning to Exhibit 20-D, we're Q. 5 going to the site plan again, which is 6 page 23339. 7 Α. I have it. 8 Ο. We were talking about the ash landfill 9 in the northeast corner of the property as depicted on this page. Do you see that? 10 11 Yes, I do. Α. 12 One more question. Have you ever seen Ο. any indication that the ash landfill in the 13 14 northeast portion of the property is lined? 15 Α. I do not agree that this is necessarily 16 an ash landfill. I don't know about what is 17 there except what is in our NPDES stormwater permit. And I do not have -- I have never seen 18 19 any as-built drawings showing anything about 20 this area. And when you've referenced "as-built 21 Q. 22 drawings," that includes as-built drawings about 23 a liner in that area, correct? 24 Α. Correct.

	Page 273
1	THE HEARING OFFICER: It's 4:30. We can go
2	another 20 minutes and then call it a day.
3	Thank you.
4	BY MS. BUGEL:
5	Q. Does Midwest Generation have any plans
6	to remove the ash that is in the area marked as
7	the ash landfill on this site plan in the
8	northeast corner of the property?
9	A. No. And it is not required under the
10	CCR rule. And we don't know what is there
11	except for what we have in our stormwater plan
12	for NPDES.
13	Q. And turning to the place on the site
14	plan in the southwest corner of the property
15	that is marked with ash landfill on this site
16	plan, to the best of your knowledge, is that
17	is there a cap over the landfill in that portion
18	of the property?
19	A. I don't know that that's a landfill and
20	I don't know that there is ash there and I have
21	never seen any drawings that show that there is
22	any facility of any kind there.
23	Q. And when you say you have never seen
24	any drawings, that includes never seeing any

Page 274 1 drawings that a cap was installed in that 2 portion of the property, correct? 3 Α. Correct. And to the best of your knowledge, the 4 Q. 5 ash landfill in the southwest portion of the 6 property as indicated on this site plan is not 7 lined, correct? 8 Α. I do not know that it is an ash 9 landfill, and I have never seen any documentation of as-built drawings showing a 10 11 liner there. 12 And I just have one more question about Ο. 13 19-D before we completely put that away. 14 Could you please turn to Bates 15 page 45817. 16 Α. I have it. 17 Ο. And this is the soil boring monitoring well site plan for this Phase II, correct? 18 19 It's the soil boring monitoring well Α. 20 site plan, yes. 21 Q. And you've reviewed this soil boring 22 monitoring well site plan before today, correct? 23 Α. Correct, but not for some time. 24 And you indicated earlier, I believe, Q.

Page 275 that arsenic was detected in a well on the 1 2 Waukegan site, correct? 3 Arsenic was detected in monitoring Α. 4 wells that were installed by Commonwealth Edison 5 to understand the tannery site extent of 6 contamination. 7 Do those -- do the borings for those Ο. 8 monitoring wells appear in this site plan? 9 MS. FRANZETTI: I'm going to object to form. Lack of foundation. This is the ENSR document 10 11 and she is asking about a separate ComEd tannery 12 investigation. 13 THE HEARING OFFICER: Ms. Bugel? 14 MS. BUGEL: I may have my notes wrong. Ι 15 thought there was -- I thought Ms. Race said 16 arsenic was detected in one of these wells. Ιf 17 the answer is no --THE WITNESS: I do not know. 18 19 BY MS. BUGEL: 20 Q. Okay. Let me just clear this up for 21 the record. 22 Do you know if arsenic was detected in 23 any of the wells that appear in this site plan? 24 I do not know without looking at the Α.

Page 276 monitoring results because it's been very long 1 2 since I've looked at these. 3 Q. Okay. Do you want to look back at the 4 monitoring results? Sure. For which well? 5 Α. 6 Ο. Okay. Can you turn to Bates 7 page 45800. 8 Α. All right. I have it. 9 Earlier today, did you testify that Q. this indicated that arsenic was detected in the 10 groundwater? 11 12 Arsenic was the only constituent of Α. concern detected at concentrations above the 13 14 IEPA cleanup objectives, is what is stated in 15 this report. 16 And turning to page 45816, do you Q. 17 know --18 Α. I'm sorry, just a moment. I have my 19 pages turned around. Okay. 20 Do you know where that was detected in Q. 21 the monitoring wells that appear on this page? 22 Α. I think you mean 45817? 23 45817, thank you. Q. 24 At what is labeled as the construction Α.

Page 277 debris area, there is Monitoring Well 11, which 1 2 looks like it's right on the border, not 3 actually at the construction debris area as it's 4 labeled here. Monitoring Well 11 is right on 5 the border between the tannery and the Midwest 6 Gen -- now Midwest Gen property. 7 Ο. And I believe in October you testified 8 that it is your practice to review soil boring 9 monitoring well site plans in the Phase IIs, 10 correct? I would have looked at them sometime 11 Α. 12 ago when I first became aware of them. 13 Including this soil boring monitoring 0. 14 well site plan, correct? 15 Α. Correct. 16 That is all the questions I have about Q. 17 this exhibit. 18 MS. BUGEL: I could do one more exhibit. Ι 19 have one short one, Mr. Hearing Officer? 20 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Let's do that. 21 Thank you, Ms. Bugel. 22 BY MS. BUGEL: 23 Could you please turn to Exhibit 606. Q. 24 Α. I have it.

Page 278 1 Q. And could you please turn to 2 page 23637. 3 Α. Okay. 4 And yesterday I believe you testified Q. 5 about the relining program that Midwest 6 Generation began, correct? 7 Α. Correct. 8 Ο. And on page 23637, this shows a 9 sequence to the relining program, correct? 10 It shows a sequence to the relining Α. program that is based upon a number of different 11 12 factors. 13 And in relining ponds, did Midwest Ο. 14 Generation follow the sequence that is laid out 15 here? 16 Α. Let's see. No, we did not. 17 Q. And how did Midwest Gen vary from the 18 sequence laid out here? 19 Well, the schedule that we had Α. 20 originally set up here was considered to be a 21 living document. And there were a number of 22 impoundments that in our internal ranking system 23 that was basically within our system. 24 We -- there were several impoundments

Page 279

that were ranked similarly. And so we could 1 2 interchange those if we needed to with the ash 3 impoundments -- Joliet ash impoundments one and 4 two, we did line those. The Will County south 5 ash impoundment three was lined. And 6 approximately these years are about right, 7 however Will County south ash impoundment two 8 was relined later.

9 And at that point, we -- I know that we 10 lined a couple of other basins for Powerton. And when the CCR proposed rule came out, we 11 12 became concerned that the liner program that we 13 put in place might not match what the final 14 federal rule was. And so we paused with the 15 exception of impoundments where the sites wanted 16 to do the replacement, such as Powerton, and 17 with the metal cleaning basin and one other basin there. 18

And so the sequence -- we didn't follow exactly the sequence, but I also felt that we had flexibility in here, especially after some of the information that we developed as part of the process of doing the liner replacements for ash impoundment two and one at Joliet 29, for

Page 280 example, where we saw the Poz-o-Pac was in good 1 2 shape, which validated our view that the liner 3 replacements were --4 I'm going to interrupt because this has Ο. 5 turned into a narrative. 6 Α. Okay. 7 MS. FRANZETTI: I object to the comment. She 8 asked a very broad question of how did 9 everything change. 10 THE HEARING OFFICER: I agree. Sustained. 11 BY MS. BUGEL: 12 Can you please tell me, Will County Ο. 13 south ash impoundment one that appears in the 2015 line, that did not get relined, correct? 14 15 Α. That is correct. 16 And 2016 Will County north ash Q. 17 impoundment, that also did not get relined, 18 correct? 19 That's correct, and it's because those Α. 20 two impoundments are out of service. 21 MS. BUGEL: And that's all the questions I 22 have about this document. 23 THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Thank you, 24 Ms. Bugel.

	Page 281
1	I think it's a good time to stop.
2	We're about 20 minutes over my closing time,
3	4:30, so it's about ten till 5:00.
4	My understanding is we probably will
5	start off with the non-disclosable stuff
6	tomorrow morning. And this will be continued on
7	record until tomorrow morning, January 30th, at
8	9:00 a.m.
9	MS. FRANZETTI: Mr. Halloran, can we get just
10	a rough idea in terms of having other witnesses
11	ready tomorrow timing wise, if Counsel has a
12	sense, might you be done before lunchtime?
13	MS. BUGEL: Yes, I think so. Let me look
14	here.
15	THE HEARING OFFICER: Do we need this on the
16	record?
17	MS. FRANZETTI: Oh, no. Sorry.
18	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. Have a
19	great evening.
20	(The proceedings adjourned at
21	4:49 p.m. and will resume
22	January 31, 2018, at 9:00 a.m.)
23	
24	

	Electronic Filing: Received, Clerk's Office 2/5/2018
	Page 282
1	STATE OF ILLINOIS
2	COUNTY OF COOK
3	I, Cheryl L. Sandecki, and a Certified
4	Shorthand Reporter of the State of Illinois, do
5	hereby certify that I reported in shorthand the
6	proceedings had at the taking of said hearing
7	and that the foregoing is a true, complete, and
8	correct transcript of my shorthand notes so
9	taken as aforesaid, and contains all the
10	proceedings given at said hearing.
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	CHERYL L. SANDECKI, CSR, RPR C.S.R. License No. 084-03710
17	C.S.R. Electise No. 004 03/10
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

Page 283

1				2
A	acids 124:19	22:14 232:15	67:17 77:8	28:23 52:5,8
	acknowledging	adequate 63:18	92:11 96:8	121:12 122:9
a.m 1:17 88:2,4	37:23	adjacent 6:6	97:15 100:1	122:12 122:9
281:8,22	act 76:14 176:11	136:11 156:3	107:8 119:9	218:9 241:16
ability 167:22	219:24	185:1 270:19	121:5 129:20	264:10 277:12
167:22	action 141:12	adjourned	139:9 142:17	agree 8:1 10:9
able 47:2 126:15	174:8,14	281:20	144:17,20	25:8 35:22
194:21 231:10	active 123:11	administered	150:5 172:9,16	87:8 94:1,3,9
237:5 245:24	167:10 168:11	5:18,20	177:1 179:3	125:12 140:9
255:23 270:13	169:10,12	administrative	180:19 189:9	143:16 165:8
above-entitled	174:22 181:11	36:12 171:23		171:22 213:11
1:15			198:16 216:16	
absolutely 9:19	188:17 191:24	208:17	223:14 224:16	215:18,23
29:16 207:22	227:6	admissibility	225:16 226:23	228:18 230:22
academic 23:7	activities 34:8	67:16 121:4	228:11 243:4	231:24 247:16
accept 7:19	34:10 35:3,5	admissible	248:18	247:23 252:9
140:9 171:24	92:18,19 93:13	228:16,20	adopted 46:1	256:23 267:5
acceptable	95:11 164:20	admission 9:22	101:15 181:3	271:19 272:15
30:15 31:9	165:2 185:12	11:6 170:16	adversely 32:13	280:10
42:19 241:23	215:14 220:3	183:1 188:24	advise 25:24	agreed 10:18
acceptance	activity 168:16	216:12,20	28:8 78:16	11:22 31:20
33:10 42:12	221:4	225:9,11	advised 26:1	34:11 35:24
accepted 94:13	actual 127:1	admit 8:3 27:17	237:13	36:2 84:5
94:20 128:21	149:8	33:18 38:5,5,6	advisor 87:16	92:20 99:12
178:18	add 182:21	40:21 43:24	aerial 6:4 7:12	100:8 143:24
access 50:15	added 30:3	45:11 51:8	48:16,22 50:20	164:21 165:14
51:5 110:11,13	163:17,19	53:24 65:2	57:5,6,19	170:16 230:8
accidental	addition 74:4	67:1 77:2	82:13,15,17	231:2,5 249:18
238:22	105:14 167:2	89:24 91:8	83:7 107:19	263:10
accidently	228:23 229:4	92:7 96:4	108:8 109:9	agreeing 230:8
238:21	234:7 238:23	97:10 99:20	111:3,5,12	agreement 7:18
accumulate	additional 23:3	105:7 106:6,7	123:1 143:21	10:10 30:13,17
220:17	23:17 25:7	119:3 120:19	158:7,13	30:19 34:12
accumulating	82:22 83:8	129:16 139:4	159:12 184:23	35:10 38:3,22
102:12	93:19 136:23	142:13 150:6	187:2,24	45:4 91:22
accurate 11:21	139:1 163:23	169:14 171:18	190:23 191:5	92:21 98:6
42:3 48:23	163:23,24	175:2 176:20	191:17 192:6	126:20 135:7
58:19 65:21	165:9,10	178:23 180:15	192:24 221:11	142:24 143:4
98:8 105:4	179:10 188:20	183:7 189:3	221:15	143:10,15
108:8 116:6	189:15 205:18	197:8 198:2	affect 32:14	146:12 164:15
143:22 149:6	239:2 247:19	222:24 224:12	227:8	164:23 165:3
167:24 181:23	252:8	226:19 238:4	affiliated 85:21	165:18,18
188:6	additions	admitted 10:2	aforesaid 282:9	170:24 178:18
accurately 82:19	222:18	11:9 27:23	afraid 120:1	179:12,14,19
158:14 221:17	address 91:4	33:21 38:15	agency 116:23	180:11 215:9
228:1	106:21 141:2	41:1 44:6	160:8 178:15	221:24 222:2,5
achieve 237:5	141:11 174:7	45:16 51:13	250:7	224:2 226:18
	addressing	54:5 65:7	ago 19:19 27:1	248:15
	I	l		I

Page 284

r				2
agreements	151:22 192:19	applicable 12:13	13:4 44:12	260:19,23
31:24 223:10	227:14	145:22 149:13	52:16 57:9,9	261:10,15,21
251:14,17	annually 118:20	application	61:11 66:21	261:23 262:1,2
agrees 243:2	152:5	34:18 36:10	83:10 84:14	262:4,5 263:4
ahead 156:6	answer 47:2,17	37:10 46:21	88:6 103:21	263:16,17,20
171:4 233:24	75:15 125:5,6	94:11 96:21	122:9 146:15	263:23 264:18
Alabama 242:16	126:15 128:11	98:20 208:9,19	158:23 177:19	264:19,23
alleging 26:18	129:13 168:7	208:21 209:4	190:9 192:19	265:2,3,6,7,8
allow 26:4 87:2	176:6 231:9	216:24 221:19	209:13 211:17	265:22 266:7
217:13 233:1	255:23 263:7	222:4,16	211:17,19	269:15,17,19
240:9	263:21 270:12	application's	279:6	269:21,23,24
allowed 87:1,5	271:18 275:17	99:7	April 129:6	270:3,15,19,20
ALTA 108:20	answered	applied 36:23	190:20 229:5	270:22 271:3,5
187:14	184:22 239:6	96:14 98:14	229:18 230:6	271:8,13,14,22
amended 18:12	269:4,7 271:16	115:1 178:3	April/May	272:20,23
18:15,17 19:2	anticipate 228:6	221:8 223:18	229:23	273:6 277:1,3
19:10 26:23	anybody 236:16	224:1 240:19	area 7:8,11	areas 50:1 57:19
Amendment	apologies 14:16	applies 231:22	11:17,17,20,21	111:4 141:13
234:15 238:20	apologize 126:1	apple 3231.22 apply 36:18	12:2,8,17,21	191:6,7,9,13
239:11 240:6	171:11,12	115:4 186:22	28:21 36:24	191:14 252:8
240:14	216:21,23	221:1	50:3,7,15,18	262:8 270:19
America 19:17	245:24	approach	68:5 73:3	arrangement
amounts 95:8	app 218:16	186:17	94:17,18 97:22	84:5
Amy 37:11	app 218.10 apparent 131:4	approached	98:2,4,4,9,9	arsenic 124:1
analyses 150:20	appeal 233:9	125:19	109:8,9,12,13	127:19 136:16
analysis 25:2	appear 11:3	appropriate	109:15,20	138:5,6,9,13
56:7 148:9	16:2 175:9	31:19 35:19	110:9,11 131:1	139:2 141:11
252:18	182:14 194:4	48:9 64:23	131:4 141:20	141:19,21
analytical 15:12	248:14 263:2	228:15,19	143:11,13,14	142:11 145:11
69:7,10 75:2	266:16 275:8	248:3	143:14,21,23	146:1 148:18
83:23,24 136:6	275:23 276:21	appropriately	144:2,2 150:21	148:19 149:5
152:16	APPEARAN	142:7,9	152:17,19	149:12,12
analyzed 133:19	2:1	approval 9:13	153:15 155:23	150:2 151:16
Anand 87:18	appeared 73:3	97:5 99:4	156:1 159:10	151:17,21
Andrews 68:2	appearing	217:1 222:15	159:15,22	153:11,17,20
68:11,14 74:15	167:16	222:22	160:3,5,9,13	153:24 155:17
75:3 147:6,12	appears 43:13	approve 222:19	160:16,20,24	155:22,23
147:21 148:4,8	62:20 68:2	224:8	161:5,5 162:16	275:1,3,16,22
149:7,11,23	88:9 89:12	approved 14:24	162:18 185:5	276:10,12
150:1	90:7 97:5	37:13 97:1	188:7,11,13	arsinic 126:19
anecdotally	105:19 108:14	98:7,23 174:13	211:12,14	articulated
124:1	119:16 134:4	178:8 222:7	212:20 214:20	120:23
angular 132:22	142:23 147:6	225:22	219:14 221:15	articulation
132:24	164:14 169:11	approves 224:7	221:17,18	167:23
animals 124:20	183:20 208:8	approximate	258:18,19,22	as-built 42:4
annual 61:11	229:1,12 260:8	53:10	259:2,6,11,15	195:1 197:1
104:4 146:22	260:10 280:13	approximately	259:17 260:16	246:20 270:23
		1 I		

Page 285

T				2
271:2,14,22	103:6,8,13,24	265:7,22	association	<u> </u>
272:19,21,22	104:9 105:1,19	269:14,17,22	240:14	B 11:19 12:7
274:10	106:14 110:20	270:18 271:4	assorted 120:17	BA-01 73:17
as-builts 271:9	117:14,17,23	272:8,13,16	assume 219:22	74:8
asbestos 123:24	118:13,15,16	273:6,7,15,20	assuming 209:5	back 13:1,20
ash 9:3 24:9,17	118:17,18,19	274:5,8 279:2	assumption	14:9 20:18
28:9,14 29:14	120:15 133:5	279:3,5,7,24	125:6	22:1,5 31:14
29:17,19 35:14	133:23 134:1	280:13,16	assurance 250:3	37:16,22 40:12
35:16,19 36:24	156:8,21	aside 77:4 88:9	assured 88:13	42:8,9 43:11
41:21,22 43:5	157:13,14,18	252:13 265:2	ASTM 70:21	43:20 47:14
46:6,10 47:7	157:21 159:3	asked 23:12	attached 62:22	49:11 56:17
47:23 48:2	159:10 160:2,5	25:15 56:17	152:8	62:22 73:9
57:6,10,17,18	160:22 161:1,2	112:3,10,15	attempt 259:5	75:20 77:17
57:23,23 58:1	162:8,19,23	114:1 119:22	259:21 260:21	82:13 88:5
58:2,2,4,9,13	163:1,13 164:1	119:23 134:22	attempted 12:20	89:5,17 97:4
58:15,16,20,21	164:3,6 165:4	135:4 140:2	attention 53:4	99:2 100:7,24
58:22,22 59:4	166:10 167:10	147:12 167:10	91:19 97:21	106:9 107:14
59:6,14,15,20	168:11,15,17	204:14 229:6	140:19 143:20	107:15 112:4
59:22,23 60:1	169:7,10,13	229:24 231:11	159:9 168:18	112:13 115:12
60:1,3,4,5,10	173:24 178:6	231:12,18	207:9	117:18 121:11
60:11,15,16,17	181:5,10,11	238:7 239:6	attorney 87:16	123:1 132:14
60:17,21 61:10	191:2,10,11,18	259:9 264:6	176:7	138:3 152:5
61:14,16,17,21	192:1 194:23	269:3,8 280:8	attributed	159:6,15
61:21,22 62:4	196:21 197:22	asking 8:13	130:13	166:13 177:12
63:22 66:3,12	198:24 199:1	63:15,16 75:7	August 37:14	177:18 185:18
66:13,20,22,23	201:13,19	113:24 114:18	115:15,23	190:23 194:13
70:4 71:1,7	202:13,14,18	204:12 230:11	178:8 179:22	196:14 201:20
73:6,7,17,19	202:22 203:9	249:10 275:11	August/Septe	202:24 206:20
73:21 74:2,2,5	204:21,21	aspect 22:13	63:1	207:12 208:5,6
74:5,7,16,17	211:8,9 212:9	assessed 46:5	authenticate	210:18,20
74:19 75:3,5,5	212:12 213:3	assessing 46:9	234:4	214:5 215:3
75:12 76:2,4,5	215:19 219:4,5	assessment 13:2	authenticity	216:21 220:2
76:11,11,16,23	219:9,15	14:20,23 53:1	238:24	225:13 230:19
78:2,8,8,10,13	220:18 221:9	53:23 55:14	available 168:24	243:21 244:5
78:18,19,22	222:15 223:20	74:15 77:22	171:17 176:10	244:12 264:15
79:3,3,20 80:9	228:3,7 230:1	84:6 154:11,21	185:1	266:22 270:8
80:17,20,23	230:7 231:6	156:10,14,20	average 141:19	276:3
81:3 82:2,4,21	235:15 248:4	161:17 162:22	148:23	background
89:21 92:24	250:12 258:12	162:22 183:21	aware 12:22	93:21 130:4
93:4 94:4,5	259:2,6 260:7	184:24 210:22	32:10 46:4,7	backwards
95:5,6,8,9	260:13,19,22	211:5,10 213:1	47:19 104:13	131:7,22
96:16 98:15	261:2,10,15,23	213:18 253:3,7	123:17 125:16	Bailor 236:7
100:8,15,18	261:24 262:4,5	253:14,21	125:18 234:13	bankruptcy
101:2,5,8,16	262:6 263:4,5	assigned 5:4	236:13 259:24	32:12
102:5,6,8,12	263:15,17,20	assist 62:16	260:17,20	base 193:22
102:14,15,17	263:22,23	assistant 208:17	277:12	based 19:3
102:20,23	264:4,11,17,19	assisted 184:21		
	I	I	I	I

Page 286

T				2
22:23 23:2	61:12 102:7,10	70:12 72:12,13	234:1 235:6	border 277:2,5
25:7 84:4	150:19 151:22	72:15 73:2	Bill 30:13	bordered 185:5
147:21 148:7	183:3 192:19	78:19,20 79:22	173:17	bore 132:2
158:11 170:7	213:5 263:19	86:5 89:24	binder 10:11	boring 131:12
214:21 253:6	Bates 15:8 24:12	90:24 103:23	13:14 14:10	131:14,17
258:4 278:11	42:7,21 43:10	109:23 116:11	37:17 39:3	132:3,9,15,17
basically 53:14	53:2 54:16	117:16 127:17	44:22 49:12	133:4,15,19,24
102:11 104:19	55:11 64:17	128:14,19	62:20 68:1	199:2,4,9,12
104:24 110:2	69:11 79:9	148:22 164:3	91:19 95:22	199:19 200:3,5
136:7 137:24	80:12 92:17	166:10 167:5	97:4 99:2	200:14,17,18
149:11 165:24	113:10 130:2	167:17 168:15	108:12 112:14	200:19 266:1
202:22 214:19	131:6 136:21	169:10 170:21	119:15 147:2	266:12,15,16
278:23	152:9,11	174:18 183:3	154:9 161:10	267:2,5,8,9
basin 57:17,17	159:19 164:19	193:17,23	183:19 186:11	274:17,19,21
57:18,18,24	169:3 170:15	197:20 209:16	196:14 201:21	277:8,13
58:9,14,15,23	184:7,11	218:3 224:24	217:22 222:11	borings 23:20
59:8,11,14,15	185:19 197:12	229:10 230:12	binders 127:9	135:17 198:23
59:17,20,23	197:21 198:7,8	234:2,7 235:10	bit 30:12 39:18	258:22 260:16
60:1,6,10,11	198:10,11	237:23 239:4,8	bituminous	262:3,7 263:22
60:16,17,21	202:6 210:24	244:23 245:3,8	195:19 196:6	275:7
61:1,5,9,11,14	215:14 228:12	247:2,9 249:2	black 143:11,13	boron 16:11
61:16,17,20,22	247:1 250:10	249:14 251:1	188:1	84:17,18,19
62:7,9,10 68:4	261:5 264:16	263:21 264:5	blowdown	boss 10:24 30:14
68:19,19 69:12	267:1 274:14	274:24 277:7	202:12,14	236:7 237:14
69:16,17,18,23	276:6	278:4	blue 111:4 191:6	boss's 10:24
70:10 78:5,7,8	Bates-stamped	believed 30:1	191:9	bottom 36:9
78:11 94:4,5	198:5	116:8 157:15	board 1:1 5:3	58:10,16 59:12
94:24 95:3,6,6	bear 261:6	255:13 256:15	87:17 88:21	61:6 66:22
95:7,8,9	beat 232:1	belonged 8:24	90:1 91:7	71:1 73:6,17
100:14,15,18	Becky 218:3	beneath 212:16	107:3 121:3	73:19,21 74:5
100:19 101:2,5	246:9	229:9	167:18 232:21	74:7,16,17
101:8 102:3,5	becoming	beneficial 70:6	233:8	75:3,5,12 76:2
102:6,8,14,15	240:21	76:12 161:3	Board's 182:5	76:4,11,11
102:17,21,23	bedrock 211:24	beneficially	boats 131:1	78:8 117:14,17
103:6,8,10,10	began 121:13	70:18 71:3,7,9	boiler 29:4	120:15 134:1
103:13,24	189:24 278:6	benefit 255:2	73:16,16 74:3	145:3 198:24
104:4 105:20	beginning 90:12	best 86:8 104:9	74:4 124:23	199:19 200:4,8
106:1 117:9,11	104:23 131:11	242:13 258:22	boilers 134:2	200:13,20,21
118:16,18	215:13	259:3,7 261:1	bold 84:11,12	202:14,18
192:15 232:24	begins 250:18	264:18 269:13	229:5,9	245:13 247:8
279:17,18 basin's 60:9	behalf 249:19 believe 5:13	273:16 274:4	boldface 84:9 book 27:10	247:10,20 262:20 263:23
basing 151:2	6:11 27:2	better 114:2 194:7 236:8	65:14 128:23	262.20 263.23
basing 151.2 basins 62:4,14	28:13 29:8	242:9	134:4 187:12	
78:13 270:18	30:21 31:13	beyond 82:24	208:7 223:23	boundary 224:11
279:10	32:7 34:23	87:13 123:16	borax 29:3,5	Brad 5:2
basis 9:16,19	39:14 69:20	161:4 185:6	130:16	BRADLEY 1:19
54515 7.10,17	57.14 07.20	101.7 105.0	150.10	

Page 287

r				Idge 207
breaches 215:19	91:5 92:8,9	251:1,5,6	Calls 74:20	166:4 172:19
break 81:9	96:6,7 97:12	255:18,19	126:2 133:8	174:4,9,12,13
85:16,17 88:8	97:13,14 99:22	256:3 257:8,9	147:23 167:11	179:24 215:15
173:9 177:6,8	99:23 105:9,10	262:11,17	campaign	220:2,8,20
177:10,11	106:3,11,15	263:18 266:24	233:21 234:1,2	223:10 226:7
243:21	116:15 119:5,6	269:5,6,10,11	235:7 237:12	248:18 251:14
breaks 88:23	120:21,22	270:4 271:1,10	Canal 185:8	251:17 252:3
brief 234:19	125:4,13 126:1	271:11,21	cap 265:14	CCAs 32:3,22
238:12 239:13	126:12 128:14	272:3 273:4	266:4,13 267:9	215:12 248:23
239:15,17	129:18,19	275:13,14,19	267:10,17,19	249:8,18 250:6
242:6,8	133:8 134:23	277:18,21,22	268:9,20,21	251:11,12,20
briefing 235:20	134:24 135:1	280:11,21,24	269:2 273:17	252:15,21
239:13 241:18	137:17 139:6,7	281:13	274:1	253:12
243:14	142:15,16	Bugel's 40:13	capacity 118:23	CCR 31:16,17
briefly 89:5	144:18 147:23	77:9 91:7	capped 264:21	46:1,5,9,13,14
194:17 234:18	167:11,20	107:3 232:2	265:1,4 268:16	46:22 47:10,21
bring 50:1 57:4	169:20,22	233:2	269:1	48:6 57:13
62:2 75:14	170:4,5,17	built 271:8,12	caps 268:19	66:14,17
82:14,14,15	171:11 172:11	bullet 262:24	care 23:8 198:1	101:14,18
100:23 107:18	172:17,20	bunch 158:8	career 122:22	102:1,9,14,18
158:7 172:7	175:4,5 176:3	bureau 250:4	carry 237:15	104:1,2,4
221:11	176:6,21,22	buried 259:2,6	carrying 149:19	160:11,11
Bringing 121:11	179:1 180:17	260:19,22	195:19 196:6	181:2,4 207:2
broad 257:5	182:7,8 189:1	261:23,24	case 21:5 39:15	207:4,14,19
280:8	189:5,6 193:24	Buscher 30:13	46:13 57:13	227:7,9,11,15
broader 75:7	197:10,16,20	173:17	141:6 167:18	260:1 273:10
broken 200:20	198:3 204:4	bypass 57:18	234:8 240:15	279:11
brought 6:4	216:13,14,21	59:8,11,13,17	240:18,24	center 1:4 5:5
48:17 51:22	217:3,8 223:2	62:7 95:5	241:3,8,14,18	187:22
114:12 175:6	223:3 224:14	byproduct 68:23	242:16 244:23	CenterPoint
brown 267:12	224:24 225:12		248:17	6:13 7:19 9:17
Bruce 25:15	225:13 226:20	C	case-in-chief	CenterPoint's
Bugel 2:2 3:5	226:21 228:17	C.S.R 282:16	86:17,20 87:5	8:18 10:9
8:5,6 9:23,24	228:18 230:10	C01 120:13	88:11	centimeters
11:7,8 19:6,24	231:8,19 232:1	CA6 64:4,8	Castro 150:13	199:8
21:9,14,17	232:8,10 233:4	cadmium 137:2	Caterpillar 6:11	Central 241:13
27:19,20,21	233:16,23	calculations	Caterpillar/C	certain 31:5
32:16 33:19,20	234:1 238:10	62:21 64:2,14	8:24	141:13 146:21
35:7 38:12,13	238:15,18	64:17,21	cause 1:15	235:1 245:15
40:5,24 44:2,4	239:23 240:9	149:18	causing 67:7	245:18 264:22
44:5 45:13,14	240:12 241:22	California 2:8	caveat 172:2	Certificate
46:23 51:10,11	241:24 243:9	call 58:17 273:2	cc 173:17	42:12
51:12 54:2,3	243:16,22,24	called 160:2	cc'd 171:7	certification
65:4,5,6 67:3,4	244:7,14,15,17	191:11 193:19	CCA 31:1 32:15	44:17 95:17,23
72:13 74:20	246:2,7,18	calling 46:24	34:21 36:14,20	179:23 226:6
75:6,20,23	247:3 248:19	126:13 137:18	44:18 95:18	Certified 282:3
77:4 90:4,5	248:20 250:24	203:11	96:1 100:9	certify 282:5
	I	I	I	I

Page 288

1				
cetera 140:17	citizen 5:9	268:16,20	140:8,13 141:1	154:10,20
206:23	Citizen's 243:3	closer 266:8	140:3,13 141:1	156:4 183:22
chain 114:13	Citizens 1:5 5:6	closing 281:2	143:24 146:9	184:4 187:16
chairperson	claiming 90:16	closure 102:23	147:19 160:15	255:3 275:4
244:11	claims 90:14	103:1,19	160:18,19	communicated
change 76:8	105:18,22	Club 1:3 2:6 5:5	188:13 275:11	230:15
152:5 210:15	125:7,9	234:2,6 235:7	ComEd's 126:24	communicatio
218:18 280:9	clap 265:21	234.2,0 233.7 237:16 241:15	135:11	123:19,21
	clarification			123.19,21
changed 127:17		Club's 236:21	comes 110:1	
characteristics	91:3 197:11	co-counsel	comfortable	240:19
47:4	clarify 218:8	169:23	64:23	companies
characterizati	242:15 247:22	coal 7:9 45:23	coming 7:10	127:17 230:7
194:20	253:9	68:5,23 69:6	86:4 126:18	231:4,12,13,18
characterize	Class 16:3,5,11	73:11,15 76:6	127:19 141:21	companies'
262:2	16:20 17:6	76:8 106:14	143:7	230:14
characterizing	36:17 56:14	110:15 132:6,8	comment 86:5	company 23:9
24:23	71:15,24 72:4	132:11 133:2	86:14,16,22	33:2,13 45:7
charge 208:18	74:14 84:13,16	160:22 161:1,2	87:4 88:10,13	127:18 130:24
chart 117:22	85:7,10 136:16	173:24 204:20	99:8 203:19,21	154:10
118:7 203:3	137:2	204:21,21	203:23 204:13	company's
206:3	clay 265:14,21	233:21 234:1	204:17 280:7	231:20
check 118:2,3	266:4,13	235:7 237:7	comments 87:1	comparable
checking 18:2	267:12,17,19	coal-fired	88:20 203:17	137:7
chemical 71:17	269:2	100.22 220.7	203:17	a_{0} m a_{0} a_{1} 21.22
		108:23 229:7		compare 131:23
71:19 84:15	clean 46:15	235:9	commitment	compared
71:19 84:15 126:18	clean 46:15 194:6 237:1,16	235:9 coarse 132:6,21	commitment 30:13,16,19	-
71:19 84:15 126:18 chemicals 15:24	clean 46:15 194:6 237:1,16 cleaned 61:3	235:9 coarse 132:6,21 coat 195:19	commitment 30:13,16,19 31:23 32:6	compared 247:21 comparing
71:19 84:15 126:18 chemicals 15:24 124:19 130:9	clean 46:15 194:6 237:1,16 cleaned 61:3 70:4	235:9 coarse 132:6,21 coat 195:19 196:6	commitment 30:13,16,19 31:23 32:6 33:9 34:12	compared 247:21
71:19 84:15 126:18 chemicals 15:24	clean 46:15 194:6 237:1,16 cleaned 61:3	235:9 coarse 132:6,21 coat 195:19	commitment 30:13,16,19 31:23 32:6 33:9 34:12 38:3,22 91:21	compared 247:21 comparing
71:19 84:15 126:18 chemicals 15:24 124:19 130:9 130:14,15 Cheryl 1:23	clean 46:15 194:6 237:1,16 cleaned 61:3 70:4	235:9 coarse 132:6,21 coat 195:19 196:6	commitment 30:13,16,19 31:23 32:6 33:9 34:12 38:3,22 91:21 93:1 142:4	compared 247:21 comparing 71:15 Complainant's 14:13,15 15:4
71:19 84:15 126:18 chemicals 15:24 124:19 130:9 130:14,15 Cheryl 1:23 282:3,16	clean 46:15 194:6 237:1,16 cleaned 61:3 70:4 cleaning 57:17	235:9 coarse 132:6,21 coat 195:19 196:6 Cobb 30:14 Code 36:12 collected 56:1	commitment 30:13,16,19 31:23 32:6 33:9 34:12 38:3,22 91:21	compared 247:21 comparing 71:15 Complainant's
71:19 84:15 126:18 chemicals 15:24 124:19 130:9 130:14,15 Cheryl 1:23	clean 46:15 194:6 237:1,16 cleaned 61:3 70:4 cleaning 57:17 61:1,5,8,10	235:9 coarse 132:6,21 coat 195:19 196:6 Cobb 30:14 Code 36:12	commitment 30:13,16,19 31:23 32:6 33:9 34:12 38:3,22 91:21 93:1 142:4	compared 247:21 comparing 71:15 Complainant's 14:13,15 15:4
71:19 84:15 126:18 chemicals 15:24 124:19 130:9 130:14,15 Cheryl 1:23 282:3,16	clean 46:15 194:6 237:1,16 cleaned 61:3 70:4 cleaning 57:17 61:1,5,8,10 62:9,10 66:15	235:9 coarse 132:6,21 coat 195:19 196:6 Cobb 30:14 Code 36:12 collected 56:1	commitment 30:13,16,19 31:23 32:6 33:9 34:12 38:3,22 91:21 93:1 142:4 164:15,22	compared 247:21 comparing 71:15 Complainant's 14:13,15 15:4 18:8 20:11,12 20:21 23:23 24:3,15 37:3
71:19 84:15 126:18 chemicals 15:24 124:19 130:9 130:14,15 Cheryl 1:23 282:3,16 Chicago 1:16	clean 46:15 194:6 237:1,16 cleaned 61:3 70:4 cleaning 57:17 61:1,5,8,10 62:9,10 66:15 279:17 cleanup 55:24 56:14 123:13	235:9 coarse 132:6,21 coat 195:19 196:6 Cobb 30:14 Code 36:12 collected 56:1 255:8	commitment 30:13,16,19 31:23 32:6 33:9 34:12 38:3,22 91:21 93:1 142:4 164:15,22 165:3,17	compared 247:21 comparing 71:15 Complainant's 14:13,15 15:4 18:8 20:11,12 20:21 23:23
71:19 84:15 126:18 chemicals 15:24 124:19 130:9 130:14,15 Cheryl 1:23 282:3,16 Chicago 1:16 2:13 185:7	clean 46:15 194:6 237:1,16 cleaned 61:3 70:4 cleaning 57:17 61:1,5,8,10 62:9,10 66:15 279:17 cleanup 55:24	235:9 coarse 132:6,21 coat 195:19 196:6 Cobb 30:14 Code 36:12 collected 56:1 255:8 collectively	commitment 30:13,16,19 31:23 32:6 33:9 34:12 38:3,22 91:21 93:1 142:4 164:15,22 165:3,17 178:18 179:12	compared 247:21 comparing 71:15 Complainant's 14:13,15 15:4 18:8 20:11,12 20:21 23:23 24:3,15 37:3
71:19 84:15 126:18 chemicals 15:24 124:19 130:9 130:14,15 Cheryl 1:23 282:3,16 Chicago 1:16 2:13 185:7 Chloe 87:19	clean 46:15 194:6 237:1,16 cleaned 61:3 70:4 cleaning 57:17 61:1,5,8,10 62:9,10 66:15 279:17 cleanup 55:24 56:14 123:13	235:9 coarse 132:6,21 coat 195:19 196:6 Cobb 30:14 Code 36:12 collected 56:1 255:8 collectively 249:11	commitment 30:13,16,19 31:23 32:6 33:9 34:12 38:3,22 91:21 93:1 142:4 164:15,22 165:3,17 178:18 179:12 179:13,18 180:11 215:8 221:4 222:1,5	compared 247:21 comparing 71:15 Complainant's 14:13,15 15:4 18:8 20:11,12 20:21 23:23 24:3,15 37:3 54:12,22 81:7
71:19 84:15 126:18 chemicals 15:24 124:19 130:9 130:14,15 Cheryl 1:23 282:3,16 Chicago 1:16 2:13 185:7 Chloe 87:19 244:12	clean 46:15 194:6 237:1,16 cleaned 61:3 70:4 cleaning 57:17 61:1,5,8,10 62:9,10 66:15 279:17 cleanup 55:24 56:14 123:13 123:16 155:19	235:9 coarse 132:6,21 coat 195:19 196:6 Cobb 30:14 Code 36:12 collected 56:1 255:8 collectively 249:11 color 57:20	commitment 30:13,16,19 31:23 32:6 33:9 34:12 38:3,22 91:21 93:1 142:4 164:15,22 165:3,17 178:18 179:12 179:13,18 180:11 215:8	compared 247:21 comparing 71:15 Complainant's 14:13,15 15:4 18:8 20:11,12 20:21 23:23 24:3,15 37:3 54:12,22 81:7 81:14 82:8
71:19 84:15 126:18 chemicals 15:24 124:19 130:9 130:14,15 Cheryl 1:23 282:3,16 Chicago 1:16 2:13 185:7 Chloe 87:19 244:12 chloride 16:22	clean 46:15 194:6 237:1,16 cleaned 61:3 70:4 cleaning 57:17 61:1,5,8,10 62:9,10 66:15 279:17 cleanup 55:24 56:14 123:13 123:16 155:19 276:14	235:9 coarse 132:6,21 coat 195:19 196:6 Cobb 30:14 Code 36:12 collected 56:1 255:8 collectively 249:11 color 57:20 column 72:11,24	commitment 30:13,16,19 31:23 32:6 33:9 34:12 38:3,22 91:21 93:1 142:4 164:15,22 165:3,17 178:18 179:12 179:13,18 180:11 215:8 221:4 222:1,5	compared 247:21 comparing 71:15 Complainant's 14:13,15 15:4 18:8 20:11,12 20:21 23:23 24:3,15 37:3 54:12,22 81:7 81:14 82:8 83:22 96:19
71:19 84:15 126:18 chemicals 15:24 124:19 130:9 130:14,15 Cheryl 1:23 282:3,16 Chicago 1:16 2:13 185:7 Chloe 87:19 244:12 chloride 16:22 16:22 17:5	clean 46:15 194:6 237:1,16 cleaned 61:3 70:4 cleaning 57:17 61:1,5,8,10 62:9,10 66:15 279:17 cleanup 55:24 56:14 123:13 123:16 155:19 276:14 clear 33:14	235:9 coarse 132:6,21 coat 195:19 196:6 Cobb 30:14 Code 36:12 collected 56:1 255:8 collectively 249:11 color 57:20 column 72:11,24 74:8 203:17	commitment 30:13,16,19 31:23 32:6 33:9 34:12 38:3,22 91:21 93:1 142:4 164:15,22 165:3,17 178:18 179:12 179:13,18 180:11 215:8 221:4 222:1,5 224:2 226:17	compared 247:21 comparing 71:15 Complainant's 14:13,15 15:4 18:8 20:11,12 20:21 23:23 24:3,15 37:3 54:12,22 81:7 81:14 82:8 83:22 96:19 98:18 150:8
71:19 84:15 126:18 chemicals 15:24 124:19 130:9 130:14,15 Cheryl 1:23 282:3,16 Chicago 1:16 2:13 185:7 Chloe 87:19 244:12 chloride 16:22 16:22 17:5 chlorides 17:2	clean 46:15 194:6 237:1,16 cleaned 61:3 70:4 cleaning 57:17 61:1,5,8,10 62:9,10 66:15 279:17 cleanup 55:24 56:14 123:13 123:16 155:19 276:14 clear 33:14 64:13 90:17,18	235:9 coarse 132:6,21 coat 195:19 196:6 Cobb 30:14 Code 36:12 collected 56:1 255:8 collectively 249:11 color 57:20 column 72:11,24 74:8 203:17 combustion	commitment 30:13,16,19 31:23 32:6 33:9 34:12 38:3,22 91:21 93:1 142:4 164:15,22 165:3,17 178:18 179:12 179:13,18 180:11 215:8 221:4 222:1,5 224:2 226:17 248:14 257:14	compared 247:21 comparing 71:15 Complainant's 14:13,15 15:4 18:8 20:11,12 20:21 23:23 24:3,15 37:3 54:12,22 81:7 81:14 82:8 83:22 96:19 98:18 150:8 159:20 161:9
71:19 84:15 126:18 chemicals 15:24 124:19 130:9 130:14,15 Cheryl 1:23 282:3,16 Chicago 1:16 2:13 185:7 Chloe 87:19 244:12 chloride 16:22 16:22 17:5 chlorides 17:2 choice 137:11	clean 46:15 194:6 237:1,16 cleaned 61:3 70:4 cleaning 57:17 61:1,5,8,10 62:9,10 66:15 279:17 cleanup 55:24 56:14 123:13 123:16 155:19 276:14 clear 33:14 64:13 90:17,18 105:17 194:2	235:9 coarse 132:6,21 coat 195:19 196:6 Cobb 30:14 Code 36:12 collected 56:1 255:8 collectively 249:11 color 57:20 column 72:11,24 74:8 203:17 combustion 45:24 68:5,23	commitment 30:13,16,19 31:23 32:6 33:9 34:12 38:3,22 91:21 93:1 142:4 164:15,22 165:3,17 178:18 179:12 179:13,18 180:11 215:8 221:4 222:1,5 224:2 226:17 248:14 257:14 committed 33:2	compared 247:21 comparing 71:15 Complainant's 14:13,15 15:4 18:8 20:11,12 20:21 23:23 24:3,15 37:3 54:12,22 81:7 81:14 82:8 83:22 96:19 98:18 150:8 159:20 161:9 213:16 221:21
71:19 84:15 126:18 chemicals 15:24 124:19 130:9 130:14,15 Cheryl 1:23 282:3,16 Chicago 1:16 2:13 185:7 Chloe 87:19 244:12 chloride 16:22 16:22 17:5 chlorides 17:2 choice 137:11 choose 238:13	clean 46:15 194:6 237:1,16 cleaned 61:3 70:4 cleaning 57:17 61:1,5,8,10 62:9,10 66:15 279:17 cleanup 55:24 56:14 123:13 123:16 155:19 276:14 clear 33:14 64:13 90:17,18 105:17 194:2 229:19 275:20	235:9 coarse 132:6,21 coat 195:19 196:6 Cobb 30:14 Code 36:12 collected 56:1 255:8 collectively 249:11 color 57:20 column 72:11,24 74:8 203:17 combustion 45:24 68:5,23 69:7 73:11,15	commitment 30:13,16,19 31:23 32:6 33:9 34:12 38:3,22 91:21 93:1 142:4 164:15,22 165:3,17 178:18 179:12 179:13,18 180:11 215:8 221:4 222:1,5 224:2 226:17 248:14 257:14 committed 33:2 common 211:21	compared 247:21 comparing 71:15 Complainant's 14:13,15 15:4 18:8 20:11,12 20:21 23:23 24:3,15 37:3 54:12,22 81:7 81:14 82:8 83:22 96:19 98:18 150:8 159:20 161:9 213:16 221:21 221:23 254:5
71:19 84:15 126:18 chemicals 15:24 124:19 130:9 130:14,15 Cheryl 1:23 282:3,16 Chicago 1:16 2:13 185:7 Chloe 87:19 244:12 chloride 16:22 16:22 17:5 chlorides 17:2 choice 137:11 choose 238:13 chromium 71:21	clean 46:15 194:6 237:1,16 cleaned 61:3 70:4 cleaning 57:17 61:1,5,8,10 62:9,10 66:15 279:17 cleanup 55:24 56:14 123:13 123:16 155:19 276:14 clear 33:14 64:13 90:17,18 105:17 194:2 229:19 275:20 client 255:3	235:9 coarse 132:6,21 coat 195:19 196:6 Cobb 30:14 Code 36:12 collected 56:1 255:8 collectively 249:11 color 57:20 column 72:11,24 74:8 203:17 combustion 45:24 68:5,23 69:7 73:11,15 come 23:6 31:3	commitment 30:13,16,19 31:23 32:6 33:9 34:12 38:3,22 91:21 93:1 142:4 164:15,22 165:3,17 178:18 179:12 179:13,18 180:11 215:8 221:4 222:1,5 224:2 226:17 248:14 257:14 committed 33:2 common 211:21 Commonwealth	compared 247:21 comparing 71:15 Complainant's 14:13,15 15:4 18:8 20:11,12 20:21 23:23 24:3,15 37:3 54:12,22 81:7 81:14 82:8 83:22 96:19 98:18 150:8 159:20 161:9 213:16 221:21 221:23 254:5 264:15,20
71:19 84:15 126:18 chemicals 15:24 124:19 130:9 130:14,15 Cheryl 1:23 282:3,16 Chicago 1:16 2:13 185:7 Chloe 87:19 244:12 chloride 16:22 16:22 17:5 chlorides 17:2 choice 137:11 choose 238:13 chromium 71:21 71:23 137:5,23	clean 46:15 194:6 237:1,16 cleaned 61:3 70:4 cleaning 57:17 61:1,5,8,10 62:9,10 66:15 279:17 cleanup 55:24 56:14 123:13 123:16 155:19 276:14 clear 33:14 64:13 90:17,18 105:17 194:2 229:19 275:20 client 255:3 close 32:4 46:15	235:9 coarse 132:6,21 coat 195:19 196:6 Cobb 30:14 Code 36:12 collected 56:1 255:8 collectively 249:11 color 57:20 column 72:11,24 74:8 203:17 combustion 45:24 68:5,23 69:7 73:11,15 come 23:6 31:3 86:6 106:9	commitment 30:13,16,19 31:23 32:6 33:9 34:12 38:3,22 91:21 93:1 142:4 164:15,22 165:3,17 178:18 179:12 179:13,18 180:11 215:8 221:4 222:1,5 224:2 226:17 248:14 257:14 committed 33:2 common 211:21 Commonwealth 49:16 50:11,14	compared 247:21 comparing 71:15 Complainant's 14:13,15 15:4 18:8 20:11,12 20:21 23:23 24:3,15 37:3 54:12,22 81:7 81:14 82:8 83:22 96:19 98:18 150:8 159:20 161:9 213:16 221:21 221:23 254:5 264:15,20 complainants
71:19 84:15 126:18 chemicals 15:24 124:19 130:9 130:14,15 Cheryl 1:23 282:3,16 Chicago 1:16 2:13 185:7 Chloe 87:19 244:12 chloride 16:22 16:22 17:5 chlorides 17:2 choice 137:11 choose 238:13 chromium 71:21 71:23 137:5,23 circumstances	clean 46:15 194:6 237:1,16 cleaned 61:3 70:4 cleaning 57:17 61:1,5,8,10 62:9,10 66:15 279:17 cleanup 55:24 56:14 123:13 123:16 155:19 276:14 clear 33:14 64:13 90:17,18 105:17 194:2 229:19 275:20 client 255:3 close 32:4 46:15 46:15 83:12	235:9 coarse 132:6,21 coat 195:19 196:6 Cobb 30:14 Code 36:12 collected 56:1 255:8 collectively 249:11 color 57:20 column 72:11,24 74:8 203:17 combustion 45:24 68:5,23 69:7 73:11,15 come 23:6 31:3 86:6 106:9 177:12 243:21	commitment 30:13,16,19 31:23 32:6 33:9 34:12 38:3,22 91:21 93:1 142:4 164:15,22 165:3,17 178:18 179:12 179:13,18 180:11 215:8 221:4 222:1,5 224:2 226:17 248:14 257:14 committed 33:2 common 211:21 Commonwealth 49:16 50:11,14 51:4 108:21	compared 247:21 comparing 71:15 Complainant's 14:13,15 15:4 18:8 20:11,12 20:21 23:23 24:3,15 37:3 54:12,22 81:7 81:14 82:8 83:22 96:19 98:18 150:8 159:20 161:9 213:16 221:21 221:23 254:5 264:15,20 complainants 1:6 2:10 5:7
71:19 84:15 126:18 chemicals 15:24 124:19 130:9 130:14,15 Cheryl 1:23 282:3,16 Chicago 1:16 2:13 185:7 Chloe 87:19 244:12 chloride 16:22 16:22 17:5 chlorides 17:2 choice 137:11 choose 238:13 chromium 71:21 71:23 137:5,23 circumstances 257:2,6	clean 46:15 194:6 237:1,16 cleaned 61:3 70:4 cleaning 57:17 61:1,5,8,10 62:9,10 66:15 279:17 cleanup 55:24 56:14 123:13 123:16 155:19 276:14 clear 33:14 64:13 90:17,18 105:17 194:2 229:19 275:20 client 255:3 close 32:4 46:15 46:15 83:12 103:15 104:12	235:9 coarse 132:6,21 coat 195:19 196:6 Cobb 30:14 Code 36:12 collected 56:1 255:8 collectively 249:11 color 57:20 column 72:11,24 74:8 203:17 combustion 45:24 68:5,23 69:7 73:11,15 come 23:6 31:3 86:6 106:9 177:12 243:21 ComEd 109:1	commitment 30:13,16,19 31:23 32:6 33:9 34:12 38:3,22 91:21 93:1 142:4 164:15,22 165:3,17 178:18 179:12 179:13,18 180:11 215:8 221:4 222:1,5 224:2 226:17 248:14 257:14 committed 33:2 common 211:21 Commonwealth 49:16 50:11,14 51:4 108:21 125:22 129:10	compared 247:21 comparing 71:15 Complainant's 14:13,15 15:4 18:8 20:11,12 20:21 23:23 24:3,15 37:3 54:12,22 81:7 81:14 82:8 83:22 96:19 98:18 150:8 159:20 161:9 213:16 221:21 221:23 254:5 264:15,20 complainants 1:6 2:10 5:7 86:1 105:10
71:19 84:15 126:18 chemicals 15:24 124:19 130:9 130:14,15 Cheryl 1:23 282:3,16 Chicago 1:16 2:13 185:7 Chloe 87:19 244:12 chloride 16:22 16:22 17:5 chlorides 17:2 choice 137:11 choose 238:13 chromium 71:21 71:23 137:5,23 circumstances 257:2,6 citations 250:15	clean 46:15 194:6 237:1,16 cleaned 61:3 70:4 cleaning 57:17 61:1,5,8,10 62:9,10 66:15 279:17 cleanup 55:24 56:14 123:13 123:16 155:19 276:14 clear 33:14 64:13 90:17,18 105:17 194:2 229:19 275:20 client 255:3 close 32:4 46:15 46:15 83:12 103:15 104:12 157:14 268:9	235:9 coarse 132:6,21 coat 195:19 196:6 Cobb 30:14 Code 36:12 collected 56:1 255:8 collectively 249:11 color 57:20 column 72:11,24 74:8 203:17 combustion 45:24 68:5,23 69:7 73:11,15 come 23:6 31:3 86:6 106:9 177:12 243:21 ComEd 109:1 125:23 126:10	commitment 30:13,16,19 31:23 32:6 33:9 34:12 38:3,22 91:21 93:1 142:4 164:15,22 165:3,17 178:18 179:12 179:13,18 180:11 215:8 221:4 222:1,5 224:2 226:17 248:14 257:14 committed 33:2 common 211:21 Commonwealth 49:16 50:11,14 51:4 108:21 125:22 129:10 134:14 139:23	compared 247:21 comparing 71:15 Complainant's 14:13,15 15:4 18:8 20:11,12 20:21 23:23 24:3,15 37:3 54:12,22 81:7 81:14 82:8 83:22 96:19 98:18 150:8 159:20 161:9 213:16 221:21 221:23 254:5 264:15,20 complainants 1:6 2:10 5:7 86:1 105:10 175:5,7 235:8

Page 289

T				2
282:7	concern 55:22	confidential	225:2 276:24	123:23 124:21
completed 38:1	56:14 86:16	239:3,6	277:3	127:24 135:24
41:23 44:13,19	91:4 105:18,21	confirm 98:19	constructive	136:9 138:6
45:4 95:19	155:18 160:20	245:10 265:20	237:19	141:1,2,6
96:2 180:1	238:18,22	confused 196:1	consult 238:15	143:7 149:9
226:8,17	276:13	confusing 196:8	consultant 21:4	168:13 169:11
completely	concerned 208:1	connected 26:4	41:19 147:15	275:6
46:15 274:13	257:11 279:12	26:5	148:15 150:22	contends 250:20
compliance	concerning 6:16	connection	263:13	content 182:15
30:12,16,19	64:15 78:17	160:19	consultants 23:5	182:16
31:23 32:14,22	185:3	considered	23:8 63:10	contents 89:18
34:8,10,12	concerns 239:10	148:15 252:10	133:18 258:3	133:24 251:20
35:2,5 38:2,21	239:11	278:20	contact 6:19	context 170:9
45:4 53:12	conclude 101:23	Considering	141:10 142:8	228:21,22
57:2 91:21	147:21 149:11	233:4	contacted 6:15	250:23 256:21
92:18 93:12	163:24 164:1	consistent 56:12	123:24	262:21 264:3
95:11 164:15	185:2 205:6	195:14	contain 15:5	continue 6:3
164:20,21,22	concluded 23:15	consistently	19:10 35:10	17:8,16 35:18
165:3,17	102:8 148:8	148:20	68:21,22 138:7	35:24 92:24
178:17 179:11	concluding 26:9	consists 66:19	152:19 162:1	151:21 162:14
179:13,18	conclusion	120:15	169:2,3 184:19	163:20 165:14
180:9,11 215:8	46:24 56:18	consortium	185:24	173:10 215:20
215:14 220:2	75:8 76:10	237:4	contained 15:9	251:5
221:3 222:1,5	79:1 212:24	constituent	19:3,11 41:17	continued 4:1
224:2 226:15	236:23 263:9	145:22 146:18	42:15,24 43:15	85:12 146:10
226:17 248:14	conclusions 25:8	155:18 276:12	56:5 63:4	281:6
248:24 250:2	150:1	constituents	64:16 66:5	continues
257:11	concrete 192:14	9:11 17:4 36:2	71:13 73:13	188:13
compliances	219:12,14	55:22 56:13	112:6 113:3	continuing 5:12
257:15	condition 29:22	71:17,19 74:17	125:6 132:1	34:9 136:19,22
compliant 33:9	30:2,4 79:5,6	153:9 162:9	152:13 155:1	control 1:1 5:3
comply 32:2	90:19 101:9,12	construct 12:4	containing	6:21 7:4 10:17
220:13	156:21,24	constructed	37:24 42:4	28:24 29:1
components	157:2,4 164:4	53:11 58:9	136:15	51:6 94:16
57:22	170:22 183:1	61:5 90:10	contains 56:7	98:6 125:21,24
compounds	205:16,19,22	110:24 191:22	66:7 89:18	135:7 140:4,7
263:1	210:9,12	196:3,4 259:19	182:9 184:20	142:4 143:4,15
compressed	conditions 8:20	259:23	282:9	163:8 188:11
73:4	12:9 136:11	construction	contaminants	222:17 261:17
concentration	conduct 17:9	31:14 34:19	124:24 136:24	convenient
141:19 148:22	81:3 101:16	41:12 42:16	137:1 163:4	86:14
concentrations	259:1 260:18	43:1,16,17	164:8 174:23	conversations
55:23 137:6	261:22	62:17 63:14	contaminated	133:21 230:4
141:13 149:12	conducted	64:15,24 115:7	12:10 214:21	conveyed 9:17
151:17 152:2	179:10	116:3 156:1	contamination	COOK 282:2
155:19 263:1	conducting 48:5	195:6 217:1	28:15 29:10	copied 151:7
276:13	confer 169:23	218:14,16,18	55:15,18 67:8	178:21
	1	1	1	1

Page 29	U
---------	---

				2
copies 151:11	251:12,19,22	country 240:20	156:16 160:15	D
copy 91:21	251:23 252:6	county 27:14	170:15 181:9	D3987-85 70:21
98:19 99:4,14	252:21,22	49:5 99:18	218:16 259:18	daily 268:8
104:20 107:14	253:8,23,24	107:24 161:24	259:22 260:2,5	damage 35:15
168:21 181:17	254:9,10,12,13	181:14 183:18	268:7,8	247:17,24
182:24 227:21	254:15,16,24	183:21 187:2,5	covered 11:22	Darin 167:5
236:10 262:11	257:24 259:12	187:6,9 188:16	47:10 102:3,17	dark 131:19
262:13	259:13 261:11	188:20 189:16	218:12 221:18	143:11 267:12
copying 24:7	261:12 264:21	189:18,19,24	259:12,15,16	darkened
core 90:17	266:4,13 268:1	190:24 191:1	covers 14:7	143:13
106:13,13,16	268:2 271:5	192:1,21 193:8	96:15 98:14	data 17:24 18:20
200:6,7,13	272:23,24	195:4,14 196:3	178:3 221:8	22:2,13 23:14
corner 106:2	274:2,3,7,18	196:22 199:1	223:19 261:18	23:17 24:8,17
156:2 202:7	274:22,23	199:20 201:16	create 268:9	25:7,19 27:3
261:10 272:9	275:2 277:10	202:3,10,22	created 234:5,6	55:14 56:8
273:8,14	277:14,15	203:7,9,18	creating 168:16	127:11,14,15
Corporation	278:6,7,9	205:2,14	cross 75:15	214:21
185:9	280:14,15,18	206:11,12	194:7 232:4	date 34:20 35:1
correct 16:21	280:19 282:8	208:10 210:24	243:23 244:7	36:13 113:16
18:6 19:5 27:5	corrected 19:3	211:4,14 212:3	CROSS-EXA	122:14 134:8
65:24 72:6,9	19:11 27:4	212:6 213:11	3:5 244:16	dated 43:14
72:10,23 73:8	149:22	213:19 214:1	cross-hashed	49:16 68:3
80:24 83:6,9	correcting 20:5	215:10 216:6	11:16	113:16 173:18
85:10,11 97:7	72:21	218:1 221:19	Crumly 33:11	183:22 184:5
97:9 99:16	corrections	222:7 223:12	250:1,6	dates 135:16
111:13 114:11	19:21 20:8	223:18 224:3	CSR 1:23	David 150:19
114:15 115:11	corrective 174:8	225:22 226:7	282:16	day 15:18 86:8
116:5 122:19	174:14	227:7,23 228:2	Cummings	120:16 232:14
122:20,23	correctly 34:24	230:2 237:2	87:19 244:12	273:2
129:15 140:10	60:2 171:6	244:22 245:2	curious 241:10	days 34:17,20
144:3,4 146:2	193:18 218:18	279:4,7 280:12	current 28:13	36:4,13 241:11
146:3 153:5,7	236:9	280:16 282:2	29:12 65:22	de-water 59:5
156:11,12	correspond	couple 116:7	78:10 120:4,4	219:5
164:12,13,17	163:9	127:9 187:3	158:17 168:12	deactivated
164:18,24	counsel 170:12	214:18 222:17	168:15,16	190:6,8,12,13
165:7 181:13	171:18 228:5	235:19 236:4	263:5 268:19	190:0,0,12,15
186:15,16	235:12 238:16	279:10	currently 52:1	deal 141:5 142:9
188:5 198:13	240:5 241:20	course 31:10	61:16 69:23	172:17
198:14 200:15	243:2 246:3	122:22 182:10	121:16,22	death 232:2
200:16,22	258:3 262:9	court 5:16 32:10	169:10	debris 156:1
203:5,6 208:4	281:11	230:18 240:15	cursory 237:24	277:1,3
218:11 244:19	counsel's 91:4	240:24 241:3	cushion 64:6	December 15:14
244:20 245:7,9	235:1	241:13 242:5	219:24 247:11	15:17 46:2
245:11,12	countersign	242:16	cut 88:11,22	190:11
247:14,15	30:23	cover 7:7 14:5,6	103:11,12	decide 8:1
249:8,9,19,20	countersigned	64:10 94:18	cyclone 58:18	102:20,24
250:8,9,16	30:21	127:21 149:24	134:2	102.20,24
<i>,- , -</i>	I		l	l

Page 291

decided 25:10	199:10,21	determination	202:13	document 7:16
117:8 207:18	200:3,5,17,18	46:20 70:6	discharges 66:9	10:3,12,14,17
242:17,20	200:19 211:16	determine 70:18	66:11	11:11 13:16,20
decreasing	212:22 267:13	101:17 181:4	discloses 235:6	13:23 20:24
146:20 151:18	Des 185:10	determined 47:3	disclosure	21:3 31:22
151:23,24	describe 15:19	160:5	238:22	33:6 34:1
deep 212:14	34:10 42:3	develop 256:15	discovered	37:19 38:16
267:17,21	58:19 66:18	256:20 257:1	48:10	39:4 41:3,11
268:21	70:8 109:10	258:12 260:12	discovery	41:17 42:17
deeper 201:1	164:20 194:22	261:13	162:18	43:10,18 44:7
defined 145:22	211:3 240:6	developed	discretion 87:2	44:24 45:3,17
definition 46:13	described 55:5	258:16 261:16	88:18	51:14 52:21
degree 149:8	95:11 100:13	279:22	discuss 22:2	54:6,16 64:14
delve 8:10	102:3 109:15	development	discussed 22:9	65:8,14 67:19
demolished 52:4	162:21 186:5,7	77:20	30:11 31:19	68:8,12,15
52:9,10	216:3	diagram 84:23	47:15 86:5	77:10,24 79:9
denoted 7:9,13	describes 70:9	difference	88:18 113:19	84:23 91:11,20
50:4 57:20	184:23	231:24	155:2 161:23	92:1,12 93:11
191:10	describing	different 22:16	discusses 55:15	96:9 97:16
density 132:5	133:24 196:21	22:17 23:20	discussing 63:12	100:2 104:5
Department	description	26:2,7 55:8,9	253:13	107:9 108:19
69:20	24:16 43:21	61:18 132:1,8	discussion 40:10	121:6 129:1,21
depending	136:8	148:19 165:22	230:5 233:17	130:12 131:7
66:14	design 63:7	195:20 200:1	discussions	131:11 134:9
depends 57:12	115:3 210:15	236:4 241:15	30:14,24 31:4	134:12,16,21
146:18	219:17 245:19	262:23 278:11	31:10 33:1	135:16 138:23
depict 50:6	245:20 247:20	dig 68:18	82:3 237:15	139:10,17
82:19 158:14	270:17	direct 3:4 5:22	disposal 35:17	140:1,2,11,20
191:8,17	designated	91:18 140:19	145:20 185:12	142:18 144:21
221:18	262:4	141:10 159:9	201:16 261:15	147:9,12
depicted 11:19	designed 260:3	168:18 177:22	263:4,17	150:15,17
50:15 109:9	designs 265:14	207:8	disposed 141:15	154:17 161:14
258:14 260:9	268:15	directing 5:15	disregard	161:16 169:2
269:22 271:4	desk 23:24 37:3	53:3 97:21	232:21 233:8	173:19,22
272:10	147:1 238:13	131:16 143:19	dissolved 137:3	176:13 177:2
depicting 98:3	detail 17:24	direction 25:2	152:2,7 153:12	178:13 179:4
106:10	details 105:13	109:5 165:11	153:17	180:20 181:21
depiction 48:23	146:17 197:23	directly 78:9	distinguish	182:15 183:9
98:8 143:23	detected 9:7	109:14 193:4,6	193:3	183:24 185:19
depictions 82:16	16:6,11,15,17	231:12 235:1	District 241:13	194:18,19
depicts 50:8	17:5 55:23	director 248:24	241:14	195:4 196:20
98:4 261:9	56:14 84:20	disagree 67:10	disturb 12:21	196:21,24
266:6	85:6 155:18,24	251:1	disturbed 12:11	197:2 198:18
deposition	275:1,3,16,22	discharge 39:16	142:6	199:17 201:24
262:12 264:10	276:10,13,20	66:9,9,19,22	divided 26:3	208:11,15
deposits 9:3	detection 85:9	67:5 69:4	division 250:3	217:15,23,24
depth 195:7,13	detects 153:22	120:14 145:20	docket 5:9	218:1 219:12
1	I	I	I	I

Page 292

r				5
222:13,14,17	79:19 80:9,16	Dunaway	eastern-most	94:15 98:9,14
222:21 223:5	80:20,23 85:1	115:15,22	9:3	98:20,23 99:5
223:23 224:5,6	152:23 153:1	166:8,13 167:2	economizer	99:9,12,18
225:17 226:13	157:21 162:10	167:9,15	66:23	125:23 126:10
227:1 234:15	163:4 164:9,11	168:10,14,22	Eddy 129:8	126:22 127:21
234:24 235:5	212:9,11	169:9 172:14	134:14	135:12 142:24
236:2,3,10,18	214:23	173:17,22	edge 136:17	143:10,17,23
236:20 237:20	downgradients	Dunaway's	138:16	144:2 145:2,10
239:3,5 243:5	83:16	167:20,24	Edison 49:16	146:12 150:21
256:17,24	downward	169:5,7 174:2	50:12,14 51:4	152:17 153:15
275:10 278:21	151:20	duplicate 228:10	108:21 125:22	160:15,19
280:22	draft 7:21	dust 227:14	129:10 134:15	165:18,23
documentation	251:12	Dynegy 238:1	139:23 141:4	166:1 178:3,6
41:12,18 42:1	drain 204:22		145:13 150:14	178:8,16
64:3 125:8	205:4,4	E	150:23 154:10	183:15 216:8
128:5 193:11	draw 75:7	E 2:2 77:24	154:20 156:5	223:11,18,24
193:15 268:12	212:19	78:14	183:22 184:4	224:3,11
268:14 269:1	drawing 197:1	E-L-U-C 7:7	187:16 255:3	225:22 226:2
270:6,22	197:22 247:12	e-mail 21:3,6,13	275:4	emergency
274:10	266:5,10	21:24 22:3,6,9	Edison's 150:22	61:17 78:5,7
documentations	drawings 161:4	22:15 24:6	effect 32:21 46:5	emission 227:14
268:18	195:1 246:20	88:19 114:12	46:10,17 207:5	employee 17:23
documenting	264:23 270:24	115:15,20	255:13	18:18 24:8
38:1 48:8	271:3,14,22	173:16 174:2	effective 34:18	37:10
documents 28:1	272:19,22,22	175:10,13	34:20 36:13	emptied 40:18
31:14 41:21	273:21,24	e-mailed 171:2	effluent 60:9	empty 40:1
42:16 43:1,16	274:1,10	e-mails 22:1	66:2,4,13,16	105:20
119:10 140:5	drawn 178:19	62:21 63:3,6	66:20	emptying 59:14
150:18 173:1	dredge 68:4	112:6,17,22,23	eight 16:2 59:1	enacted 207:5
189:10 193:20	69:1 100:22	113:2,3,6,11	122:4 157:11	encounter 200:8
195:6 224:17	dredged 39:21	113:13,13,16	197:23	encountered
245:19,20	61:11 192:19	earlier 29:23	either 80:11	266:13
246:19 255:14	206:22	109:15 113:16	84:23 86:9	encountering
270:17	dredging 47:8	128:1 249:2	95:5 137:11	266:4 267:10
dog 93:11	69:3 247:17	274:24 276:9	177:8 189:6	ended 238:19
doing 87:6 104:3	248:1	early 51:24	201:3 207:16	Energy 69:20
104:10 114:3	drew 236:24	114:18	265:6	enforcement 5:9
127:7 139:23	drifted 116:17	easiest 8:15	electric 49:4	engineer 248:2
147:16 150:20	drinking 157:17	east 68:20 94:23	107:23 187:5	engineering
159:3 236:3	drop 146:20,21	95:2,7,9	188:17	18:9,12,23
279:23	dropping	110:23 111:5,8	elevated 84:19	39:19 68:2,11
dolomite 211:15	150:10	111:12,16,19	263:1	74:16 75:4
211:20,22	due 88:10 90:6	118:18 157:18	ELUC 7:7,13,18	147:7,13
dotted 188:1	123:22 234:20	181:9 185:7	7:21 8:1,19,21	161:20 196:11
double-check	239:3,9,18	eastern 96:15	8:22 9:18	252:20 253:23
10:16	242:8	98:14 136:17	10:10 11:17,22	ENSR 53:1,6
downgradient	dumping 131:3	138:16	12:2,2,3,23	54:13 56:18
L				

Page 293

1				2
154:22 155:1	26:17 28:9	estimate 259:6	evident 169:19	18:8 20:12,22
183:22 184:22	30:6 33:11	260:22	ex 242:16	23:24 24:3,15
185:2 255:1	36:3 37:13,22	et 140:17 206:22	exact 122:14	26:12 33:6,15
275:10	39:7 44:17	evaluation 24:17	exactly 60:14	33:18,21 34:4
ENSR's 56:13	46:1 70:18,24	147:7 211:7	110:8 266:6	34:7 37:3,4,6
ensure 35:14	77:19 78:16	evening 281:19	279:20	37:17,18 38:6
39:13 64:22	79:16 82:3,24	event 38:11	EXAMINATI	38:19 39:2
95:4 104:8	84:6 93:20	41:24 67:15	3:2,4 5:22	40:22 41:6,8
258:17 259:11	94:13,20 95:17	87:14 88:24	examined 5:21	44:1,6,10,22
ensuring 33:2	95:24 96:22	137:6 244:13	example 28:22	45:12,16,20
entail 219:2	97:1 98:7,23	events 89:13,20	91:1 141:11	47:14 49:12,14
enter 143:17,24	99:7,12 113:20	104:19,22	148:18 149:5	49:16 50:7,23
entered 164:16	114:21 115:3	105:5 181:23	153:21 164:11	51:9,13,17
165:19	157:9 161:20	228:2	237:8 251:24	52:20 54:1,5,9
entire 106:1,6	167:3 178:7	Eventually	255:6 280:1	54:11,12,23
145:14 166:23	179:23 204:1	207:1	excavated 12:11	55:4 62:20
169:2 170:18	207:9,13,18	everybody 30:17	exceed 84:13	63:4 65:3,7,11
171:17	222:7 224:7	244:10	exceedents	65:13 67:2,17
entirely 88:17	225:22 226:6	evidence 10:5	74:14 84:16	67:18,22 68:1
144:3 266:5	226:14 229:23	11:6,10,13	141:11 150:4	77:3,8,13,18
entitled 5:4 53:4	230:5 231:11	28:3 34:3	exceeding	81:7,15 82:8
104:18 155:11	250:5,20 252:8	38:18 40:22	136:16 141:14	82:24 83:4
161:12 184:8	EPA's 99:4	41:5 44:1,9	149:13	89:10,24 90:6
184:15 219:3	125:1 156:9	45:12,19 51:16	exception	91:9,14,19
environment 1:5	222:14	53:21 54:1,8	231:21 252:13	92:7,11,15,18
5:7 23:7	episodes 154:2	65:10 67:2,21	279:15	95:12,21 96:5
257:14	equipment	72:14 77:3,12	excerpt 170:7,14	96:8,12,19
environmental	35:15 61:3	91:9,13 92:14	171:14	97:4,11,15,19
1:3 5:5 6:21	70:3 248:5	96:11 97:18	excerpted	98:17,18 99:3
7:4 8:20 28:24	Eric 63:8	100:4 107:11	262:13	99:21 100:1,5
29:1 52:24	error 149:16	119:4,12	excluded 25:1	104:15,18
68:11 76:14	171:12,13	120:20 121:8	167:19	105:8 106:7,21
94:16 98:6	errors 18:19	129:17,23	excuse 213:9	107:5,7,12
125:21,24	20:8	131:3 139:12	246:6	108:17 111:24
135:6 140:3,7	especially	142:14,20	exhibit 3:9,10,10	112:2,6,11,14
142:3 143:3,7	239:10 279:21	144:17,23	3:11,12,12,13	112:16,24
143:15 147:13	essentially 6:22	169:15 173:3	3:13,14,14,15	113:2,4,8,12
151:14 154:11	18:1 147:14	175:2,6 177:4	3:15,16,16,17	113:14 115:12
154:21 160:8	establish 36:11	178:24 179:6	3:17,18,18,19	115:20 117:20
163:7 178:15	94:9,12 223:11	180:16,22	3:20,20,21,21	119:4,15
183:21 222:16	established	182:4 183:11	3:22,23,23,24	120:20 121:9
236:5 249:1	94:19 216:5,7	185:16 189:12	4:3,4,5,5,6	128:23,24
250:7 257:15	216:9 240:18	198:20 217:17	7:15 8:4 9:5,22	129:16,20,24
257:23 261:17	253:15 270:2	223:1,7,14	10:6,11 11:6,9	134:3 139:5,9
EPA 10:18 14:1	271:8	224:19 225:19	11:14,18,19	139:13,15
14:21 18:16	establishing	227:3 228:15	12:6 13:14	140:14 142:14
19:22 20:9	94:15 221:4	235:11 243:7	14:9,18 15:5	142:17,21,23
	1	1	1	

				5
144:16,20,24	235:23,24	268:4,6,10	Falcon 130:24	filing 32:10
145:3 147:2,6	238:4 241:21	experimental	fall 32:9	fill 59:5 71:3
148:4 150:7,8	242:3,7 243:1	69:19	familiar 6:8	193:21 195:24
154:6,16	243:4,8,11,11	expert 137:18	45:23 48:18	209:20 219:8
156:15 159:16	245:21 246:1,5	166:9	68:7 101:20	filled 103:16
159:20 161:9	246:16 247:5	expertise 23:10	108:4 123:5	filling 53:19,21
164:14 168:19	248:9,10	experts 25:11,12	158:4 236:5	185:17
169:15,21	257:19 261:4	explain 78:5	familiarity	filter 137:12,12
170:16 171:10	262:23 264:15	106:10	158:11	filtered 137:7,15
171:21 172:1	264:20 269:13	explained 8:7	far 69:24 259:4	137:23
173:8,13 175:2	272:4 277:17	explaining	259:8	final 208:3
175:6,8,21,24	277:18,23	22:10	farther 224:22	279:13
176:9,20 177:1	exhibits 3:7,11	explains 184:21	232:18 244:9	find 20:12 37:2
177:5 178:10	3:19,22 4:2,3,4	explanation	fbugel@gmail	55:17 88:22
178:23 179:3,7	27:10,18,22	91:8 107:4	2:4	96:19 98:17
180:3,5,15,18	28:4,7 119:13	174:11 232:18	fear 205:5	111:23 121:2
180:23 181:17	170:13,24	exposure 141:17	February	138:11 150:7
182:4,8 183:2	173:4 182:13	exposures	161:21	154:13 205:24
183:7,12,16,19	182:19 189:9	140:15,17	fed 220:11	213:15 236:17
186:10,18,20	189:13 201:21	extensively	federal 46:22	236:19,19
186:20,21	216:24 217:18	23:13	47:21 101:14	258:8 266:18
187:12,13	224:13,16,20	extent 16:14	101:18 102:1	271:12,13,21
188:9,24	233:10 246:7	55:15 127:23	145:23 160:11	finding 56:13
194:17 195:13	252:23 253:2	135:24 136:9	181:4 208:3	138:9 149:21
196:13,15,18	254:2,4,5	138:5 275:5	220:24 279:14	findings 36:4
197:5,9 198:2	existed 8:20		feel 116:16	185:13
198:8,10,21	57:16 61:22	F	117:21	fine 106:14,16
199:14,16	212:6 236:16	F 36:8 150:10	feels 88:15	131:19,20
201:22 202:24	269:18	216:3 249:5	feet 9:11 157:12	132:5,5,21,22
203:3 205:13	existence 6:9	facilities 87:2	211:17,18,19	173:8 190:9
208:6,8 210:19	29:13 236:13	facility 6:6 57:1	212:10,17,18	205:10 232:8,9
210:20,21	existing 36:1	58:17 156:3	212:23	233:9 241:9
213:16 215:6	93:16,23 101:9	213:6 273:22	felt 279:20	243:3
216:12,18	178:5 209:9,14	facing 106:2	field 22:13	finish 87:5
217:13,22	209:19,20	232:24	137:10	232:13
218:10,15	211:11 219:6	fact 21:17 47:4	fifth 131:17,18	finished 22:17
221:22,24	220:18 252:5	88:10 99:17	131:24 132:10	26:2 86:17
222:11,24	252:16	162:17 166:8	199:7	180:10
223:8,14,22	exists 9:10	170:7 196:2	figure 21:7	finishing 57:24
224:4,22	269:17	factor 118:23	22:20,23 23:2	60:7,10 102:11
225:11,16,20	expect 213:5	factors 278:12	82:9,10 86:7	fired 133:2
226:10,19	236:8 237:9	facts 125:7	143:10,12,13	first 5:20 15:15
227:4,18,19	expected 79:6	128:13	159:23 160:1	15:20 18:12
228:8,11,13,16	expecting 86:8	fair 11:20 89:19	262:5	22:13 40:3
233:15,17,18	experience	188:6 251:16	figures 209:5	55:1 69:13
233:22,23	265:9,11,12	fairly 50:6	file 104:6 233:8	81:18 84:3,19
234:4,14,21	267:16,18	Faith 2:2 216:23	filed 32:12 99:15	86:20 87:6
	l	l	l	l

Page 295

T				2
90:7 99:11	followed 35:14	267:3	49:2,10 51:8	176:12,19
105:12 107:6	following 30:21	foundation 8:6	51:18 53:24	177:6,22,24
112:23 125:18	104:9 203:15	90:13 125:11	54:10 58:8,12	178:9,23 179:8
125:19 136:1,7	227:11 250:21	128:12 229:12	59:10,16 60:20	179:21 180:2
162:1,4,6	follows 5:21	239:1 270:2	60:23 61:4,13	180:15 181:1
174:4 187:11	88:4 177:17	271:7 275:10	65:2,12 67:1,9	182:3,20,22
190:8 198:6	244:4	foundational	67:10,23 72:19	183:13 187:1
203:8 206:17	font 84:9,11,12	175:17	72:20 75:1,17	187:10 188:16
213:23 214:3,5	foot 267:15	four 16:1 51:22	75:22 76:1	188:19,23
229:1 234:15	foregoing 282:7	52:1,3 73:10	77:2,14,16	189:3,14
238:18,20	forgive 198:10	73:14,22,23	81:10,13 85:15	191:20 192:4
239:10 240:6	forgot 188:23	74:9 76:3 80:3	88:24 89:2,3,7	192:13,23
240:13 251:12	forgotten 80:4	80:6,8 86:2,3	89:9,23 90:23	193:7,10 194:9
277:12	form 29:13	87:9 177:7	90:24 91:15,17	194:15 197:8
first-hand	257:5 263:8	188:18 189:19	92:6,16 95:14	197:15,18
175:10,13	275:9	190:2 201:15	95:20 96:4,13	198:1,6,14,22
234:5	formally 207:9	202:15 245:6	96:17,24 97:3	201:11,14
fit 46:12	former 61:14,16	246:14 249:11	97:10,20 98:12	204:7,8,10
five 16:1 24:9	102:14,15,17	249:13,14	98:16,22 99:1	216:11,19,23
51:23 52:7,15	102:20,23	251:11 253:3,7	99:20 100:6,23	217:4,7,19,21
56:2,6,7,9 81:9	103:6 124:17	253:21 254:9	101:7 105:7	221:7,13 222:6
87:9 88:6	134:5 156:4	fourth 9:6	106:4,5,22	222:9,24 223:9
124:9 146:22	160:2 178:5	131:16,18,23	107:1,13,17	223:15,17,21
152:5 159:4	249:6 261:9,14	132:4	108:3 110:22	224:12 225:1,5
fives 246:14	262:5 263:3,17	frame 29:23	111:2,15,22	225:8,21 226:1
flags 82:17,18	264:17,19	63:1 116:2	116:19,21,22	226:4,9,19
158:8,14	265:7,22	124:11 151:12	119:3,14	227:5 228:5
flash 73:4	269:14,16,22	270:24	120:19 121:10	229:15,16,21
flesh 75:15	271:4	Frankly 236:17	122:15,17	230:23,24
flexibility 86:6	formerly 6:10	Franzetti 2:11	125:10,15	231:1,16 232:6
279:21	78:8	2:11 3:5 5:14	126:4,5,23	233:11,14
flip 208:13	forth 22:2	5:23 8:3,9,11	128:9,17,22	234:18,22
214:5 224:4	forward 26:13	8:16,17 9:21	129:16 130:1	235:4,22 238:3
flipped 217:4	77:15 101:13	10:7 11:5,15	133:12,13	239:20,24
flipping 225:13	149:19 207:20	14:15,17 19:9	135:8 138:2	240:3,5 241:20
flow 25:3 157:16	found 18:18	20:4,20 21:12	139:4,14	241:23 242:1
flows 202:17	20:7 23:18	21:21,22 26:15	142:13,22	242:19,21,24
fly 74:2,2,4	29:18 31:9	26:20 27:17	144:16 145:1	243:18 246:3,6
focused 238:1	39:20,22 43:22	28:5 32:18,20	148:2 167:13	246:9,13,17
FOIA 176:10	60:3,17 64:18	33:17 34:5	167:14 168:2,8	248:16 250:17
folks 258:2	81:12 126:17	35:9 36:22	168:9 169:14	255:16 257:4
follow 14:22	127:18 135:15	37:1,12,15	169:18 170:10	262:9,14 269:3
125:13 128:9	139:2 184:13	38:4,10,20	170:12,18	270:1,8 271:6
250:16 278:14	195:1 205:3,20	40:7,15,21	171:2,9,15	271:15,19
279:19	235:16 236:2,3	41:7 43:24	172:4,7,16,19	275:9 280:7
follow-up	236:10,14	44:11,15,20	173:7,12 175:1	281:9,17
113:13 125:11	237:9 252:7	45:11,21 47:11	175:14,17,19	Franzetti's 91:8
L				

Page 296

г				
106:16 107:4	gas-fired 48:4	188:4,11	161:19 187:5	138:8,16 144:5
232:17	Gen 6:15 7:19	194:16 195:13	188:17 193:8	185:14 186:12
Fred 10:21,22	8:1 9:17 10:9	199:16 203:4	230:8 235:10	given 172:14
free 117:21	10:20 11:22	213:11 214:1	generation 1:8	220:24 228:14
freedom 176:11	12:16,20 17:8	215:9,15,18	5:8 9:12 10:24	282:10
240:14	26:18 27:4,13	218:24 220:12	36:10 45:6	gives 43:18
French 204:22	27:18 28:8	221:8,19	52:7 73:11	135:14
205:4	31:11,24 32:1	223:11,18	77:19 92:4	giving 86:4
Frendt 21:4,10	32:10 34:6,11	226:2,5 227:11	102:22 108:22	GMZ 36:11,15
21:19,24 22:14	35:22 36:6,19	229:24 231:2	125:20 143:5	36:19,23 37:13
23:11 24:6,18	36:23 37:17	234:6,9,13	152:17 178:16	96:14,21 97:1
24:22 25:8,13	38:23 40:22	237:21 246:4	180:13 187:17	97:6 98:10
26:9	44:1,16 46:4,9	248:22 251:11	224:1 245:5	174:9 216:5,7
Frendt's 21:13	46:20 48:5	251:16 254:23	249:8,16,19	221:4,8,12,18
frequently 17:5	49:4,6,8 50:13	257:10 267:24	251:11 255:6	222:7,18 224:7
17:12 146:15	50:16 51:5	277:6,6 278:17	256:6,15 257:1	224:9
Friday 238:13	56:24 61:8	Gen's 12:1 13:3	258:11,21	Gnat 23:12
239:17,18	62:2,3 63:4	26:23 27:7	259:1,5,11,21	25:14
242:8,9,14	65:3 77:3	28:6 29:14	260:12,15,18	go 13:1 14:9
Friday/Monday	78:16 81:1	32:14,22 79:1	260:21 261:13	20:15 40:8
239:20,22,23	82:20 85:12	98:19 153:15	261:22 266:2,6	52:19 67:15
front 7:16 20:22	89:10 91:22	190:4	273:5 278:6,14	85:24 89:5,17
154:10,15	92:5,20 93:13	general 78:15	gentleman	99:2 100:24
173:13 178:11	94:1,9 95:10	generally 8:19	127:16	102:24 112:1
183:19 185:19	95:16,24 96:14	11:24 15:7,19	Geocell 192:14	112:13 115:12
227:17 251:10	96:22 98:13	15:22 24:13	219:12,14	123:1 131:22
254:19	99:17 100:8	28:8,12 29:3	244:19,21	132:14,15
fugitive 227:14	101:2,5,16	30:5 45:23	245:1 246:21	144:6 156:7
fulfill 36:19	102:19 104:15	46:8 47:19	246:23 247:7,9	159:19 166:1
full 127:10	104:18 107:22	48:18 56:21	247:13,23	169:24 171:4
fully 33:2 79:6	108:1 109:2	71:5,8 82:1,19	248:7	172:17 187:1
function 60:12	110:10 111:18	89:19 109:11	geology 211:14	190:23 194:10
further 56:20	111:20 113:21	112:9 123:5	geomembrane	196:14,17
77:6 118:12	126:11 135:12	131:15 134:2	42:13,20 43:3	200:2,12
192:11 205:3	138:14 140:8	135:9 140:12	43:4 64:10	201:20 202:21
207:21 239:8	143:16,24	140:15 151:13	219:10	202:24 206:11
239:12 243:18	144:3,11	158:22 159:11	Georgia 144:9	207:12 208:6
257:23	156:10,13	165:11 166:18	geotech 247:12	210:18,19
furthest 192:8	157:23 160:4	167:9 181:22	geotextile 42:19	213:8 215:2,5
future 141:21	160:23 164:1	189:21 211:3	geotextiles 43:4	216:21 222:10
G	164:16,21	218:5,6 257:12	getting 159:6	224:21 232:18
Gale 2:12 86:15	165:19 168:20	265:13	161:11 204:23	233:24 239:13
171:5 225:6	169:15 178:3	generated 74:18	232:13 236:6	244:9 266:19
228:9	179:9,22 181:4	generating	262:13	273:1
gallons 66:24	181:17 182:3	14:20 49:4	giant 127:9	goal 235:9
120:16	182:23 183:15 187:4,8,16	65:16 107:23 108:23 119:17	give 20:13 73:23 107:14 115:17	goes 109:23 121:3 137:12
	107.4,0,10	100.23 117.17	10/.14 113.1/	121.3 137.12

Page	297
Luge	2 2 7

r				2
192:10 198:8	209:23,24	2:9	222:15 229:8	hash 7:10,11
200:5	210:18,19	Griess-Pflager	230:1 231:3	hash-marked
going 6:3,5 13:1	213:8,15 215:2	134:6	234:10 250:13	11:20 143:21
13:13 14:9	215:5 222:10	ground 25:5	251:21 252:6	hashmarks
18:1,7 20:10	223:10 228:6	211:16,18,20	252:11,14	97:23
21:7,9 22:2	230:10 233:10	229:13 270:3,5	253:11 276:11	hazardous
23:23 24:13	233:11 234:17	grounds 217:9	group 6:12	145:12,21
26:13 27:9,11	234:19 237:17	217:11	139:22 237:2,3	207:14,19
33:5 37:2,16	242:5,24	groundwater	237:16 243:3	HDPE 31:3,4,8
38:6 40:21	250:17 255:22	8:8 9:8 12:10	249:1	31:12,19 34:17
41:8 43:9,9	257:4 261:19	13:5 14:2,4	group's 237:1	43:4 61:9
44:21 46:23	262:21 266:17	15:3,11,21	groups 236:5	100:17 101:3,6
48:13,21 49:11	272:5 275:9	16:3,18 17:2,9	237:4 240:20	111:19,21
52:19 53:2	280:4	17:20 22:18,22	growing 258:18	116:10 206:13
55:10 57:4	good 5:1,24 6:1	23:3,16,21	guess 21:5	209:2,21 216:2
58:6 60:24	29:22 30:1,4	24:21,23 25:2	113:19 237:13	219:9
62:19 63:13,17	71:8 79:5,6	25:21 26:2,19	268:23	head 167:6
69:14 70:13,14	85:15 101:11	28:10,15 29:9	guys 171:6	heading 92:2
72:13 75:13	156:24 157:3	35:23 36:1,11	Suy 5 1 / 1.0	health 213:2,7
77:14,17 81:6	164:4 210:12	36:16,17 37:9	Н	hearing 1:15,20
81:10 82:12	225:8 241:22	37:24 55:18,24	habit 23:4	5:1,2 8:5,9,13
89:4,5 91:15	243:16,24	56:8 67:7,13	hair 124:19	9:23 10:1 11:8
91:18 94:21	248:19 280:1	71:15,21,24	half 159:1	14:13 19:8
95:5,21 99:3	281:1	72:4 74:14	261:18,19	20:2,15,18
102:22 103:22	Google 236:4	77:21 78:17,21	267:15	21:16,20 27:19
102:22 105:22	gosh 52:12	78:22 81:2,19	Halloran 1:19	27:21 32:19
108:11 109:17	83:12	82:15 83:22,24	5:2 89:2,7	33:14,20 35:8
111:23 117:18	gospel 254:12	84:13 85:13	90:24 106:5	38:4,8,11,14
119:1,24	graded 131:19	93:9,14,17,19	107:13 171:3,7	40:8,12,23
122:24 124:12	132:5	94:7,10,12,18	171:15 281:9	41:1 44:2,5
125:4 126:12	gradient 24:21	98:5 99:6	hand 104:14	45:13,15 47:1
131:7,8 134:3	24:23 25:22	135:20 136:1,8	233:10	51:10,12 54:2
137:17 139:15	gradients 23:16	136:11,15	handed 242:24	54:4 65:4,6
140:20 141:24	26:7	137:11 140:17	262:10,11	67:3,9,14
142:10 145:4	graph 216:3	141:1,2 142:1	handing 181:16	72:17 74:22
147:1 150:21	grasses 258:18	142:2,5,7,11	handled 12:12	75:6,13,18
154:5,6 161:8	grassy 159:10	146:10 148:20	handling 57:23	77:7 81:8
168:18 169:5	259:17	149:13 151:18	248:4	85:17 86:11,15
172:3 178:1	gravels 132:22	152:3,4,15	hanging 110:7	87:7,14 88:3,5
181:14 183:7	gray 131:19	152:3,4,15	Hanrahan 37:11	89:8,23 90:4
184:6 187:11	great 205:22	155:11 157:15	happen 19:20,23	90:21 91:3,6
199:24 201:20	210:14 281:19	157:24 159:2	140:16 205:11	92:8,10 96:7
202:23 203:8	greater 212:22	162:2,5 166:9	206:6	97:12,14 99:22
202:23 203:8	green 97:22	174:15,24	happened 42:1	99:24 105:9,24
203:13 204:4	191:14 221:14	212:19 213:13	205:2	106:4,11,19,24
207:3,8 208:24	GREG 2:6	212:19 213:15	hardcopy 89:12	107:2,16 112:4
207:3,8 208:24	greg.wannier	215:21 222:2,3	172:7	112:11 114:6
207.10,17,20	5 · · 5 · · · anni · · · ·	210.21 222.2,5	l	112.11 117.0

Page 298

1				
116:15,19	242:1,4,23	113:12	identifies 79:15	31:17 33:11
119:5,8 120:21	243:9,13,17,20	highly 214:21	identify 111:5	36:12 37:13,22
121:1 125:12	244:3,5 246:6	historic 69:18	161:5 178:13	39:7 44:17
126:14 128:10	246:11,15	historical	217:23 221:24	49:6 56:20
128:20 129:19	250:24 251:3	114:16 129:11	223:23	69:4 70:17,24
133:10 134:24	255:18,22	130:19 162:16	IEPA 9:12 17:14	76:13 77:19
135:2 137:20	257:7 266:19	162:18 185:3	17:17 27:13	78:16 79:16
139:6,8 142:16	266:22 269:5,7	historically	31:11,14 55:23	82:3,23 84:6
144:19 148:1	270:7,12	235:17	79:14 95:4	93:20 94:13,20
166:13,19,21	271:10,17,24	history 53:4,9	114:24 115:13	95:17,24 96:22
166:22,24	273:1 275:13	53:15 113:24	125:8 128:16	97:1 98:7,23
167:13,15	277:19,20	128:2,3 130:8	129:6 155:19	99:4,7,12
168:2,4,21	280:10,23	163:5 184:9,15	156:21 157:23	107:24 113:20
169:16,20,22	281:15,18	185:1	159:5 161:19	114:21 115:20
169:24 170:3	282:6,10	holding 70:5	162:21 163:15	125:1 134:6
170:11,13,23	hearings 176:17	honest 268:22	164:16 166:6,9	136:16 156:9
171:16,22	hearsay 126:13	Honor 85:24	175:21 176:14	157:9 161:20
172:6,9,15,21	167:11,19	hopefully	180:12 204:5	167:3 178:7,14
173:5,10 174:1	172:12 175:6,9	242:10	204:11,14	179:23 187:7
175:3,11,16	176:22 182:14	hour 1:17	215:9 218:20	204:1 222:7,14
176:5,8,21,24	182:16,18	huge 172:5	229:6 230:14	224:6 225:22
177:11,16,18	229:12 230:12	human 213:2,6	231:4 251:17	226:6,14 229:7
177:24 179:2	230:13,13	hydrogeologic	276:14	229:23 230:5,7
180:18 182:7	231:20,21	13:2 14:19,22	IEPA's 31:7	231:11 234:9
182:17,24	Heather 62:23	77:22 81:16	97:5 230:12	241:14 250:5,7
183:5 189:2,5	63:8	84:6 156:10,14	II 54:13,22	250:15,20
189:8 194:5,10	heavy 9:2 63:18	161:17 210:22	56:18 134:4,12	252:8 257:23
194:13 198:4,9	63:20	211:4 213:18	135:10,21	282:1,4
198:15 204:6,9	held 1:15 166:19	253:3,7,14,21	137:8 154:11	immediately 6:7
216:13,15	166:20,22	hydrogeology	154:21 155:1	123:2
217:6,11,20	help 99:9 154:12	211:7	186:1,8 257:20	impacted 142:1
223:2,4,13,16	190:18 199:13	Hypalon 58:10	258:7 274:18	142:2,6 157:19
224:15,21	207:16 248:17	59:12 60:22	II-A 138:20	impacts 28:11
225:3,12,15	helpful 90:1	61:7 111:1	III 34:8 92:18	29:15 127:14
226:20,22	182:5	116:11	95:12 215:13	130:12 162:19
228:5,17	helps 247:14,16		Hs 254:8 257:22	211:11
229:15,19	247:23	<u> </u>	277:9	impair 32:13
230:16,22	Henderson	idea 31:18	IL-Beyond	impeachment
231:9,23 232:7	53:12,15	135:14 138:9	233:20	262:15
232:9,12 233:5	Hensil 25:16	238:19 281:10	illegible 197:13	impermeable
233:7,16,22,24	hesitant 207:20	ideas 204:2	198:7	259:18
234:17,22	high 32:23	identical 98:9	Illinois 1:1,16	implementation
235:3,18 238:3	148:20 153:20	113:9,9	2:3,13 5:3	13:3
238:5,17	higher 64:9	identified 63:24	10:18 14:1,21	impoundment
239:14 240:1,4	148:23 162:9	81:15 90:15	18:16 19:22	32:4,5,7 43:22
240:11,23	163:3 164:8	132:12 160:1	20:9 26:17	46:13 47:4,7,9
241:6,9,19	highlighted	188:7 192:5	28:9 30:6	59:4 61:21,22
)-) -	0 0	I		- 7 -

79:4 103:2,13	166:4 171:20	individual 202:6	initial 14:19	intake 69:4
118:16,22	174:9,12 178:5	industrial 6:6,9	22:10 81:17	integrity 35:3
168:15 196:1	211:5,6,15	6:12 28:21	initially 23:19	93:5
203:9 204:22	220:3 228:10	123:15 157:12	81:23 139:22	intent 207:14
219:3,5 220:18	228:16	167:7	inspecting 93:5	interchange
248:4 266:9	includes 43:21	inexact 266:9	inspection 47:20	279:2
279:5,7,24	202:13,14	infiltration	47:22,24 165:5	interest 199:24
280:13,17	240:14 272:22	260:3 265:10	inspections	263:2
impoundments	273:24	information	35:20 48:6,11	interested 86:4
28:14 31:15	including 31:2	15:9 21:23	104:4,5,10	256:11
35:16 46:12,16	36:24 89:21	24:13,21 31:12	227:13 258:16	interfere 86:18
46:17 71:2	96:16 98:15	41:16 42:4,15	258:19	86:22
78:23 79:20	126:19 145:24	42:24 43:15	install 12:4 15:1	intermittent
80:9,17 82:5	146:5 148:10	54:24 55:8,13	34:22 39:10	202:17
102:2 157:13	178:6 221:9	56:5,12,23	81:2 138:10	intern 17:23
159:4 162:8,23	223:20 277:13	63:23 66:5,7	157:23 159:5	18:4 87:20
163:1,14 164:7	incomplete 55:8	71:12 73:13	165:8 213:12	194:20 244:12
166:10 173:24	incorporated	78:1 79:12	213:12 229:7	internal 234:15
194:23,23	120:2	102:7 113:18	229:24 230:9	240:16,19
196:22 204:14	incorrect 149:18	114:16 120:10	installation 14:8	278:22
206:7 212:9	increasing	120:12 124:4	42:14 158:5,20	internet 236:11
220:10,17	146:19 151:18	128:3,7 130:9	installed 13:6,8	236:14 238:20
250:12 278:22	152:6	131:10,15	13:9 15:13	interpret 255:1
278:24 279:3,3	indemnity 145:4	132:16 133:15	43:4,6,20	interpreted
279:15 280:20	145:10,18	135:5,10 136:4	81:18,22 82:4	254:23
inaccurate	independent	136:12,23	82:20,23 83:5	interrupt 193:24
148:16	263:9	138:4,11,12	83:8,11 93:15	280:4
inactive 192:21	independently	140:13 151:1,3	138:20,24	interrupting
inadvertently	263:22	152:13 154:24	158:12,15,18	126:2
238:21	Index 3:1 4:1	155:7 167:17	158:23 159:4	interviewed
inches 196:12	indicate 9:10	176:11 184:18	165:12 201:7	53:11
200:6,15 201:1	25:6 200:23	184:20 185:3	209:1 214:1	introduced
205:15,21,23	214:4	185:24 194:24	245:1,10,13,16	228:14
206:1 209:16	indicated 193:15	195:5,12 197:4	246:22,24	introduction
209:20,24	199:4 244:21	199:10,20	247:7,10	170:22 182:4
210:1 267:15	249:2,21	202:9 205:18	253:16,17,18	investigate
267:17,20	254:11,17	211:4,6 213:23	261:20 262:8	56:20 160:9
269:2	264:20 274:6	236:20 255:4,8	263:3 265:21	257:24
incidental 95:8	274:24 276:10	256:10,16,17	267:19,22	investigating
include 26:22	indicating	256:20,23	274:1 275:4	160:24
100:15 130:15	109:21,22	257:2 258:2,12	installing 79:3	investigation 9:1
149:20 174:14	110:1,2 159:17	258:15,16	100:17	127:1,8 129:4
230:5 248:6	indication 265:3	259:22 260:13	instance 115:8	134:5 138:21
268:16	265:6,21 266:3	261:14,16	251:24	138:22 160:12
included 38:23	266:12 267:9	279:22	insulation 252:4	205:3 262:1
76:16,20 93:12	269:23 270:15	informed 251:21	intact 29:19	275:12
105:15 117:22	272:13	252:5,11,15	35:20	investigations
	-	-	-	-

Page 299

Page	300
Luge	500

r				5
126:17 131:5	92:3 178:16	178:16 180:12	260:2,5 261:2	land 6:21 7:4
invite 238:9	180:12 236:7	249:3,6,7,18	261:24 262:9	10:17 28:24
involve 34:14	237:1 249:3,5	Kennedy's 45:8	264:3,22,24	29:1 53:18,21
103:5,7 165:18	249:7,18	kg@nijmanfr	265:19,23	94:16 98:6
involved 27:6	Johns 123:9,11	2:15	269:8,16,18,20	125:21,24
32:24 68:10	123:18,19	kilogram 141:12	270:14,23	135:7 140:3,7
69:21 125:2	124:7	kind 6:12 58:20	271:16,20	142:3 143:3,6
127:2,3 130:10	joint 178:1,7	140:12 208:24	272:16 273:10	143:15 163:8
241:16	179:21	237:5 271:19	273:19,20	185:7,16
involvement	Joliet 6:2,5,7,16	273:22	274:8 275:18	222:16 261:17
124:3	13:2,5 14:3,20	kitty-corner	275:22,24	landfill 46:14
involving 5:10	27:13 32:8	51:1	276:17,20	258:13 260:7
62:22	33:10 34:12,15	knew 25:11 29:7	279:9	260:13 261:2
iron 127:19	35:4 36:23	79:2 138:15	knowing 163:5	265:13,16
137:3 145:11	37:13 38:22	know 6:14 8:19	knowledge	267:24 268:5,6
145:24 149:12	41:15 44:13,18	18:1 22:19	40:16,19	268:15,19
149:13,18	45:5 46:19	29:2 39:12	124:14 125:7,9	269:1 272:8,13
150:2 153:11	47:23 54:21	50:9 51:2	128:13 175:10	272:16 273:7
153:17	55:3 60:12	52:13,16 53:13	175:13 234:5	273:15,17,19
issue 8:8 88:9	73:18 76:24	61:24 63:18	258:23 259:3,7	274:5,9
123:23 174:7	81:1 89:5,13	67:14 69:24	261:1 264:18	landfills 23:13
218:20 228:6	89:20 90:9,18	79:2 83:14	269:14 273:16	67:7 268:4,13
234:8 235:20	93:1,7 102:10	86:18 93:22	274:4	268:17
242:6 245:6	120:9 155:2,8	98:2 103:21	known 70:21	language 54:21
issued 26:17	161:23 186:2	104:4,8 114:5	145:12	large 140:4,10
30:8,9 114:24	204:20 255:9	115:24 118:14	Kosmatka 41:14	largely 83:19
218:7,22	257:20 258:7	122:2 123:14	Kristen 2:12	115:3 123:22
250:20	260:9 279:3,24	124:10,17	171:4 246:2	LaSalle 2:12
issues 114:9	Joliet's 59:21	128:17 136:7		late 44:14
item 32:24 66:18	60:19	140:16 146:14	L	122:13 232:13
	judgement	150:11 152:22	L 1:23 94:23	latest 242:12
<u> </u>	135:6	154:1 160:4	110:3 282:3,16	LAUGHRID
James 87:17	Julia 112:18	161:6 162:15	labeled 266:8	2:12 86:15
Jamie 62:22	113:20,22	167:18 168:4	270:20 276:24	171:5 225:6
63:12 64:22	July 77:18	175:23 182:17	277:4	law 1:3 5:5
112:17,18	150:12 222:8	189:16 194:6	laboratory	56:20 145:23
114:1 173:17	June 26:14,16	196:7 205:11	18:20 19:4,16	240:18 241:11
173:23	30:8 68:3	206:7 219:13	19:17 56:7	257:12,23
January 1:17	207:9 250:20	219:16,19	137:13	laws 12:13
5:11,13 49:17		231:23 232:1,3	Lack 270:1	lawsuit 235:14
171:8 173:18	$\frac{\mathbf{K}}{\mathbf{K}}$	232:4,13,16	271:6 275:10	lay 42:19 125:11
207:12 213:8	Katie 244:11	238:23 239:16	laid 128:13	layer 64:6,8
281:7,22	keep 43:9 131:8	241:3,17	278:14,18	132:4,10,15,16
Jason 87:17	150:10 216:24	244:10 245:16	lake 107:24	196:10,10
job 116:9 268:19	Kelly 106:9	247:18 249:3	111:9 157:15	200:8 209:22
John 29:2 33:11	Kennedy 33:11	251:13 257:15	157:16 237:2	212:16 219:7,8
45:5 53:11	45:5 92:3	259:4,8,19	237:16 261:20	219:23 247:11
	I	1	I	1

Pac	re	301
I U U	\sim	

1				-)
247:12	208:16 226:14	63:21 64:16	literally 124:18	146:24 147:15
layers 22:17	251:13,15,18	65:1 68:21	litigation 240:22	156:17 169:16
131:17,18,24	letters 151:11	76:22 79:3	little 8:10	178:10 181:20
193:19 196:5,9	Letting 219:4	90:10,20	131:20 132:21	185:21 190:19
209:19,23	level 141:12,14	100:17 101:3,6	132:22 154:12	195:3 196:15
layperson	214:19	101:10 104:11	176:18 218:9	199:17 202:7
263:11	levels 23:21	106:13 111:1	235:15	203:16 214:9
lead 29:8 137:3	148:20 153:16	111:19,21	live 30:17	215:18 226:10
leading 19:6,24	liability 145:14	157:1 191:23	living 278:21	227:18,24
32:16 35:7	License 1:24	196:3,4 199:11	LLC 1:8 5:8	237:24 240:7
40:5,7 116:17	282:16	199:21,22	LLP 2:11	241:7 242:11
176:3	life 149:5	200:21,24	local 145:23	246:22 276:3
Leak 43:13,18	lifts 193:19,21	201:6 205:12	located 49:5	281:13
leakage 263:5	193:22 194:3,4	205:20 207:24	70:12 82:2	looked 23:6
leaking 78:20	195:18,24,24	208:2,24	107:23 123:2	64:11 141:18
162:24 163:2	196:8	209:10,14,21	157:14 163:6	215:13 218:9
163:14 164:2,4	likewise 118:18	216:1,2 217:24	187:6 191:2,3	276:2 277:11
164:7 166:11	limestone 64:4	219:7,10,17,21	location 43:14	looking 11:16
168:17	68:4,19 69:12	219:23 247:14	43:19 82:10,19	19:18 41:19
leaks 43:22	69:16,17 70:9	247:17,24	148:23 158:15	47:3 49:24
learn 136:10	211:19,23	269:19,24	191:18	76:10 114:3
205:17	limit 143:6	270:6,15	locations 29:7	124:3 140:3,7
learned 133:22	limitation	272:23 274:11	83:3 245:6	141:4,9 154:16
leases 49:8	145:24 146:5	279:12,23	253:4 254:9	195:9 197:6,24
LeCrone 167:5	limitations	280:2	log 131:12,14	198:9 208:8
led 148:21	54:18 55:5	liner's 93:5	132:9 133:15	214:11,13
leech 9:8 70:16	66:2 185:21	205:18	266:1,12 267:2	239:16 245:18
259:2 260:19	186:3,4 254:22	liners 29:13,21	267:5,8	249:12 275:24
261:23	255:15 256:5	30:1,2 31:2,3	logs 133:24	looks 80:3
left 111:12 166:1	limited 146:4,8	31:12 35:4,11	long 23:9 30:5	150:12 173:16
left-hand 202:7	line 51:23 77:5	35:12,15,20	52:5 121:12	234:14 259:17
261:10	128:9 137:17	62:17 64:11	122:5,9 124:6	264:2 267:11
legal 46:24	262:20 279:4	79:4 93:5	125:4 218:21	267:14 277:2
258:3	280:14	114:2 164:4	276:1	loop 103:11,12
lesser 118:23	lined 61:23	165:5 193:9,12	longer 69:22	103:14
let's 40:8 65:13	114:3 116:1,10	193:16 195:7	88:14 122:10	loose 131:19
84:18 85:5,17	168:17 269:15	195:14 201:3	look 9:6 16:9	132:20
106:19,20	272:14 274:7	205:24 215:18	21:5 23:7,14	loses 228:21
158:7 177:11	279:5,10	215:20	25:11,18 27:9	lot 71:18 122:21
187:1 190:19	liner 31:4,8,19	lining 207:21	37:17 41:9	124:19,20
190:23 208:5	34:17,23 39:8	list 66:19 92:19	46:11,14 53:20	loud 21:6
243:20 271:17	39:10 41:21,23	170:23 215:14	63:2 66:14	low 214:19
277:20 278:16	42:1,5 43:2,20	listed 15:24	68:6 73:2	lower 149:4
letter 7:1 37:22	47:9 58:10,11	80:14 130:15	79:17,23 82:12	261:10
43:13 77:19	59:12,13 60:22	135:16 265:8	89:11,16 105:3	lunch 86:13
151:8 152:9	61:6,7,9 63:7	listing 95:5	108:11 112:14	88:23 173:6,9
178:14,21	63:11,13,17,19	lists 41:20	138:22 139:16	177:6
	I	I	Ι	I

Page 302

r				2
lunchtime	Manville 123:9	136:14	134:14	108:22 109:2
281:12	123:11,18,19	McClusky 10:21	method 70:15	110:10 111:18
Lynn 115:15,22	124:7	10:22	70:16,17,23	111:20 113:21
166:8 172:14	map 7:9 48:22	mean 25:13 26:6	methodology	119:9 121:4
173:16,22	49:21 50:22	53:14 61:21	218:19	125:20 126:10
	82:15 143:21	80:7 87:11	Michael 33:11	135:12 138:14
M	158:7 159:16	127:6 133:1	250:1,6	140:8 143:4,16
M 2:11	187:2,24	137:9 153:22	Michigan 111:9	143:23 144:3
machinery	224:11 269:22	158:24 171:22	157:15	144:11 152:16
63:18,20	271:3	203:23 212:3	middle 103:14	153:15 156:10
Maddox 218:3	maps 187:18	247:18 253:10	221:9 223:19	156:13 157:23
246:10	MARIA 3:3	255:1 268:3	Midwest 1:8 5:8	160:4,23 164:1
main 57:22	5:19	276:22	6:14 7:19,24	164:15,21
58:20,21 170:6	Marine 130:24	meaning 49:19	9:12,17 10:9	165:19 168:20
maintain 12:4	mark 7:10,11	54:24 133:5	10:20,23 11:22	169:15 172:2
171:13 176:22	87:16 150:13	254:23	12:1,16,20	178:3,15 179:9
238:24	marked 72:24	means 6:22	13:3 17:8	179:22 181:4
maintained	104:15,18	196:9 257:15	26:18,23 27:4	181:17 182:3
35:13	181:17 188:8	meant 72:16	27:7,12,17	182:23 183:8
maintaining	199:16 203:17	91:1	28:6,8 29:14	183:15 187:4,8
35:3 165:5	273:6,15	measures 44:18	31:11,24 32:1	187:16,17
maintenance	match 279:13	45:4 95:18	32:10,14,21	188:3,11 190:4
115:6 117:7	material 22:18	96:1 179:24	34:6,11 35:22	194:16 195:13
making 150:22	39:19 64:4,5	226:7,18	36:6,10,19,23	199:16 203:4
manage 142:7	64:12 68:22	medium 131:20	37:17 38:23	213:11 214:1
managed 258:19	69:8 70:19	132:4,6,21	40:22 43:24	215:9,15,17
management	71:1 73:3	meeting 41:22	44:16 46:4,8	218:24 220:12
36:11,16 37:9	100:16 103:8	167:8 236:7	46:20 48:5	221:8,19
37:24 94:7,12	103:17 116:10	members 85:19	49:3,6,8 50:13	223:11,18,24
94:19 98:5	127:4,6 131:21	86:3	50:16 51:5	226:2,5 227:11
99:6 174:15	132:7,11,11	membrane	52:7 56:24	229:24 231:2
222:2,3,15	133:6 182:9	219:23	61:8 62:2,3	234:6,9,13
268:1	185:8 193:21	memory 167:22	63:4 65:2	237:21 245:5
manager 41:15	195:24 219:15	207:4 237:22	73:11 77:3,19	246:4 248:22
150:14 250:2	220:1	245:22	78:16 79:1	249:8,19
managing	materials 61:2	mentioned	81:1 82:20	251:11,11,16
113:22 114:17	63:12 64:10	50:18 86:2	85:12 88:9,15	254:23 255:6
manganese 16:6	131:3 132:1	mercury 137:2	89:10 91:22	256:6,15 257:1
84:17 85:10	145:12	Merry 150:20	92:4,20 93:13	257:10 258:11
127:19 137:3	matter 1:2 5:4	messed 161:11	94:1,9 95:10	258:21 259:1,5
145:11 146:1	166:8 171:19	met 76:12 237:1	95:16,23 96:14	259:10,21
153:12,17	182:6 245:6	metal 57:17	96:22 98:13,19	260:12,15,18
214:18,18	max 87:12	60:24 61:5,8	99:17 100:8	260:21 261:13
manner 186:7	McCauley	61:10 62:8,10	101:2,4,16	261:22 266:1,6
Mansville 29:2	125:21 126:7	66:15 279:17	102:19,22	267:24 273:5
manufacturer's	126:16 127:5	metals 7:6 9:2,7	104:15,18	277:5,6 278:5
43:7	128:6 134:17	Metcalf 129:8	107:22 108:1	278:13,17
	I	I	1	l

				2
migrate 9:8,11	modification	146:10 148:21	144:16 150:4	named 69:17
migrated 136:17	65:15 119:21	151:4,22 152:4	169:14,19	names 84:15
138:13,15	modifications	152:19 153:2	172:13 175:1,7	narrative 125:5
139:2	119:23 120:2	153:19,24	178:23 180:15	280:5
migrating 153:3	224:10	156:3 157:24	182:3 188:23	Natural 25:16
migration 7:6	modifies 65:18	158:5,12,15	188:24 189:3	41:13 62:23
78:18,22	Mohawk 2:2	159:3 162:7,8	197:8 207:20	63:9
145:20	moment 41:9	163:2,3,13,24	216:11,17,19	nature 19:6,24
migrations	63:2 68:6	165:9,15	222:24 224:12	32:16 40:5
164:5	73:24 89:11,15	213:12,13,24	225:3,10	75:4 76:4
milligrams	105:2 112:2,5	214:6,7,12	226:19 238:4	113:18 135:24
141:12	112:16 122:15	215:21 229:8	243:10 248:17	136:9 154:24
million 66:24	130:6 139:16	230:1,9 231:3	257:8 271:23	211:13 239:3
120:16	144:5 145:6	231:5 250:14	moved 38:5	near 187:22
mind 91:16	169:4,23	251:21 252:4,6	169:17	211:16
109:17	181:20 185:21	252:12,14,16	moving 93:10	necessarily
minus 171:8	194:9 199:17	252:18,19	101:13 103:8	146:7 272:15
minute 20:13	227:24 238:15	253:6,9,11,15	132:10 150:7	necessary 39:9
40:9 55:20	266:17 276:18	261:20 262:7	156:6 206:19	59:4,24 207:22
104:16 115:17	Monday 86:10	264:6,7,8,12	225:9 249:17	247:20 265:24
118:1 138:16	88:12 171:3,3	266:2 274:17	multi-phased	need 8:22 19:20
185:14 186:12	171:7 239:18	274:19,22	138:21	20:5 55:20
190:11	242:9,11	275:3,8 276:1	multiple 23:5	84:22 86:19
minutes 19:19	money 33:3	276:4,21 277:1	MW 82:18	88:16 104:16
26:24 28:23	monitor 89:15	277:4,9,13	MW-1 250:14	115:4 117:20
87:10,12 88:14	104:22 127:22	month 30:21	MW-10 152:19	138:10,22
273:2 281:2	181:20 188:1	months 30:7	MW-11 148:21	150:5 154:12
miscellaneous	monitored 93:16	207:13	MW-15 152:20	196:11 202:23
131:3	163:20	morning 5:1,24	MW-16 83:19	205:9 216:21
mischaracteri	monitoring 13:5	6:1 242:12	MW-2 250:14	243:14 259:14
255:17	13:6,10 14:3,4	281:6,7	MW-3 250:14	281:15
Mischaracteri	15:4,12,23	motive 235:14	MW-4 250:14	needed 7:2 9:18
250:18	16:8,9,10,17	move 8:3 9:21	MW-5 250:15	20:8 33:4
miss-rememb	17:1,1,9,20	11:5 23:23	263:2 266:12	34:16,22 35:12
195:22	19:3,22 22:6	26:13 27:17	267:2,6	39:20 60:18
missed 175:11	25:1,5 26:22	33:18 38:5,6	MW-9 83:19	62:14 68:16,17
misspoke 80:5 179:16	29:9 35:23	40:21 41:8	MWG 50:4 195:11 197:21	68:17 69:2
misstatement	36:1 56:8 66:3 77:21 80:12	43:24 45:11 51:8 53:24	195:11 197:21	93:15 118:11 149:22 210:16
72:14	81:2,4,17,21	65:2 67:1 77:2	247:1	259:11 279:2
modeled 9:9	81:24 82:11,16	77:15 89:24	247.1	needs 171:20
modeler 150:20	82:20 85:4,13	92:6 96:4	N	203:22 204:18
modeling 9:9,13	93:10,14,17,19	97:10 99:20	NAACP 240:16	negative 199:7
127:15 138:7	94:10 95:2	105:7 106:7	242:16	neglected 89:6
139:23 141:20	100:9 131:13	119:3 120:19	name 5:2 175:9	negotiate 237:18
148:24 149:1,4	132:3 135:20	124:12 129:16	197:21 237:2	neither 201:13
149:7	138:19 139:1	139:4 142:13	242:16 249:22	Nelson 144:10
			I	

Page 304

				_
network 1:4 5:6	121:3 128:21	131:13 136:22	74:20 75:6	obligations 93:3
158:12 213:13	130:19 149:15	146:21 159:19	77:4,6 87:3,7	observed 41:19
214:1	183:6 187:2	164:19 175:21	90:5,12,22	53:22 101:10
networks 230:1	204:19 243:14	184:7,10,11	91:10 92:9	185:17
230:9 231:3,5	notebook 52:20	191:10,11,15	96:6 97:13	obtain 176:13
neutral 70:16	notebooks	197:12 233:18	99:23 105:11	234:24
never 60:18	248:11	246:1 258:8	105:13 106:12	obtained 74:6
91:16 200:8	noted 53:13 87:8	266:2,3,7	106:15 107:3	127:7 137:7
272:18 273:21	116:20 252:14	278:11,21	119:6,7 120:22	obtaining
273:23,24	notes 137:5	numbered 54:16	121:5 126:1,2	168:20
274:9	194:6 205:12	169:3	128:15 129:18	obviously 90:7
new 17:22 39:10	206:12 255:20	numbers 18:2	133:8 134:23	255:19
62:17 64:16	275:14 282:8	64:17 71:16	139:7 142:15	occur 20:6
93:15 115:4,6	notice 105:14	84:8,12 122:2	144:18 147:23	103:22 265:16
116:9 165:18	171:23 175:20	149:3,21,21	167:11 168:6	occurred 53:19
219:9,17 252:4	250:19	153:20 158:9	169:21 170:6	115:14 213:3
newest 87:20	noticed 229:4	170:15 202:6	171:13 172:1	265:8,19
Nijman 2:11	notices 26:17	numerous 30:14	172:12,20	October 30:18
263:11	27:13		175:3 176:3,23	43:14 44:16
nine 171:7	November 55:7	0	179:1 180:17	55:7 56:17
no-further 6:24	134:8 154:22	Oakland 2:8	183:3,8 189:1	91:2 95:16
non-detect	NPDES 65:20	oath 5:18,20	189:6 197:10	97:2 98:24
153:23	65:22 68:21	177:22	197:19 198:3	112:4,15
non-detects	113:22 114:9	object 8:6 21:9	216:14 217:13	183:23 184:4,5
15:23 71:18	114:17 119:16	46:23 72:13	223:3 224:14	218:2 226:5
74:12 154:4	119:21 120:5,8	86:21 105:16	225:14 226:21	255:9 277:7
non-disclosable	120:11,23,24	116:16 125:4	229:2 231:8,19	October's
281:5	166:20 167:15	126:12 137:17	232:3,11 233:2	112:11
non-filtered	182:24 202:3	170:6 175:5	234:20 239:2	off-site 141:15
137:15	202:10 217:7,8	182:8,15 204:4	255:16 269:3	164:5
north 25:3 103:9	217:9 258:20	217:8 228:19	270:1,9 271:6	offer 238:6,8,11
103:9,17 110:7	259:13 272:17	229:13 230:10	objections 77:9	238:14
123:2,12 185:5	273:12	250:17 257:4	91:7 182:19	offered 10:4
191:15 192:22	NRG 101:14	275:9 280:7	217:10 228:24	11:12 28:2
193:5,6,6	NRT 63:23	objected 128:11	232:17 233:19	34:2 38:17
232:24 280:16	64:15 76:16,21	182:9,14	235:2,5 239:1	41:4 44:8
northeast 78:10	number 3:8	objecting 235:13	239:9 251:4	45:18 51:15
106:2 258:13	14:21 24:12	262:16	objective 16:3,5	54:7 65:9
258:15 272:9	28:18 29:6,17	objection 9:24	16:18 17:3	67:20 77:11
272:14 273:8	42:7,22 53:3	11:7 19:6,24	55:24 139:21	91:12 92:13
northwest 109:6	66:10,18 71:3	21:17 27:20	214:16	96:10 97:17
109:7	75:9,10 79:9	32:16 33:19	objectives 56:15	100:3 107:10
Nos 28:4 119:13	82:18 85:3	35:7 38:12,13	139:20 140:14	119:11 121:7
173:4 189:13	90:9 96:13,24	40:5,13,23,24	141:10 155:20	129:22 139:11
217:18 224:20	98:13,22 101:1	44:3,4 45:14	276:14	142:19 144:22
note 85:18 87:15	101:4 124:23	51:11 54:3	obligation 92:24	173:2 177:3
91:7 107:3	130:3 131:13	65:5 67:4,17	145:19	179:5 180:21
	1	I	l	I

Page 305

r				rage sos
183:10 189:11	171:22 172:6,9	52:12 53:21	147:5,21 149:6	269:12 270:16
198:19 217:16	172:15,21	128:17 150:5	150:4 151:15	275:20 276:3,6
223:6 224:18	173:5,10 175:3	169:18 179:16	152:12,18	276:19 277:20
225:18 227:2	175:11,16	197:7,21 217:4	153:13 154:5,8	278:3 280:6
243:6	176:5,8,21,24	281:17	154:14,16,23	old 28:21 51:19
offhand 241:5	177:11,18	okay 6:14 7:23	155:14,22	103:13 162:15
office 99:18	178:1 179:2	10:1 12:24	156:6,13	162:17
officer 1:20 5:1	180:18 182:7	13:8 14:9 15:7	157:20 158:7	once 61:20
5:2 8:5,9,13	182:17 183:5	16:23 18:10	158:22 159:7	83:11 181:2
9:23 10:1 11:8	189:2,5,8	20:13 27:3	159:14,21	208:3
14:13 19:8	194:5,10,13	31:23 33:5	160:8,22 161:8	ones 62:6 83:8
20:2,15,18	198:4,9,15	37:5 38:10	161:13,22	84:15 215:17
21:16,20 27:19	204:6,9 216:13	39:24 42:10,11	162:11 163:16	ongoing 123:13
27:21 32:19	216:15 217:6	42:23 47:1	163:19 165:1	online 48:9
33:14,20 35:8	217:11,20	48:15 49:24	166:3,23 169:1	51:22 104:5
38:4,8,11,14	223:2,4,13,16	50:2,9 53:17	170:17 171:11	168:24 171:18
40:8,12,23	224:15,21	54:14,17,20	172:3,6,20	171:23 236:4
41:1 44:2,5	225:3,12,15	55:12 59:9	173:10 176:24	onsite 212:14
45:13,15 47:1	226:20,22	60:5,20 62:1	178:12 180:4	opening 242:8
51:10,12 54:2	228:6,17	64:20 65:18	182:17 183:5	openings 239:17
54:4 65:4,6	229:15,19	69:15 71:5,23	184:14 186:12	operate 12:4
67:3,9,14	230:16,22	73:9 75:18,23	186:12,17	130:11 189:16
72:17 74:22	231:9,23 232:7	76:15 77:7	189:21 190:16	189:17
75:6,13,18	232:9,12 233:7	80:10,15 81:14	190:23 191:13	operated 49:7
77:7 81:8	233:16,22,24	82:1,12 84:14	192:12 196:16	108:1 187:9
85:17 86:11,15	234:17,22	85:15,16 86:11	198:4,9,10,15	189:23
87:7,14 88:5	235:3,18 238:3	86:12 87:14	201:23 203:1	operates 49:4
89:8,23 90:4	238:5,17	90:21 91:6	206:6 207:11	107:22 187:5
90:21 91:6	239:14 240:1,4	92:10 93:24	208:18 209:3	operating 35:14
92:8,10 96:7	240:11,23	94:21 95:14	210:18 211:13	35:18 52:2,11
97:12,14 99:22	241:6,9,19	100:12,21	212:19,24	52:14,17 57:1
99:24 105:9,24	242:1,4,23	103:5,18	213:17 215:2,7	59:2 118:22
106:4,11,19,24	243:9,13,17,20	104:14 106:11	217:11 218:24	121:13,14,16
107:2,16	244:5 246:6,11	106:19,22	219:16,19	121:22,24,24
116:15,19	246:15 250:24	107:1 108:13	220:12 221:3	122:6,7,10,11
119:5,8 120:21	251:3 255:18	110:3,9,19,22	222:12 224:12	122:12 124:10
121:1 125:12	255:22 257:7	113:17 114:20	225:12 230:24	188:21 220:19
126:14 128:10	266:19,22	115:12 116:12	231:17 232:12	257:11
128:20 129:19	269:5,7 270:7	116:21 118:3	233:10 234:17	operation 29:12
133:10 134:24	270:12 271:10	121:1 122:2,9	235:3,18	69:22 123:12
135:2 137:20	271:17,24	122:24 124:12	239:14 241:6	124:9 190:1
139:6,8 142:16	273:1 275:13	128:20 131:6,9	241:19 242:4	210:10 228:3
144:19 148:1	277:19,20	132:18 133:12	246:11,12	operations
167:13 168:3,4	280:10,23	136:10 138:18	248:19 251:3,9	28:13,21 29:4
169:16,20,22	281:15,18	140:12 143:9	254:7 255:22	29:5 104:24
169:24 170:3	Officer's 233:5	144:13 145:8	257:8 258:15	120:18 123:13
170:11,23	oh 15:17 38:10	146:9,24 147:3	264:9,14	123:15 124:6
	I	l		l

Page 306

1				2
129:12 250:11	overseeing	185:19 195:9	129:11 135:21	32:2 34:11
opinion 263:15	83:15	197:12,14	136:8,12	95:10 215:15
opinion 203:13	owned 50:4,16	198:6,7,17	138:20 140:10	performed
opportunity	108:1 156:4	200:2 202:5,10	144:13 145:15	43:23 53:1
231:14 239:13	187:8 188:3	202:11 209:3	146:12 161:3	117:17 127:16
opposed 165:22	owner 6:16	214:9 228:8,12	163:16 166:7	135:18,21
oral 239:19	ownership	229:1,4 233:1	171:19 175:12	184:3 222:4
order 17:14 71:1	50:10 51:2	243:2 247:1	197:4 209:10	252:19
103:15 138:11	190:4	249:7 250:10	219:16 221:9	period 114:18
ordinance	owns 107:22	250:10 254:18	223:19 227:9	229:24 230:6
145:23	187:4	254:19 257:18	227:15 252:19	periodically
organic 131:21		258:8,8 261:5	253:7,22	58:3,4 60:15
original 20:5	Р	261:7 262:18	258:13 270:23	104:20 181:18
27:3 31:14	P 1:19	264:16 267:2	279:22	periods 76:8
83:5 84:4	p.m 177:15,17	269:13 272:6	particular 22:3	permanent
originally 10:17	244:2,4 281:21	272:10 274:15	22:6 171:21	35:17
19:21 110:24	package 140:4	276:7,16,21	265:12,18	permanently
191:22 201:7	page 15:8,8	278:2,8	particularly	219:8
259:20,23	16:10 34:6,7,9	pages 15:10	55:1 130:7	permeability
278:20	34:9 36:9 42:7	24:14 55:19	136:20 141:9	199:5,6
originals 83:8	42:11,21 43:10	64:14 136:21	150:20 141.9	permit 34:19
outage 39:14	53:3 54:15	140:20,21	parties 85:22	39:7 65:15,19
outfall 66:3	55:10,11 56:3	170:22 202:6	88:20 242:6	65:20,22 66:1
120:13 202:11	66:1,6 69:10	217:5 255:7	party 255:5	66:6 114:4,24
outfalls 67:6	69:12 71:12	276:19	pathways	115:1,4,7
outline 188:1	73:10 77:23,24	pagination	141:10	116:4 119:16
outlined 109:11	79:8 80:12	184:12	Patrick 18:9,12	119:22,23
143:21 191:14	82:6 83:21	panoramic	18:23,24	120:4,5,8,11
outside 133:18	90:7 91:24	106:1,17	161:19 252:20	120:23 166:21
over-topping	92:17 94:22	Papademetriu	253:22 266:17	167:6,15
205:11	99:11 105:12	244:11	Patterson	171:16 176:2
overall 24:16	105:16 107:6	paragraph 9:6	240:16 242:17	176:10,17
43:18 117:18	112:17 113:9	53:20 55:1	pause 20:17	202:3,5,10
overburden	113:10 120:7	69:13 70:8	122:16 170:2	208:9,21
63:17	120:10 130:2	79:24 93:11	194:12 266:21	210:17 217:6,7
overflow 61:17	131:6,11,22	99:10	paused 279:14	217:9,10 218:4
78:5,7 202:16	132:14,14	parameters	pauseu 279.111 pay 66:24	218:7,12,15,16
overhead 191:10	135:23 136:1	153:14	PCB 1:5 5:9	218:21 225:2
overrule 75:14	136:21,22	part 9:15,16	peak 189:17,20	258:20 272:18
217:12 233:2	138:3 141:7	29:13 35:4	peat 132:7,11	permits 67:5
255:23	143:9 144:6,7	36:12 38:1	Pekin 49:5	120:24
overruled 47:1	145:2,4,5,16	46:18 53:5	people 87:9	permitting
67:16 75:18	151:7 155:10	67:11 68:20	133:18 167:4	62:16 113:23
126:14 137:20	164:19 169:3	96:15 98:14	210:11	114:9,17
168:6 172:15	170:15 171:10	103:16 104:2	perceived 204:2	166:14 167:7
176:24 182:18	174:3,4 182:12	113:8,23	204:5	231:14
270:13	184:7,12	117:13 128:17	perform 15:2	person 184:20
	I		• • • • •	•

Pag	е	307

personally 236:10232:21 piece 87:1041:9 74:23 75:21 107:2042:1,5,18 43:1 43:5,19 44:1390:9 94:1 96:16 98:	.3	
	·	
personnel 63:24 pile 7:10 154:7 116:20 125:12 46:19 47:13 100:8,14	100:8,14	
167:3 204:21 125:13 128:24 48:2,6,11 101:17,24	ŀ	
perspective pit 71:16,20 72:5 156:7 168:5 57:24 58:20 105:1 110		
66:15 72:8,15,21,22 169:4,19 180:5 60:8,10,13 114:14,22	2	
pertain 63:6,7 pits 68:18 70:12 217:23 226:11 93:1 100:16 115:1 116	5:13	
pertains 94:23 71:20 227:19 230:19 102:10,12 116:24 11	7:1,9	
Pete 125:21 place 29:21 240:11 248:9 104:12 110:23 117:15,17	',19	
126:6,16 127:4 31:15 55:6 248:21 251:4 110:23 111:5,6 117:22,24	ŀ	
phase 52:24 124:18 255:9 256:2 258:6 111:8,11,12,16 118:10,14	ŀ	
54:13,22 56:18 273:13 279:13 261:4,5 262:18 111:16,16,19 156:8,21		
134:4,12 placed 64:5 270:7,9 271:18 111:21 157:18 157:14 15	9:10	
135:10,21 83:17 274:14 277:23 162:20 179:14 159:22 16	64:1,3	
137:6,7 138:20 placement 220:1 278:1 280:12 191:10,11,21 165:6 166	5:4	
154:11,20places 70:13plume 124:1191:21 192:1,2167:10 16		
155:1 158:20 Plaines 185:10 127:19 138:6 192:13,16,18 168:17 16		
158:24 159:2 plan 6:24 13:2 138:13 192:18 193:2,2 169:10,13		
183:20 184:3 14:23,24 15:2 plumes 28:22 193:5 194:19 174:22 17		
185:24 186:8 77:21,22 84:7 126:18 197:22 198:24 181:5,10,		
254:8 257:19103:18,20plus 83:8199:19 200:4191:2,15,		
257:22 258:7 233:21 253:14 point 6:15 7:3 201:3 203:13 192:1,21,33		
274:18 277:9 258:7,14 17:22 19:17 206:13,21,24 193:7,12,		
phonetic 24:7 259:14 260:10 24:18 25:4 210:3 215:18 195:4,14		
63:9261:6,11 272:529:20 31:16215:23 218:1201:11,15		
photo 90:6,11,13 273:7,11,14,16 39:17 48:4 218:24 219:9 202:19,22	-	
90:14 105:12 274:6,18,20,22 55:9 57:15 220:14 222:15 203:7,18,		
105:15,16,17 275:8,23 72:5 103:4 225:1 244:22 204:12 20		
105:19 106:8 277:14 105:18 113:22 245:1,5,11,14 206:3,3 2		
106:10,17,20 plans 104:12 114:4 125:13 245:17 247:8 208:22 21 107.5.14.10 226.21.22 122.21 122.21 122.21 122.21		
107:5,14,19 236:21 253:3,8 139:3 180:14 247:24 212:12,20 102:24 212:12,20 212:12,20 212:12,20 212:12,20		
192:24 228:19 253:19,22 207:21 264:1,9 pond's 76:17 213:3 217		
228:24 229:2 273:5 277:9 266:15 279:9 179:9 203:4 218:4 220 222.10 22 1.10 4.10 4.51 1 1.10 4.10 5.5 7 1.20 0.0 20 220.0 20	-	
232:19,23 plant 48:4 51:1 pointed 255:7 ponds 28:9 220:8,22 1		
233:5 59:2 61:3 pointing 109:18 29:12,14,19 221:10 22		
photograph67:12 78:9228:931:5 34:15227:6,7,8108:8 111:498:20 117:8Policy 1:4 5:536:24 46:6.10228:3,7 2		
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	03.3	
185:17plants 46:12polyethylene58:7 62:12278:13photos 232:20213:10 229:7219:766:12 67:7poor 205:13	5	
phrotos 232.20 213.10 229.7 219.7 60.12 07.7 poor 203.13 phrase 233:19 play 160:23 pond 24:9,17 73:7,19 74:19 poorly 131:		
physical 123:14 207:2 29:17 34:16 75:5,12 76:5 132:5	17	
physical 125.14 207.2 23.17 54.10 75.5,12 70.5 152.5 physically plays 161:3 35:4,11,12 76:16,18,23 portion 9:4		
physically phays 101.5 33.4,11,12 70.10,10,25 portion 7.4 106:23,24 please 8:10,15 38:23 39:8,10 78:2,13,18,19 42:16 43:		
picture 228:7,10 19:8 20:2 39:12,13,15 80:20,23 81:3 43:17 79:	,	
228:10 232:15 21:21 40:14 40:1,17,18 82:2,21 89:21 103:15,17		

Page 308

				2
130:24 135:12	76:5,16,23	preparation	185:11,24	program 117:19
157:5,8 165:22	77:15,22 78:1	27:6 42:13	186:20 219:12	117:23 203:4
169:6 171:16	78:18 79:12	prepare 68:11	prioritizing	278:5,9,11
171:17 172:13	80:3 81:16,18	68:15 197:5	76:17	279:12
184:15,19	81:22 82:11,21	prepared 13:21	priority 32:24	programs 125:2
201:2 205:13	91:21 92:23	18:3,24 129:7	privilege 238:20	project 41:15
209:9 210:6	95:18 96:1,14	129:8 134:14	privileged	43:6 69:3,20
241:21 260:8	96:21 97:1,5	148:4 161:18	234:16	100:10 117:13
272:14 273:17	98:13,20,23	255:2	privileges	150:14
274:2,5	99:4 100:7	preparing	234:11	projects 23:5
pose 213:6	101:14,16,24	100:16	probably 19:17	100:13
posed 213:2	102:16 104:19	presence 79:13	58:24 63:10	prompt 177:20
position 28:17	104:23 105:5	145:19 157:9	114:4 118:23	prompted 8:22
29:14	120:9 155:3,7	present 31:11	124:8,10	204:12
possible 88:10	186:2 279:10	79:14 104:24	190:10 234:19	promulgate
234:3	279:16	105:23 166:12	235:20 256:9	207:23
possibly 66:15	Poz-o-Pac 29:18	166:14 167:3,4	281:4	proof 238:7,8,11
141:5 230:23	29:20 30:3	168:2	problem 128:18	238:14
potable 7:8	39:22 58:10	presented 24:14	246:13	properties 28:20
79:13,18 80:2	59:12 61:6	54:24 55:13	problems 48:10	property 6:9,11
126:21 156:15	79:4 90:10,17	84:1 113:19	procedures	6:15,17 7:6
157:5,7,9,11	90:20 91:2	132:16 136:12	35:13	8:20,23 9:4,9
157:17,20	101:9 106:13	136:24 195:12	proceed 75:19	9:12,18 11:21
210:22 211:8	106:16 107:5	presenting	81:2 88:23	53:19 56:21
211:11 212:2	191:22 193:9	21:24	99:13 135:3	68:20 80:13
potential 9:7,10	195:18 196:5	president 10:23	137:21 177:23	83:20 93:22
78:17 211:10	196:12 200:9	33:1,12 45:6	178:19 235:19	109:7 110:17
269:19	200:11,21,24	92:4 144:10,13	244:13	110:18 123:2,3
pound 58:21	205:15 209:10	249:6,15	proceeded 15:1	123:6,12 124:2
157:21	209:14,19	presumptions	proceeding	124:7,13,15,16
pour 196:9,10	210:6,9 232:16	128:16	182:1 235:8	130:11,20
Powell 87:16	232:20,22	pretty 149:24	241:15	135:13 136:18
power 48:4 51:1	233:6 247:11	173:6	proceedings	138:14,16
61:3 123:14	280:1	prevent 240:19	1:14 20:17	139:2 143:5
229:7 237:2,16	practice 277:8	260:3 265:10	122:16 170:2	144:3 152:24
Powerton 18:13	practices 104:9	preventing	194:12 266:21	153:4,15 156:4
22:7,8,17	Prairie 1:4 5:6	141:17	281:20 282:6	165:22 178:4
24:22 27:14	pre-constructi	previous 131:4	282:10	185:4,12
32:7 48:14,17	41:22	217:9 247:6	process 41:19	188:14 257:24
48:19,24 49:3	precedent	previously 81:15	130:10,13,18	258:13 260:9
49:4,7,8,20	257:16	115:10 203:2	166:21 201:13	272:9,14 273:8
50:14 51:19,21	preferable	primary 28:19	206:22 220:4	273:14,18
54:12 55:2	239:23	78:24 114:7	220:13,21	274:2,6 277:6
57:5,7,11 58:2	prejudicial	printing 172:5	279:23	propose 141:1
59:14 62:1	75:11 234:10	prior 14:8 47:12	processed 58:16	207:14,19
65:16,23 73:11	preliminary	128:11 129:13	production	proposed 7:19
73:18 74:18	156:14 210:22	160:22 185:4	257:14	8:21 10:18
	I	I	I	I

Page	309
rage	505

Г				
31:8 82:4	pulled 194:24	84:2,3 85:13	quick 237:24	265:10
99:13 178:20	purchased 50:13	162:2 165:14	quite 30:11	raised 160:20
207:10 224:3	101:14	215:20	39:18 118:12	170:19
224:10 251:16	purple 7:10,11	quarters 15:3	118:24 122:12	raises 233:18
252:15 279:11	11:16,20 57:20	23:3		ramp 245:17
protect 219:21	143:20	question 23:16	R	246:23,24
219:23 247:14	purpose 58:13	26:21 27:11	Rabins 62:23	247:21
247:17,19,23	61:1,15,18	32:17 41:10	63:12,15 64:1	Randolph 1:16
protecting 93:4	64:20 93:18	46:24 47:15	64:8 112:18,18	randomized
protection 76:14	153:6 219:19	68:7 69:14	114:1,20	70:12
178:15 240:15	219:20	75:20 78:15	173:17,23	ranked 279:1
247:19 248:3	purposes 117:7	102:13 105:3	174:3	ranking 76:17
250:7	240:8	115:18 117:21	Race 3:3 5:13,19	278:22
provide 63:24	pursuant 36:12	126:13 130:8	5:24 8:11,18	Rao 87:18
78:1 130:8	48:6 92:20	145:7 168:8	10:8 12:23	rarely 103:3
135:11 136:5	250:19	181:22 183:14	20:21 21:23	Raven's 114:13
138:4 170:20	pushing 200:7	185:23 199:12	24:2 26:13	re-linings 29:18
170:20 213:23	put 9:18 14:7	199:18 200:1,1	27:9 44:12	re-move 198:2
provided 9:13	31:15 37:10	209:9 218:13	45:22 48:18	reach 213:1
31:21 64:2,3	47:9 70:5,11	229:16,17	49:14 51:19	reached 200:20
170:14 195:15	81:23 104:17	230:11,17,19	54:11 57:6	reaction 31:7
199:20	139:21 147:13	231:15 239:24	65:13 68:1	read 9:7 36:9
provides 95:15	194:20 206:20	247:7,22	89:1,4,11	42:12 64:16
136:6 195:5	219:15 231:3,5	249:11 256:1	91:18 96:18	69:13 75:20
223:17 256:10	265:15 274:13	257:5 263:8,18	97:21 104:14	115:24 132:4
providing 64:7	279:13	266:11 270:4,8	104:17 108:4	145:6,19
64:21 73:1	putting 41:24	271:11,16	108:17 109:10	155:17 156:1
134:20 140:13	90:22 194:16	272:12 274:12	133:14 148:3	169:9 178:1
150:23	199:15 208:1	280:8	173:14 175:12	203:19,21
provision	227:17	questioned	175:14,20	205:15 206:12
145:10	puzzled 22:7	210:13	177:21 178:10	229:6 230:18
provisions 94:6	23:11 25:4	questioning	181:2,16	230:20 250:11
94:14 250:22		77:5 128:10	183:14 194:2	250:19 262:21
252:1,3	Q	137:18 239:12	196:13 199:23	262:22 270:7
proximity	qualify 68:23	questions 8:12	204:11 221:14	270:10
157:14	256:8,9	8:14 19:7 20:1	227:17 229:22	reading 236:24
prudent 103:3	quality 26:19	40:6 112:3,10	231:2 238:7,10	reads 36:9
public 85:19	71:16,21 74:14	113:24 114:13	243:19,22	ready 112:8,20
86:3,4,14,16	74:17 84:13	114:23 116:17	244:6,18	112:21 236:6
86:22 87:1,4	250:13	116:18 124:4	275:15	244:7 254:6
88:10,13,20	quarter 15:15	125:11 167:10	Race's 175:9	281:11
104:6 166:12	15:20 18:13	175:18 177:7	radial 129:4	real 149:5 154:3
166:18,21,22	22:13,24 36:5	184:22 204:11	radius 79:16	realize 249:16
167:14 168:21	162:5 177:12	234:23 235:19	211:9	really 23:8
182:24 250:3	quarterly 17:13	238:10,14	rail 103:11,12	30:10 32:5
publicly 240:21	17:20 19:22	239:5 243:18	103:14	59:24 100:20
pull 54:11 81:6	35:24 81:3	277:16 280:21	rain 260:3	103:2 163:12

Page	e 310
LUGC	, JTO

				_
171:20 235:14	84:4 91:12	247:6 262:15	refer 39:2 46:1	229:17,18
237:8	92:13 96:10	263:24	47:14 66:11	regarded 261:17
reason 23:18	97:17 100:3	recommendati	77:17 84:23	regarding 17:19
28:16,19 29:13	107:10 119:11	76:22	117:20 187:11	18:13 24:21
78:24 118:9	121:7 127:4	recommendati	199:13 204:18	26:21 28:9
126:9 142:2	128:6 129:6,22	43:8	211:22 237:20	55:17 64:4
163:17 174:21	134:7,7 139:11	record 5:12	246:4	65:16 66:7
269:20	140:11 142:19	20:16 33:15	reference 47:12	68:3 74:16
reasonable	144:22 171:6	40:8,11,13	90:8 132:24	76:22 79:12
89:19 213:5	173:2 177:3	64:13 77:5	175:20 219:11	88:20 105:11
reasonably	179:5 180:21	85:18 86:1	referenced	105:22 106:12
48:23 98:8	183:10 189:11	87:15,22 88:6	78:13 140:6	107:4 114:21
105:4 108:8	198:19 201:13	90:22 99:17	272:21	115:23 128:15
143:22 158:14	217:16 223:6	116:16 128:20	references 11:18	135:5,11
191:17 221:17	224:18 225:18	152:18 170:1,3	90:3	156:21 157:5,7
reasons 28:18	227:2 243:6	176:2,10	referred 31:13	164:1 166:14
126:9	258:2 271:14	177:13,19	70:20 78:4	169:7 186:1
recall 6:8 13:4	271:22	183:5,15 194:5	211:24 251:17	199:10 205:18
56:21 62:8	receives 59:14	194:11,14	referring 7:12	213:1,23 218:3
76:15,21 81:21	receiving 15:22	197:11,14,20	47:16 50:19	227:6,23
83:10 112:9	22:3,4 66:8	197:22 225:9	66:3 78:6	232:19 239:4
158:22 166:12	151:3	226:2 230:20	97:23 104:20	242:7 252:3
166:18 167:9	recess 88:1	232:17 240:1,4	129:13 136:2	regardless 57:14
168:10,20	177:14 244:1	241:18 242:15	145:15 155:13	regards 22:7
171:5 189:21	recognize 7:16	243:12 244:6	159:11 209:3	regular 150:19
190:14 193:14	10:12 13:16	244:10 248:13	220:2 227:22	258:18
199:3,9,18	18:11 20:24	251:3 266:20	240:7 249:5	regulate 102:15
209:13 218:5	24:2 33:6 37:6	266:23 270:10	251:10 252:23	regulated
218:17,23	37:19 39:4	275:21 281:7	254:18 262:22	101:18,24
219:18 220:3	44:24 45:8	281:16	264:14,15,16	102:9 181:5
231:17 255:12	52:21 63:3	recorder's 99:18	264:17 269:12	regulating
256:4,14	65:14 91:20	records 125:1,8	refers 145:18	207:14
257:10,13	92:1 108:15,17	184:24	reflect 222:21	regulation
262:6 264:1	112:23 119:17	recreational	228:1 251:4	145:24 207:19
266:14 268:22	129:1 134:9	131:2	reflects 75:11	regulations
receive 128:3	139:17 142:24	recycle 202:11	refresh 112:5	12:13 173:23
150:19 214:7	147:8 150:15	red 82:17 158:8	190:21 206:14	250:16 260:1
271:2	154:17 161:14	158:13	207:4,16	regulatory
received 3:8	173:18 180:6,7	redact 232:5,6	237:22 245:21	116:23 117:3
6:20 10:4	183:24 187:13	233:12 243:15	247:4,5 263:24	rel 242:16
11:12 22:4	191:14 196:18	redacted 233:6	refreshing	related 113:6
28:2 34:2	201:24 208:10	241:21 242:2	262:15	143:6 234:11
38:17 41:4	235:24	redaction 243:1	regard 35:23	relates 8:7
44:8 45:18	recollection	redirected	64:8 77:20	relating 6:16
47:7 51:15	112:5 190:21	220:18	102:20 114:8	89:20 93:13
54:7 65:9	206:14 207:17	reduce 142:11	115:19 127:14	94:15 95:2
67:20 77:11	210:8,11 247:5	reducing 141:19	148:9 228:2	105:5 130:9
		I	l	I

Page	311
rage	<u> </u>

138:5 145:19	280:17	removal 35:15	268:11	reporting
161:23 181:24	relinement	41:21,22 93:4	replace 39:8	227:15
236:21	157:2	103:7 209:18	204:24 219:9	reports 19:4,22
relation 82:2	relining 34:14	210:10	replaced 29:23	20:6 133:5
113:3 232:23	44:13 76:18,23	remove 12:20	30:2 115:9	147:7,14,18
relatively	93:24 94:1	59:6 60:1	209:21	148:9 155:1
153:20 156:23	100:10,12,14	63:22 92:24	replacement	161:22 186:1,5
relaying 21:12	114:14 115:13	100:20 106:23	31:2 41:21,23	186:8 214:6
release 145:20	116:12,24	106:24 210:14	209:18 217:24	227:14 257:2,3
157:19 213:2,5	117:13,19,23	273:6	279:16	represent 90:14
released 145:13	118:10 179:10	removed 12:11	replacements	90:19 105:18
relevance	179:15 202:22	35:19 58:3,4	39:13 63:11	197:13
238:24	203:4 209:11	58:22,24 59:3	279:23 280:3	representation
relevant 56:23	218:8,13 278:5	59:22 60:15,18	replacing 115:5	11:21 188:7
67:6,15 105:4	278:9,10,13	104:10 118:14	report 14:4,6,20	representative
121:2 162:18	rely 254:24	118:15,17,19	18:12,15,17	126:6 167:16
171:16 181:23	255:14,21	118:20,24	19:2,2,10	represented
228:1 234:8	256:6 257:21	141:14 201:4	24:10 36:3	66:22 136:15
235:5,11	relying 256:16	201:12,19	43:18 53:6,18	Representing
236:20	257:3	209:10,15,23	54:13,22 55:2	2:10,16
reliable 167:17	remain 58:2	209:24 210:6	55:3,11 56:3	represents
167:21	118:13	215:19 219:8	56:19 68:2,3	158:17
reliance 255:4	remaining 178:4	removing	79:14 81:16,17	request 6:20
256:12	179:17	100:15 103:6	129:4 130:19	8:19 10:9
relied 258:1	remedial 134:5	141:23 165:4	134:5 135:10	82:23 87:3
reline 31:4 32:7	139:20	219:5	136:5,13,20,24	135:11 140:11
34:19 38:23	remediate 56:20	renew 172:12	138:4 139:20	148:5 156:9
62:2,3,12,13	257:24	176:19	139:21 140:14	166:6 176:19
62:15 94:3	remediated 7:2	renewal 114:4	141:3 149:17	182:23 242:2
100:8 115:1	remediation	119:24 120:1	149:20 150:13	requested 7:7
117:8 166:4	6:23 7:1 16:3,5	166:21	150:23 151:2	17:15 62:13
206:24 208:9	16:18 17:3	repeat 105:12	151:15 154:23	116:23 160:9
208:22 215:23	125:2 139:21	115:18 167:23	155:5,15,15	166:22 230:21
217:1 218:4,24	140:14,18	184:10 194:2	157:8,8 161:18	243:1 270:11
relined 29:19	150:13 214:16	256:1	161:24 184:5,7	requesting
34:16 60:4	remember 31:21	repeated 90:3	184:12,16,19	68:10 126:10
61:8 62:7	34:24 46:2	116:17	186:3,6,15,18	140:8
101:2,5,8	60:2 71:10	rephrase 19:8	186:22,23	requests 6:16
111:19,21	109:23 116:3	20:2,3 21:20	210:23 211:5	require 160:12
114:22 116:2,7	146:17 168:7	32:18 35:8	213:19,22	required 39:18
117:4,9,11	193:18,23	40:14 74:22	258:5 276:15	47:8 48:9
118:12 156:23	229:22 241:11	126:4 133:10	reported 1:23	70:17,24 76:13
203:8,14 206:4	255:24 256:18	148:1 157:6	74:8 153:9	104:3 174:19
206:13,15,16	256:19,21	168:8 199:24	210:9 282:5	174:21 273:9
206:18 207:3	264:13	204:7 213:9	reporter 5:17	requirement
210:4 245:5	remembered	230:16,17,23	230:18 242:5	36:20 48:1,3
279:8 280:14	264:11	252:2 257:7	282:4	56:19 115:7
		I	I	I

Page 312

r				2	
117:3 166:3	185:11 186:20	227:3 242:24	137:23 147:20	184:16 186:1	
174:8 179:14	189:15 195:6	243:7 248:10	147:22 148:10	268:12,14,18	
220:4,13	199:20 200:24	responding	149:18 152:16	268:24 274:21	
223:11 257:22	203:7,18	124:4	159:6 160:23	reviewing 17:23	
260:1	206:11 207:8	response 128:15	162:1,4 163:9	246:19 267:8	
requirements	210:2 221:3	178:17 239:17	199:3 214:3,6	Rich 23:12	
38:2 47:20,22	227:12 236:18	239:18 240:8	214:12,15,17	25:14	
95:1 179:11,18	236:21	247:6	214:19 251:22	Richard 21:18	
180:11 207:24	respectively	responses 27:7	252:5,16,19	Rick 21:4,10	
252:1	27:15	27:12 28:7	253:11 276:1,4	22:1,18 23:11	
requiring 38:23	respond 8:12	242:9	resume 281:21	24:6,18 25:13	
requisite 128:12	170:10 234:18	responsibilities	resumed 3:4	30:13	
rerouted 39:16	235:1 240:10	267:24	5:22 88:3	right 8:16 18:4	
rerouting 39:24	responded 75:16	responsibility	177:16 244:3	20:18 23:10	
220:15	respondent 1:9	114:8	retained 49:15	24:20 38:14	
residual 45:24	2:16 5:8 92:2	rest 88:19	49:19,20,22	48:21 49:13	
resolve 30:6,7	249:23 250:21	113:13 170:8	50:1,6,9,11,19	59:7 72:17	
232:10	Respondent's	172:10	51:2 108:24	73:7 79:11	
Resource 41:13	3:9 10:2,5 11:9	restate 75:22	109:4,12,21	83:1 88:5 92:6	
62:23	11:13 27:22	restriction 12:15	187:19,21,23	94:14 96:20	
Resources 25:16	28:3 33:15,21	restrictions 12:1	188:8	99:14 100:23	
63:9	34:3 38:18	result 48:11	RETEC 139:22	103:11 106:7	
respect 8:11,18	41:5 44:6,9	72:1,5,8,22	147:7,14,16,18	107:17 110:7	
9:5 11:17 12:8	45:16,19 51:13	163:22 224:1	147:22 148:9	111:9 112:9	
12:14 13:23	51:16 54:5,8	234:2 237:10	148:15 149:1,2	114:7 130:6	
14:2 16:4,5	65:7,10 67:18	237:11,12	149:7,17	131:22 140:24	
20:6 22:12	67:21 77:8,12	255:14 256:5	return 177:20	143:19 146:14	
23:16 24:20	91:9,13 92:11	263:2,4	reused 71:7	149:24 153:8	
25:21 28:6	92:14 96:8,11	resulted 6:22	revealed 240:21	154:15 159:17	
29:11 35:2,11	97:15,18 100:1	250:12	reveals 235:6,13	159:18 161:12	
36:8 46:19,21	100:4 106:20	results 9:1,9	review 7:22	162:13 167:8	
53:18 54:21	107:7,11	14:3,4,7 15:4	13:20 17:21	171:13 172:15	
58:1 69:9 72:3	119:12 121:8	15:12,16,20,24	18:5 24:10	175:21 177:10	
73:21 79:11	129:20,23	16:22 17:16	25:7 90:2 99:7	177:18 187:1	
81:14 84:1,18	139:9,12	18:5 19:3,12	99:10 101:16	190:14,18	
84:22 85:21	142:17,20	19:14,15,18	101:21,23	203:2,16	
92:23 93:9,24	144:20,23	21:8 22:5,8	112:2,16	205:17 206:2,9	
94:7,21 102:13	169:20 172:1	26:23 69:8	127:11,13	215:4 217:4	
104:22 105:1	173:3 177:1,4	71:6,8,14 73:1	128:4,7 130:7	225:3,15,21	
110:9 114:13	179:3,6 180:18	73:5,12,15,22	147:14,22	233:24 239:9	
121:21 126:24	180:22 183:11	74:8,11,12,13	148:3,7 169:4	240:6 243:2	
128:23 131:15	189:9,12	75:2,8,9 76:3	170:12 180:5	269:10 276:8	
136:20 141:17	198:20 216:17	76:11 83:23,24	182:5 185:3	277:2,4 279:6	
144:5 148:17	217:13,17	84:4 101:20	277:8	280:23	
150:1 153:13	223:7,13	127:7,10	reviewed 23:17	rights 50:15	
155:2 159:14	224:16,19,22	133:19 135:17	53:5,22 56:9	51:5 110:10,11	
165:1 168:11	225:16,19	136:7 137:15	129:14 165:4	110:13	
		•	•	•	

Page 313

1				2	
risk 203:22	227:10,12	213:24 214:4,6	53:4,14 54:18	237:23 256:11	
204:2,15 205:6	ruling 233:5	sand 123:23	55:14 69:11	270:5 273:24	
205:8 213:2,4	239:19 242:11	131:20,20	77:24 78:14	seen 11:1 13:18	
213:6 255:5	run 63:20	132:6,6,21,21	92:18,19 95:12	57:16 122:24	
256:13	204:19	Sandecki 1:23	130:4,7 135:23	123:1 161:4	
river 25:4 69:5	running 63:19	282:3,16	136:1,5,19	186:15 193:11	
185:10	110:6	Sanitary 185:7	145:4,16	197:2 262:3	
Rivers 1:4 5:6	runoff 68:4	satisfying 208:2	155:11,15	263:21 264:22	
RO 65:16	69:12,16,17	saving 106:17	167:7 168:5	265:3,5,16,20	
road 2:2 110:1	70:9 94:24	saw 47:8 115:6	184:8,14	267:17,18,20	
110:13 185:6,6	95:2,7 120:17	280:1	185:13,21,23	269:23 270:3	
rock 204:23,24	204:21	saying 114:10	186:3 195:4	270:15,18,22	
role 17:19	runs 103:14	137:22 255:12	215:13 246:21	272:12,18	
160:23 207:2	110:14	256:18,19	246:23 250:3	273:21,23	
248:21		257:13 264:2	254:22 256:5	274:9	
Romeo 185:6	S	says 42:11 43:3	sections 164:21	selenium 71:22	
Romeoville	safety 257:17	66:2 73:10	185:20 197:23	72:3,8,22	
187:6	sale 49:15	115:24 146:5	sedimentation	sell 109:1	
rough 281:10	108:22 187:15	155:17 188:3	47:5	selling 270:18	
roughly 212:17	sample 16:16	196:7 229:6	see 19:12 46:14	semiannual	
round 81:18	22:5 70:14	249:22 251:7,8	50:3 60:19	146:16	
162:1,6 213:23	73:17 74:2,5,7	262:22,24	68:22 69:7	send 22:1 220:4	
214:3	90:17 91:1	263:6 270:20	79:17 87:21	senior 33:12	
routed 220:21	137:11,24,24	schedule 278:19	97:23 104:7	45:6 87:16	
routinely 118:14	148:10 153:9	school 241:11	115:14 127:23	92:4 150:13	
118:15	214:22	screen 7:13	136:2 144:6	180:13 249:15	
RPR 1:23	sampled 15:18	11:20 48:17,23	155:12,13	sense 22:21	
282:16	69:2,6 70:1,2	49:24 50:3	158:8 163:8	103:4 109:18	
Ruining 1:5 5:6	70:10 75:3	82:13 84:24	182:11 191:5	147:17 164:6	
rule 45:24 46:1	153:11,14	89:14 97:22	192:24 207:6	237:17 281:12	
46:5,9,16,18	samples 55:24	104:21 108:7	207:11 208:13	sent 20:9 22:9	
46:22 47:10,21	74:3,5 137:8	143:20 181:19	214:8 216:22	33:10 41:13	
48:7 57:13,15	sampling 15:14	187:24 221:12	221:14 237:10	74:18 75:5,12	
57:16 66:14,17	15:15,21 17:9	search 236:4	241:17 246:21	76:4 88:19	
101:18 102:1,4	22:14,24 23:3	second 20:16	246:23 249:22	151:11 220:14	
102:18 104:1,2	47:13 68:4,18	50:18 105:15	249:24 251:7,8	sentence 250:18	
207:5,23	71:6,14 73:12	182:11,12	253:4 260:10	251:2 262:24	
227:16 273:10	73:15,21 76:2	199:8 218:7	263:6 267:9	separate 69:2	
279:11,14	81:19 84:2,3	262:23	272:10 278:16	275:11	
rules 31:16,17	117:14,16	secondary 57:18	seeded 161:6	separation	
57:3 86:24	127:1,3,7,10	57:23 60:5,10	259:16 270:21	23:22	
101:15 102:9	135:17,18	60:16,21 94:4	seeding 258:17	September	
102:14 160:11	137:6,8 146:22	100:14,19	264:23 265:2,9	30:20 112:19	
160:12 161:1	147:20,22	101:5 102:3,5	265:15	206:12,16	
181:2,4 207:2	151:5,6,6	102:6,8	seeing 79:5	225:23	
207:10 208:3	154:2 160:6,23	secrets 240:17	124:2 149:5	sequence 278:9	
220:24 227:7	161:1 162:2,5	section 34:8	154:3 214:17	278:10,14,18	
	I	l	l	l í	

Pao	e	31	4

r				2
279:19,20	203:15	192:14 193:20	55:16 123:10	133:2,4,23
series 62:21	show 7:1 16:24	Sierra 1:3 2:6	123:18 124:5	134:1 202:15
201:21 215:3	57:6 71:1	5:4 234:1,6	124:17,21,23	slag/fly 160:2
serious 233:19	73:22 74:11,12	235:7 236:21	125:2,17,19	261:9,14 262:5
seriousness	82:9 89:4	237:15 241:15	126:17,19	264:17,19
239:10	130:12 131:15	signature 11:1,3	127:10,20,22	265:7,22
service 43:21	132:19 138:12	45:9 92:1	128:2,4 129:11	269:14,17,22
59:20 66:9	162:5,24 212:3	144:7,9	130:4,7,14	271:4
70:4 111:17	241:20 262:4	signed 10:15,16	131:4 134:6,7	slanted 97:22
117:10,11	263:22 264:23	10:20 30:20	135:5,19	slashed 221:14
118:17 192:17	273:21	31:24 45:5	136:12 139:24	slice 201:2
201:12 206:21	showed 15:20	91:22 172:19	141:22 143:8	slightly 26:3
219:4 280:20	127:14 132:20	180:12 208:14	147:8,19	slope 232:24
services 43:14	161:2 162:6	208:16 215:9	154:11,21	slopes 245:11
185:9 249:1	187:23 199:9	220:20 226:15	160:15 163:6,6	247:24
set 22:5,22 73:3	214:14	248:14 249:8	164:11,17	slow 120:1
196:10 205:10	showing 9:1	249:13,14	165:23 178:6	sluice 66:23
264:5 278:20	29:9 47:6	250:6	183:21 184:9	120:15 202:13
setback 11:18	48:22 64:7	significance	184:15,23	202:14,18
12:6	71:18,23 72:4	84:10 143:12	185:1 187:22	slurry 211:15,22
settings 265:17	83:4 89:14	significant	212:7 258:6,14	small 95:8
settle 58:15	104:21 153:16	151:20	260:9 261:6,11	snapshot 55:6
settlement 166:7	153:19 163:12	signifies 175:23	272:5 273:7,13	135:15 254:15
settling 61:20	180:9 181:19	signing 35:1	273:15 274:6	256:24
78:9 94:5	226:15,16	248:22	274:18,20,22	so-ish 140:21
101:5	272:19 274:10	silky 267:12	275:2,5,8,23	soil 12:11,21
seven 16:2 122:4	shown 12:6 50:7	silt 131:21	277:9,14	135:18 140:17
171:8	50:19 76:3	132:23	sites 24:9 35:17	141:13,24
sf@nijmanfra	108:7 120:10	similar 39:14	201:16 279:15	142:5 265:15
2:14	120:12 131:10	59:21 60:12,19	situation 59:21	268:7 274:17
SFA 72:11	131:17 159:23	93:1,6 100:12	60:19 204:16	274:19,21
SFA-1 72:24	202:9 263:15	120:8 124:9	204:20 265:12	277:8,13
shale 211:17	263:20 264:11	131:24 133:5	265:18	soils 9:3 12:8,9
212:16	shows 7:21	154:23 165:2	six 16:2 51:23	26:4 141:17
shape 110:4	67:10 71:14	185:24 215:12	52:15 59:1	142:9 258:17
210:15 280:2	73:23 82:10	similarly 91:15	79:15,18,21	259:16
sheer 64:9	143:14 154:1	186:23 279:1	80:22 121:20	solely 255:2
Ship 185:8	278:8,10	Simon 62:23 63:8	193:18 194:2,3	solid 47:13
shocked 30:10 236:17 237:9	shut 235:9 237:7 shutdown		195:18 196:4,9 207:13 267:15	solids 47:6 137:4
short 20:17	237:10	simply 41:10 47:15 182:8		152:2,7 153:12 153:18
88:23 122:16	side 62:16 68:20	235:9	267:17,20 269:2	somewhat 88:18
170:2 194:12	103:9,10 110:6	sit 244:23 245:8	size 191:18	somewhat 88.18 soon 173:6
266:21 277:19	191:1,3 245:11	264:12	skewing 149:3	sooner 242:8
shorthand 282:4	247:24	site 6:23 7:1 9:2	skipping 216:24	sorry 21:16
282:5,8	sides 58:11	9:3 29:2,3,4	slag 58:17	25:13,14 33:17
shortly 13:10	59:13 61:7	52:24 53:4,8	132:22,24	80:6 95:16
	07.10 01.7			00.0 /0.10

Page 31	5
---------	---

T				5
119:2 121:22	specialist 53:12	standard 16:12	states 9:6 42:18	223:12,19
131:8 150:10	151:14	16:20 17:6	96:13 98:13	224:3 228:2
152:10 157:6	specific 31:17	71:16,21,24	120:14 132:4	236:22
169:18 171:5	42:17 58:7	72:4 74:14	169:9 178:2	station's 211:9
179:16 186:21	134:2	76:12 84:13	179:21 185:16	stations 19:23
233:12 242:4	specifically	85:7,10 136:16	250:11 259:14	20:9 26:19
242:19 268:11	22:12 31:21	137:2 153:21	stating 21:14	28:10,20 32:1
276:18 281:17	53:3 69:11	standards 16:7	24:24 45:3	46:6 156:11
sort 21:5 51:1	94:22 115:19	26:19 36:18	168:10 178:16	186:22 187:19
240:21 266:13	131:16 140:24	84:16 149:14	256:4,14	195:2 213:11
271:18	145:3 152:16	234:10 250:13	257:10	213:21 230:2,8
sounds 133:2	155:14 160:7	stands 75:15	station 6:2 14:20	235:10,16
271:24	170:14 182:10	start 41:20,23	20:6 22:7 32:6	237:21 268:20
source 19:15	184:8,14	165:24 191:6	38:24 41:15	statutes 250:16
28:10,14 29:15	228:12 254:17	210:24 213:12	48:14,19,24	stay 111:3
148:22 168:12	specification	220:16 240:7	49:3,5,7,9	166:23
169:11,12	248:6	243:23 281:5	50:14 51:20,21	staying 38:21
174:23	specifications	started 13:7,11	57:7 62:14	stays 259:11
sources 29:10	43:7 64:3,15	17:23 52:17	65:16,23 78:2	step 39:21
164:5	64:24	79:3 116:1	79:19,21 80:10	232:18
south 2:12 25:3	specified 146:2	121:14 128:1	80:13,19 82:11	steps 39:9
42:18 43:5,19	248:2	159:6 190:2,3	89:20 93:7	stick 210:16
50:24 83:19	speculating	starting 145:3	96:15 98:15	stipulate 248:16
103:10,15	21:18	170:5,5	104:6,23 105:5	stipulation
124:22 157:18	speculation	starts 59:4	107:18,19,23	26:16,16 36:22
185:8 191:12	21:10 74:21	state 6:23 125:2	108:2,5,9,15	37:12 44:15
191:16 192:2,2	125:5 126:3	145:23 167:16	108:23 109:1	49:3,6,8 58:8
192:8,9,10,10	133:9 147:24	174:20 195:17	109:14 110:7	59:11,13 60:20
192:11,22	204:5	205:13 248:21	110:20 115:23	61:4,7,10
203:9 244:22	speculative	282:1,4	118:21,22	95:15,15 96:13
245:2,11,14,17	75:10	state's 7:3	119:17 120:5	96:24 98:12,22
279:4,7 280:13	spent 33:3	stated 28:12	121:13 122:18	101:1,4 107:21
southeast	Splaychek 63:8	72:15 166:9	123:3 124:13	107:24 110:22
232:24	spoil 68:5	168:14 207:13	135:13 143:5	111:15,18,20
southwest 106:2	spoils 69:1	249:16 276:14	151:13 156:22	178:2,7 179:21
109:5 260:8	spoken 133:17	statement 90:8	158:13,16,18	187:4,8 188:16
273:14 274:5	spot-checking	168:22 169:5,7	161:19 168:12	191:20,24
southwestern	17:24	180:9 226:16	174:5 177:9	192:13,16,18
156:2	stability 219:20	229:5,9,11	178:4 181:15	192:20 193:7
Sovereign 19:16	stakes 70:11	231:21 257:21	181:24 183:18	201:11 221:7
space 70:5	stamp 134:7,7	statements	183:22 187:6,9	222:6 223:17
spaces 26:3	198:10,11	167:20 168:1	191:1,4 192:1	225:21 226:4
speak 75:9	stand 5:14	172:14 182:11	192:21 193:8	stipulations
speaking 57:14	128:12 177:21	182:13 230:13	196:22 201:16	49:2 59:10
134:13 181:3	244:7	230:14	202:4 210:12	107:21 187:3
229:22 251:4	standalone	statements'	211:14 212:3	stop 52:11
265:11	251:2	167:23	218:1 221:9	122:10 200:7
	1	1		I

Page 316

1				1090 010
281:1	18:5 36:10	supply 12:5,14	109:5 156:15	68:6,17 79:23
stopped 122:12	94:11 128:10	12:16,18 80:19	157:7 187:14	84:18 85:17
storage 131:1	182:23	172:2 183:6	187:15,18,20	87:12 88:9,14
160:3 261:10	submittal 37:23	supporting	188:8 210:23	89:11,15 91:7
262:5 264:18	submitted 13:24	31:12	211:8 212:2	91:16 104:16
264:19 265:7	14:1,21 17:21	suppose 252:17	Susan 2:11	105:2 106:20
265:22 269:14	18:15,17 19:21	Supreme 240:15	246:2	107:14 111:8
269:17,19,23	34:20 44:16	240:24 241:3	suspended 47:5	112:2,4,16
271:4	95:16,24 96:22	242:15	47:13	124:19 130:6
storm 95:7	156:13 161:20	sure 20:14,14	sustain 232:2	138:3 139:16
stormwater	178:17 179:22	25:9,17 35:20	sustained 40:13	146:24 156:17
220:16 258:20	226:5 251:13	57:2 60:2	72:18 74:23	168:5 169:4
259:14 272:17	251:15	79:24 80:1	176:5,8 183:4	171:23 173:5
273:11	subparagraph	109:13,20	257:7 269:9	177:11 181:20
strata 267:12	36:8 94:23	122:13 127:23	280:10	185:21 190:19
strategically	substance	147:16 156:18	swear 5:17	195:3 199:17
237:6	145:21	169:24 189:24	switch 109:15	209:17 227:18
streams 66:12	succeed 163:12	191:9 193:4	109:21	227:24 242:10
Street 1:16 2:7	successfully	194:1,1,5	synergy 237:5	243:20 258:21
2:12	44:18 95:18	197:15 203:21	system 57:23	260:15 266:17
strength 64:9	96:1 179:24	207:7,22	66:4,13,16,20	taken 70:3 86:22
strenuously	226:7,16	225:10 227:20	67:11 68:21	198:1,23 199:2
235:12	suggested 86:11	229:19 238:17	120:14 201:7	199:19 200:3
stress 63:17	251:14 253:16	240:1,13	202:12,13	250:23 282:9
strike 172:13	suggestion	248:24 266:5	204:22 278:22	takes 145:14
175:7 243:10	106:17	276:5	278:23	257:15
261:2,3	suggestions	surface 42:13		talk 6:3 58:6
stronger 64:11	253:19	211:16,18,20	<u> </u>	174:4 210:3,23
structural 71:3	suitable 76:12	surge 57:17 58:9	tab 221:12	224:23 232:14
Study 185:20	Suite 2:7,13	58:13,15,23	table 14:11 18:8	233:3
stuff 281:5	sulfate 16:14,16	59:15,20,23	56:2,6,7,9	talked 39:11
style 247:12	85:5,6	60:1,11 61:17	71:13,14 73:10	78:12 88:8
sub 216:3	sulfates 214:17	61:22 78:10	73:14,22,23	163:10 203:3
sub-bituminous	sum 251:17	94:4 95:6	74:8 76:3	224:24
76:7	summaries 24:8	100:15,18	83:22 84:8,15	talking 6:2
subbase 41:24	summary 24:17	101:2,8 103:24	96:18 111:24	26:24 28:23
subgrade 42:13	71:15 73:12	surprise 30:9	152:8,10,14,15	57:12 76:18
42:19 100:16	89:19 105:4	162:11	186:11 214:8	105:24 128:1
subject 8:21	136:6 181:23	surprised 87:13	214:11	129:5 173:23
47:24 48:3	summer 194:21	163:8	tables 18:3,19	173:24 204:1
77:8 106:12,15	sumps 202:16	surrounded	18:20,22,24	210:3,11 242:7
156:9 172:10	Superfund 29:2	28:20	19:11 tabs 246:13	264:7,8 272:8
submission	123:9,18 124:5	surrounding	tabs 246:13 take 23:14 25:11	tank 202:16
14:23	supplemental	29:7	25:18 30:5	tanners 124:18
submissions	27:12 28:7	survey 43:19,23	41:9 46:11	tannery 29:3
26:23	supplies 80:2	49:20 50:22	55:21 63:2	124:17 125:9
submit 17:16	157:17 250:4	108:14,20,20	55.21 05.2	125:17,18
	-	•	•	•

Page 317

1				2
128:2,4 129:5	211:21	33:16,20 38:14	205:1 270:21	38:24 39:8,10
129:12 130:10	terms 12:2,3	41:1 44:5	things 29:6 33:3	39:12,15 40:17
130:13,18,20	31:1 32:2,14	45:15 51:12	41:20 42:2	40:18 42:18
130:23 134:6	39:24 71:6	54:4 65:6 77:7	68:16 71:4	43:2,5,19
134:13 135:5	90:1 116:6	87:21 89:2,8	104:5,11	44:13 46:20
135:18 136:11	133:22 151:16	90:21 92:10	110:16 127:22	47:13 49:2
136:17 139:24	153:16 154:24	96:7 97:14	149:3 163:10	57:19 60:13
143:8 147:8,19	164:22 165:3,4	99:24 107:16	think 5:14 8:7	68:16 78:1
152:24 153:3	172:5 174:4	119:8 125:14	8:14 21:12	86:2 87:9 90:9
156:4 160:15	199:10 207:23	128:21 129:19	30:24 38:5,8	102:11 121:23
160:19 163:6	281:10	135:2 139:8	40:7 61:24	146:2,4 177:7
163:11 164:11	Terry 41:14	142:16 144:19	67:14 72:15,17	188:22 190:2
165:23 166:1	test 19:16 68:18	152:12 154:15	78:12 85:20	190:12,15
178:5 261:18	70:11,15 71:16	171:11 172:21	86:1 87:11	191:11 192:2
275:5,11 277:5	71:19,20 72:1	173:10 175:1	88:16,21 95:4	192:10 202:15
task 68:24	72:5,8,15,21	177:24 179:2	103:9 114:5	203:10 214:18
Tazewell 49:5	72:22 73:5	179:16 184:13	116:8 133:11	244:22 245:11
team 23:8,10	75:2,8,9	189:8 194:7	171:7,20 172:4	245:14,17
233:20	testified 5:21	198:16 202:8	172:4 176:18	246:14 279:5
tears 215:19	10:8 12:15	204:9 214:10	190:10 192:9	Thursday
technical 9:13	45:22 54:20	216:15 217:19	196:8 197:16	234:20 239:16
87:18,19	55:3 93:6	217:20 223:4	206:8 225:5,6	till 281:3
Technology	115:10 155:6	223:15,16	225:7 228:15	time 7:22 13:21
25:16 41:14	168:23 169:8	224:15 226:22	228:19 231:23	22:19 24:8,19
62:24 63:9	186:14,19	229:20 232:13	232:1,19	24:22 25:9
tell 46:8 53:8,17	195:21 210:21	233:3,4 235:18	234:24 236:23	29:16,23 30:10
63:3 71:12	213:22 218:3	235:20 240:12	238:5,23	31:16 32:11
74:16 75:4	244:18 259:10	241:22 243:17	239:15,20	40:3,16 52:6
76:3 112:7,19	267:23 277:7	243:20 244:14	241:10 245:23	52:12 53:10,13
114:20 126:9	278:4	244:15 246:12	255:16 256:8	55:6,9,21 59:5
127:13 139:16	testifies 106:9	246:15,18	256:10 267:14	63:1 76:9
140:21 151:15	testify 276:9	254:6,7 258:10	269:6 276:22	86:19,21 87:2
152:1 155:16	testifying 182:1	273:3 276:23	281:1,13	88:11,15,16,22
155:23 156:20	testimony 31:1	277:21 280:23	thinking 21:6,11	92:5 93:17
157:1,8 169:6	172:12,14	281:18	21:15,19 81:8	103:4 111:17
180:5 191:7	175:8,12	thanks 67:18	86:13	114:8,17 116:2
195:4 199:23	182:10 195:15	121:1 177:19	third 53:20	117:8 118:12
200:2 235:12	227:23 229:11	thick 193:12	200:8 228:8	119:24 121:20
241:12 245:24	239:4 243:10	209:22 260:5	233:1 255:5	122:12 124:1
280:12	247:13 249:3	thickness 193:15	thought 93:21	124:11 135:15
telling 115:13	254:11 255:17	199:21 200:24	118:11 149:7	139:3 144:10
137:14 163:15	testing 47:6,16	201:7 205:19	248:3 275:15	144:14 149:19
tells 53:10	137:13 199:5	205:21,24	275:15	151:11 155:21
temporary 70:5	tests 15:2 259:2	216:2	thoughts 22:10	155:24 172:11
ten 52:7 81:24	260:19 261:23	thing 148:19	threatening	176:16 180:14
199:7 281:3	thank 10:1 11:8	149:15,23	67:12	187:15 192:17
term 133:22	27:21,23 32:19	181:15 182:22	three 16:1 34:16	199:24 209:17
	1	1	1	1

Page 318

1				rage sto
220:7 229:23	topsoil 267:12	149:10 235:13	262:18 274:14	195:23,24
237:3 240:23	total 47:5,13	282:7	276:6 277:23	202:15 206:3
241:4 242:10	137:3,5,22	try 20:3 204:8	278:1	206:17 209:19
248:22 249:1	152:1,6 153:12	229:19 230:24	turned 199:6	209:22 214:11
252:20 253:12	153:17 206:1	237:18 271:17	276:19 280:5	220:11 221:1
256:11,24	totality 90:20	trying 6:24 21:6	turning 7:15,24	227:6,9 232:19
270:24 271:18	totally 26:5	22:20 86:23	34:6 42:7 60:5	245:1 246:14
274:23 281:1,2	toxic 145:21	176:6 237:6	62:1 77:23	267:14 279:4,7
timeline 62:3	trace 131:20,20	258:7 271:12	91:24 100:7	279:24 280:20
77:14 89:13	131:21 132:6,6	271:13,21	119:15 131:6	two-fold 203:24
90:3 100:24	132:8,21,23	Tuesday 88:12	135:23 142:23	type 15:9 21:23
101:13 104:19	track 225:9	242:12	152:8 164:19	41:16 42:15
121:11 156:7	tracks 110:15	turn 10:10 13:13	183:18 186:10	56:5 65:18
181:18 190:19	tract 49:15,19	15:7 16:22	217:22 235:23	73:6,13 76:22
206:10,19	50:1,7,10 51:3	18:7 20:10	249:7 250:10	120:10,12
207:6 227:21	51:6 109:4,12	24:12 33:5	254:18 260:7	131:10 134:1
timelines 229:3	109:22 187:21	37:16 40:20	272:4 273:13	135:9 136:4
times 122:21	187:23 188:8	42:21 44:21	276:16	151:1 152:9,13
133:17,23	tracts 49:20,22	48:13 49:11	TVA 203:22	154:24 184:18
181:19 199:7	50:19 108:24	53:2 54:15	204:3,15 205:8	196:24 202:9
227:22	187:20	55:10,18 56:2	twenty 244:6	205:10 216:1
timing 281:11	train 110:15	59:7 60:24	twice 116:7	237:15 268:5
title 108:20	transcript 1:14	61:14 62:19	194:3	types 104:11
187:14 231:14	90:2 168:21	65:13 66:1	two 15:10 16:1	130:9,14
233:20	169:2 170:8,9	67:24 69:10	23:20,22 24:14	140:15 240:20
today 5:11 47:23	170:19 171:17	71:11 73:9	26:2,6 48:2,6	typical 151:10
48:2 87:17	170:19171:17	79:8 82:6	48:11 49:22	typically 64:10
188:13 220:20	182:24 194:1	83:21 85:5	48.11 49.22 52:17 59:10	typically 04.10
220:21 244:18	232:16 239:7	92:17 94:22	62:12 71:19	U
248:11 264:12	255:20 262:12	95:21 107:18	74:2 75:10	U.S 240:15,24
274:22 276:9	282:8	119:1 120:7	80:2,18 83:22	241:2,13
told 115:2		128:24 130:2	86:2 90:9	242:17,19
126:16 236:16	transcription 18:18 20:8	134:3 139:15	100:7,14	Uh-huh 74:1
tomorrow 172:3	149:16	143:9 145:2	107:21 110:16	115:21 126:8
183:1,6 281:6	transmittal	154:5 155:10	121:18,23,24	141:8 185:15
281:7,11	41:13	159:15 161:8	121:18,23,24	212:1
top 30:3 42:11	treat 142:10	164:10,14	138:19,24	ultimately 23:2
1	238:6	,	· · · · ·	unconventional
66:2 73:10 115:15,20	238:0 treatment 66:4	169:1 180:3 181:14 183:19	149:21 152:8 152:10,14,15	268:6
130:3 132:15	66:13,16,20	181.14 185.19	154:2 165:10	underlying
130:3 132:13	67:11 120:13	201:22 202:5	170:15 182:13	211:20 212:20
145:16 174:3	202:12	201.22 202.3	188:22 190:1	understand
196:6 209:16	trend 150:20	203.12 200.10 208:5 221:21	190:12 190.1	23:19 36:15
219:7 267:11	152:6	208.3 221.21 223:10,22	190.12 191.0,7	114:2 159:11
topic 31:3	trends 151:21	233:15 248:9	191:10,11,13	160:7 236:8,9
202:21	Trent 19:16			255:10 256:12
	true 100:18	254:1,3 257:18	192:10,20	262:14 267:22
tops 88:14	uue 100.18	258:6 261:4,5	193:21,21	202.11207.22
	-	-	-	-

Page 319

r				2
275:5	150:13,19	versus 5:7 193:2	146:24 159:15	265:10 268:8
understanding	153:9	240:16 242:16	170:8 171:9	waters 66:8
9:16 21:13	usage 185:4	vice 10:23 33:12	172:11 173:5	waterway 166:2
54:23 84:10	use 6:21 7:4,8	45:6 92:4	182:21 194:1	Waukegan
86:24 121:12	10:17 12:1	249:15	197:13,16	27:14 29:1,22
124:6 145:9	28:24 29:1	vicinity 211:7	199:16 202:21	107:18,19,22
146:6 148:8,12	31:4,8,12	264:4	207:12 209:8	107:23 108:1,5
148:13 153:4	62:14 63:10	view 7:3 106:1	210:3,23 214:9	108:9,15,22
162:23 263:12	70:6,15,19	106:17 232:23	233:8 241:17	109:1 110:19
263:19 281:4	76:12 94:16	280:2	246:3 261:6	110:24 114:22
understated	98:6 110:10,15	viewed 254:12	268:23 276:3	115:23 116:13
149:8	125:21,24	254:14	wanted 7:5	117:22,23
understood	126:21 130:19	violated 234:9	62:15 68:22	118:9,13
24:18 25:10	130:22 133:22	250:21	79:17 93:20	119:17 120:5
246:15	133:23 135:7	violation 26:17	95:4 114:1,2	120:11 121:11
undertake 92:20	140:3,7 142:3	27:13 250:19	126:20 127:22	121:13,14,17
unfiltered	142:5 143:3,6	violations 8:8	142:3 147:15	122:18 123:3
137:24	143:15 155:7	26:18 250:12	159:5 170:18	124:13 134:6
unit 58:18 87:18	157:12 161:3	visual 165:5	218:17,18	135:13 143:5
87:19 133:3	163:8 185:11	visually 215:18	236:8 244:9	143:22 156:8
188:17,17	186:6,18,23	VNs 26:18,21,22	252:8,10	156:16,22
190:1,2,8,12	209:8 220:8,9	27:7 30:6,8	279:15	157:24 158:13
190:15	222:16 255:4	volume 259:6	wanting 57:2	158:16 161:18
units 51:21,23	261:17	260:22	warning 247:11	164:16 166:15
52:1,3,14,15	useful 167:17	VP 180:13	wasn't 25:9 32:5	168:12 174:5
52:17 121:16	182:5	vs 1:7	163:8 174:8,21	176:16 177:7,9
121:18,20,21	usually 39:16		179:14 252:11	178:2,4,8
122:1,10 124:9	133:7	W	258:4	179:11,13,24
188:21,22	utilize 155:5	wait 118:1	waste 65:17	181:6,9,12,24
189:15,17,19		waived 238:21	66:12,16,23	183:15 186:2
189:22 190:1,5		238:21	68:5 69:7	236:6,22 237:8
190:11 202:15	V 231:14	walk 189:22	73:12,15	237:21 238:2
220:11	validated 280:2	Wang 24:7	145:21 207:15	248:15,18
unreliable	value 148:23	Wannier 2:6	207:19	249:12 251:10
167:19	values 84:11	85:23,24 87:8	wastewater	261:15 264:19
upgradient	variety 141:5	87:11 88:19	120:13 202:12	269:15 275:2
83:16,18 85:1	various 9:2 18:3	239:22 240:9	water 5:10 7:8	Waukegan's
85:2 93:22	71:17 120:17	240:13 241:2,7	12:5,14,16,18	139:1 157:13
99:8 152:23	202:16 214:7 237:4	241:12 242:14	39:15,24 66:9	way 8:15 32:13
162:7,19 163:3		242:20,22	66:23 80:2,19	35:18 42:8
163:11 164:8	vary 278:17 vegetation	want 8:10,12 30:12 79:23	95:7 103:6,8	57:15 69:18
164:10 214:23	259:17	85:18 87:15	120:15 126:21	109:24,24
upper 175:21	vehicles 131:2	88:12 89:17	157:17 201:13	110:11 155:6
202:7 209:19	verification	106:22 107:13	202:14,19	162:14,15,21
upsidedown	167:21	112:13 116:15	211:11 220:4	166:1 192:9
110:3 URS 127:17	version 242:2	125:23 141:7	220:14,15,21	196:7 200:12
UNS 12/.1/	, 51 51011 2 12.2	120.20 111.7	250:4,4 260:3	204:7,23
L				

Page 320

				10.90 010
255:10 257:16	82:11,20,22	winter 131:1	239:22	47:15 76:19
264:5	83:5,11,15,17	wise 281:11	worthwhile	85:20 86:2,5
ways 141:5	83:18,19 84:19	Withdrawn	237:14	254:11 255:12
148:14	93:10,14,17,23	134:23 135:1	wouldn't 118:11	256:4 278:4
we'll 88:22	138:10,10,19	witness 3:2 5:17	126:21 141:21	Ying 24:7
238:6	139:1 151:4,5	5:18 21:18	237:4,13,14	
we're 86:7 177:9	152:19,23	47:3 75:7	Wozniak 112:19	Z
177:12 249:12	153:14,19,22	81:12 126:16	113:20	zone 11:19 12:6
270:23 272:4	157:5,7,10,12	135:4 137:22	Wozniak's	36:11,16,17
281:2	157:17,21,24	167:12,24	114:7	37:9,24 94:7
we've 186:1	158:5,15,17,20	176:4,9 197:24	write 204:13	94:10,12,19
191:5 203:2	158:23 159:4,6	229:17 230:15	writers 167:6	98:5 99:6
215:12 224:24	162:10 163:4	231:7,11 234:3	written 19:4	174:16 222:2,3
227:22 232:1	163:17,19,23	234:23 243:23	224:6 253:22	222:16
262:11	165:9,10,12,15	246:4,19 256:1	258:4 263:12	0
Webster 2:7	211:11 212:4,6	262:10,11	wrong 275:14	0 200:5
week 86:9,9,10	212:7,8,11,14	263:14 270:14	wrote 198:5,16	0.05 72:5
86:12,12 171:3	212:19 214:7	271:15 272:2	241:3	0.03 72:5 0.08 72:6
weight 67:16	214:14 250:14	275:18	Wyoming 76:8	0.03 72:0 0.1 71:24
121:3	252:4,8,12,15	witness's 21:10	X	0.15 72:9,22
weir 203:21	253:15,16,18	167:22 172:13	$\frac{\Lambda}{\text{Xin } 24:7}$	0.16 72:1
204:17 205:4,9	261:20 262:7	175:8	AIN 24:7	001 66:3
205:9,10	275:4,8,16,23	witnesses 281:10	Y	001 0013 002 202:11
246:21	276:21	wondering	yard 94:23 95:2	03 72:5
welcomes 88:21	went 43:20 46:9	205:1	95:7 109:15,21	084-03710 1:24
Well-10 17:1	73:19 87:13	wooded 185:6	yeah 55:20	282:16
Well-3 17:1 Well-4 16:8	117:11 200:14	word 133:23	67:14 83:12	09 254:19
132:3	205:5,6,8 weren't 15:18	words 149:2 work 9:14 32:1	85:24 107:1	
Well-6 25:1,5	29:15 207:22	39:19 40:17	110:5 118:5	1
Well-7 16:9	237:17	68:14 69:10	162:12 167:12	1 16:3,5,12,20
Well-9 16:10,17	west 1:16 6:7 7:9		204:6 207:7	17:6 36:17
80:12 85:4	12:19 50:24	86:8 133:11	209:6 228:18	56:14 71:15,24
well-established	109:14 110:6	147:16 160:12	230:22 238:5	72:4 74:14
124:24	110:23 111:5	196:11 203:22	242:22	84:13,16 85:7
well-graded	111:11,16,21	204:18 205:9	year 34:23,24	85:10 136:16
132:20	118:16 124:13	210:5 239:21	118:21 190:14	137:2 153:21
wells 13:5,6,11	124:16 159:10	worked 23:9,13	203:15 241:7	1.2 185:20
14:7 15:1,12	159:22 163:7	52:6 124:18	241:15	1.4 54:18 254:22
· · · · · ·		125:22 133:14	years 52:7 59:1	256:5 1.6 120:16
16:19 17:10,20	165:13 181:9		. (1) 11 11(7	
16:19 17:10,20 22:6,16,21	185:9 191:3	134:18,19	63:11 116:7	
,			123:22 146:21	1:50 177:19
22:6,16,21	185:9 191:3	134:18,19	123:22 146:21 146:22 152:5	1:50 177:19 1:53 177:17
22:6,16,21 26:1 29:9 36:1	185:9 191:3 wet 131:19	134:18,19 144:14	123:22 146:21 146:22 152:5 206:8 264:10	1:50 177:19 1:53 177:17 10 2:12 3:10
22:6,16,21 26:1 29:9 36:1 56:8 79:13,13	185:9 191:3 wet 131:19 wether 208:14	134:18,19 144:14 workers 142:8	123:22 146:21 146:22 152:5 206:8 264:10 279:6	1:50 177:19 1:53 177:17 10 2:12 3:10 80:13 153:19
22:6,16,21 26:1 29:9 36:1 56:8 79:13,13 79:15,18,18,19	185:9 191:3 wet 131:19 wether 208:14 willing 125:10	134:18,19 144:14 workers 142:8 working 86:7	123:22 146:21 146:22 152:5 206:8 264:10 279:6 yesterday 5:12	1:50 177:19 1:53 177:17 10 2:12 3:10 80:13 153:19 10.9 66:24
22:6,16,21 26:1 29:9 36:1 56:8 79:13,13 79:15,18,18,19 79:21 80:8,10	185:9 191:3 wet 131:19 wether 208:14 willing 125:10 Wilmette 2:3	134:18,19 144:14 workers 142:8 working 86:7 116:1 125:20	123:22 146:21 146:22 152:5 206:8 264:10 279:6	1:50 177:19 1:53 177:17 10 2:12 3:10 80:13 153:19

Page 321

	<u> </u>				Tage JZI
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	10.55 88.4	142 3·21	1994 129·6	2002 116.2	2018 1.17 5.11
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $					
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $					
	-				
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$					
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$					
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $					
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $					
	-				
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$					
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $,	· ·	
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$,
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $					
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			-		
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	-			· · ·	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $,	220:14,22		· · · ·
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$			2		
12/17/2003189 4:2209:3 222:82012 18:13243 4:6154:119 49:8 83:122-7 184:7,1226:14,17,22244 3:512:46 177:15262:202,000 212:18,2329:17 32:925 61:412714 145:2159:16,20212:1043:14 44:14,16253 98:17,1812715 145:16254:4 261:42,500 foot 79:1695:16 97:226 61:7 98:2412721 143:9269:13 274:132.0 69:11 130:4101:1,4 114:5178:8 225:23129 3:201920s 51:222.2 130:7166:13 178:827 61:1013 72:15 153:241923 121:152.4 53:4,20179:22 190:17276 221:22,2413's 72:81955 189:24184:8,14222:8 225:2327th 255:913-15 5:9 198:111957 190:12:00 177:12226:528 3:11 59:1113-15_344321958 122:720 58:8 83:12,132013-015 1:5115:15,2313-15 5 195:111962 122:888:14 140:21101:13,1529 5:13 6:2,5,713-15 5 195:111971 52:1820-D 54:23 55:4115:23 181:314:3,21 27:1313-0 2:7191:52 201:2273:2 281:2112:19 115:166:17 13:2,51300 2:71977 110:24257:19 272:4233:2035:4 36:231300 2:71978 58:9 61:52000's 114:19207:6 280:1445:5 46:19267:190:82001 115:142016 150:1247:24 49:17					
154:119 49:8 83:122-7 184:7,1226:14,17,22244 3:512:46 177:15262:202,000 212:18,2329:17 32:925 61:4121 3:2019-D 154:6,162,500 157:122013 37:14251-5250 2:1412714 145:2159:16,20212:1043:14 44:14,16253 98:17,1812715 145:16254:4 261:42,500-foot 79:1695:16 97:225 496:1912717 144:6264:15,20211:998:24 100:2426 61:7 98:2412721 143:9269:13 274:132.0 69:11 130:4101:1,4 114:5178:8 225:23129 3:201920s 51:222.2 130:7166:13 178:827 61:1013 72:15 153:241923 121:152.4 53:4,20179:22 190:17276 221:22,2413's 72:81955 189:24184:8,14222:8 225:2327 th 255:913-15 372141962 122:884:14 87:122013-015 1:5115:15,23247:2242:18,20,2184:14 47:122014 46:2282-9119 2:313-15 372141962 122:8273:2 281:2101:13,1529 5:13 6:2,5,7197:211963 190:2273:2 281:2115:15,23 181:314:3,21 27:1313-C 81:7,151973 52:18254:4,18190:17 207:533:10 34:12,1582:8 83:221977 110:24257:19 272:4233:2035:4 36:231300 2:7191:22 201:2213:8190:20,2041:15 44:13,1814-C 161:91978 58:9 61:52000's 114:19207:6 280:1445:5 46:19267:190:82001 115:142016 150:1247:24 49:17 <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>					
12:46177:15262:202,000212:18,2329:1732:925.61:4121 3:2019-D154:6,162,500157:12201337:14251-52502:1412715145:16254:4261:42,500-foot79:1695:1697:225496:1912717144:6264:15,20211:998:24100:2426.61:798:2412721143:9269:13274:132.069:11130:4101:1,4114:5178:8225:231293:201920s51:222.2130:7166:13178:827.61:101372:15153:241923121:152.453:4,20179:22190:17276221:22,2413's72:81955189:24184:8,14222:822:822:5:9283:1159:1113-15344321958122:72058:883:12,132013-015115:15,23283:1159:1113-15372141962122:888:14140:21101:13,15295:136:2,5,7197:211963190:2273:2281:2112:19115:166:1713:2,513-15197352:18254:4,18190:17207:533:1034:12,1582:883:22197710:24257:19272:4233:2035:436:2313002:7191:22201:2200029:232015173:1837:1338:22					
121 3:2019-D 154:6,162,500 157:122013 37:14251-5250 2:1412714 145:2159:16,20212:1043:14 44:14,16253 98:17,1812715 145:16254:4 261:42,500-foot 79:1695:16 97.226 61:7 98:2412721 143:9269:13 274:132.0 69:11 130:4101:1,4 114:5178:8 225:23129 3:201920s 51:222.4 53:4,20179:22 190:17276 221:22,2413's 72:81955 189:24184:8,14222:8 225:2327th 255:913-15 344321958 122:720 58:8 83:12,132013-015 1:5115:15,23247:2242:18,20,2184:14 87:122013-015 1:5115:15,2313-15 372141962 122:888:14 140:21101:13,1529 5:13 6:2,5,7197:211963 190:2273:2 281:2112:19 115:166:17 13:2,513-15 5 195:111971 52:18254:4,18190:17 207:533:10 34:12,1582:8 83:221977 110:24257:19 272:4233:2035:4 36:231300 2:7191:22 201:22000 29:232015 173:1837:13 38:22139 3:21270:24213:8190:20,2041:15 44:13,1814-C 161:91978 58:9 61:52000's 114:19207:6 280:1445:5 46:19267:190:82001 115:142016 150:1247:24 49:17			-		
12714145:2159:16,20212:1043:1416253:98:17,1812715145:16254:4 261:42,500-foot 79:1695:16 97:2254:96:1912717144:6264:15,20211:998:24 100:24266:17.98:2412721143:9269:13 274:132.0 69:11 130:4101:1,4 114:5178:8 225:231291920s51:222.4 53:4,20101:1,4 114:5178:8 225:231372:15155:189:24184:8,14222:8 225:2327th 255:913-1519511957 190:120 58:8 83:12,132013-015 1:5115:15,23247:2242:18,20,2184:14 87:122013-015 1:5115:15,2313-155195:111963 190:2273:2 281:2112:19 115:166:17 13:2,513-15197:311971 52:18254:4,18190:17 207:533:10 34:12,151300 2:7191:22 201:22000 29:232015 173:1837:13 38:221300 2:7197:8 58:9 61:5213:82000's 114:19207:6 280:14267:190:82001's 114:19207:6 280:1445:5 46:19267:190:82001's 114:192016 150:1247:24 49:17			· · · ·		
12714 145:2155.16,202,500-foot 79:1695:16 97:2254.96:1912715 145:16264:15,20211:998:24 100:2426 61:7 98:2412721 143:9269:13 274:132.0 69:11 130:4101:1,4 114:5178:8 225:23129 3:201920s 51:222.2 130:7166:13 178:827 61:1013 72:15 153:241923 121:152.4 53:4,20179:22 190:17276 221:22,2413's 72:81955 189:24184:8,14222:8 225:2327th 255:913-15 5:9 198:111957 190:12:00 177:12226:528 3:11 59:1113-15 344321958 122:720 58:8 83:12,132013-015 1:5115:15,23247:2242:18,20,2184:14 87:122014 46:2282-9119 2:313-15 5 195:111971 52:1820-D 54:23 55:4115:23 181:314:3,21 27:1313-C 81:7,151973 52:18254:4,18190:17 207:533:10 34:12,1582:8 83:221977 110:24257:19 272:4233:2035:4 36:231300 2:7191:22 201:22100 29:232015 173:1837:13 38:22139 3:21270:24213:8190:20,2041:15 44:13,1814-C 161:91978 58:9 61:52000's 114:19207:6 280:1445:5 46:19267:190:82001's 114:192016 150:1247:24 49:17		,	· ·		
12717144:6264:15,20211:998:24100:2426.61:798:2412717144:6269:13274:132.069:11130:4101:1,414:5178:8225:231293:201920s51:222.130:7166:13178:8225:23276101:1,414:51372:15153:241923121:152.453:4,20179:22190:17276221:22,2413's 72:81955195:189:24184:8,14222:8225:2327th255:913-155:9198:111957190:1200177:12226:52831:1247:2242:18,20,2184:1487:122013-015155:15115:15,23247:2242:18,20,2184:1487:12201446:2282-91192:313-155195:111962122:8273:2281:2101:13,15295:136:7713:2,513-155195:11197152:18254:4,18190:17207:533:1034:12,1513-002:7191:22201:2200029:232015173:1837:1338:2213002:7191:22201:2200's114:19207:6280:1445:546:192:601197858:961:5200's114:19207:6280:1445:546:192:61197858:961:5200's114:19207:6280:1445:546:19		,		,	,
12711143:9269:13274:132.069:11130:4101:1,4160:2412721143:91920s51:222.2130:7101:1,4114:5178:8225:23131372:15153:241923121:152.453:4,20179:22190:17276221:22,2413's 72:81955189:24184:8,14222:8225:2327th255:913-15344321958122:72058:883:12,132013-0151:515:5:9247:2242:18,20,2184:1487:12201466:2282-91192:313-15372141962122:888:14140:21101:13,15295:136:2,5,713-155195:11197152:18273:2281:2115:23181:314:3,2127:1313-C81:7,15197352:18254:4,18190:17207:533:1034:12,1582:883:221977110:24257:19272:4233:2035:436:2313002:7191:22201:2200029:232015173:1837:1338:221393:21270:24213:8190:20,2041:1544:13,1814-C161:9197858:961:52000's114:19207:6280:1445:546:19267:190:82001115:142016150:1247:2449:17					
129 3:201920s 51:222.2 130:7166:13 178:8170:10 120:12013 72:15 153:241923 121:152.4 53:4,20166:13 178:8276 221:22,2413's 72:81955 189:24184:8,14222:8 225:2327th 255:913-15 344321958 122:720 58:8 83:12,132013-015 1:5115:15,23247:2242:18,20,2184:14 87:122014 46:2282-9119 2:313-15 372141962 122:888:14 140:21101:13,1529 5:13 6:2,5,713-15 5 195:111971 52:18273:2 281:2115:23 181:314:3,21 27:1313-C 81:7,151973 52:18254:4,18190:17 207:533:10 34:12,1582:8 83:221977 110:24257:19 272:4233:2035:4 36:231300 2:7191:22 201:22000 29:232015 173:1837:13 38:22139 3:21270:24213:8190:20,2041:15 44:13,1814-C 161:91978 58:9 61:52001's 114:19207:6 280:1445:5 46:19267:190:82001 115:142016 150:1247:24 49:17		,			
13 72:15 153:241923 121:152.4 53:4,20179:22 190:17276 221:22,2413's 72:81955 189:24184:8,14222:8 225:2327th 255:913-15 344321957 190:11958 122:720 58:8 83:12,132013-015 1:5283:11 59:1113-15 372141962 122:884:14 87:122014 46:2282-9119 2:3197:211963 190:2273:2 281:2101:13,1529 5:13 6:2,5,713-15 5195:111971 52:18273:2 281:2101:13,1529 5:13 6:2,5,713-C 81:7,151973 52:18254:4,18190:17 207:533:10 34:12,1582:8 83:221977 110:24257:19 272:4233:2035:4 36:231300 2:7191:22 201:22000 29:232015 173:1837:13 38:22139 3:21270:24213:82000's 114:19207:6 280:1445:5 46:19267:190:82001 115:142016 150:1247:24 49:17				-	
13's 72:81955 189:24184:8,14222:8 225:2327th 255:913-15_344321957 190:120 58:8 83:12,132013-015 1:528 3:11 59:1113-15_34432242:18,20,2184:14 87:122013-015 1:5282-9119 2:3247:2242:18,20,2184:14 87:1284:14 40:21101:13,1529 5:13 6:2,5,713-15_372141962 122:888:14 140:21101:13,1529 5:13 6:2,5,7197:211963 190:2273:2 281:2112:19 115:166:17 13:2,513-15_5 195:111971 52:1820-D 54:23 55:4115:23 181:314:3,21 27:1313-C 81:7,151973 52:18254:4,18190:17 207:533:10 34:12,1582:8 83:221977 110:24257:19 272:4233:2035:4 36:231300 2:7191:22 201:22000 29:232015 173:1837:13 38:22139 3:21270:24213:8190:20,2041:15 44:13,1814-C 161:990:82001's 114:19207:6 280:1445:5 46:19267:190:82001's 114:192016 150:1247:24 49:17					
13-15198:111957190:12:00177:12226:5283:1159:1113-15344321958122:7242:18,20,2184:1487:122013-0151:5282-91192:313-15372141962122:884:1487:12201446:2282-91192:313-15372141962122:888:14140:21101:13,15295:136:2,5,713-155195:11197152:1820-D54:2355:4115:23181:314:3,2127:1313-C81:7,15197352:18254:4,18190:17207:533:1034:12,1582:883:221977110:24257:19272:4233:2035:436:2313002:7191:22201:2200029:232015173:1837:1338:221393:21270:24213:8190:20,2041:1544:13,1814-C161:9197858:961:52001's114:19207:6280:1445:546:19267:190:82001115:142016150:1247:2449:17			· · ·		,
10101010101010101113-15_34432 247:21958 122:7 242:18,20,212058:883:12,13 84:142013-0151:5 2014115:15,23 282-9119282-91192:3 282-911913-15_37214 197:211962 122:8 1963 190:21962 122:8 273:288:14140:21 273:2101:13,15 115:15295:136:2,5,7 6:1713-15_5 195:11 13-15_5 195:111971 52:18 1973 52:1820-D54:2355:4 254:4,18 257:19115:23181:3 23:2014:3,2127:13 33:1034:12,15 33:1034:1			· ·		
247:2242:18,20,2184:14 87:122016 010 110282-9119 2:313-15_372141962 122:888:14 140:21101:13,1529 5:13 6:2,5,7197:211963 190:2273:2 281:2112:19 115:166:17 13:2,513-15_5 195:111971 52:1820-D 54:23 55:4115:23 181:314:3,21 27:1313-C 81:7,151973 52:18254:4,18190:17 207:533:10 34:12,1582:8 83:221977 110:24257:19 272:4233:2035:4 36:231300 2:7191:22 201:22000 29:232015 173:1837:13 38:22139 3:21270:24213:8190:20,2041:15 44:13,1814-C 161:91978 58:9 61:52000's 114:19207:6 280:1445:5 46:19267:190:82011 115:142016 150:1247:24 49:17					
13-15_37214 1962 122:8 88:14 140:21 101:13,15 29 5:13 6:2,5,7 13-15_5 195:11 1971 52:18 20-D 54:23 55:4 115:23 181:3 14:3,21 27:13 13-0 81:7,15 1973 52:18 254:4,18 190:17 207:5 33:10 34:12,15 82:8 83:22 1977 110:24 257:19 272:4 233:20 35:4 36:23 139 3:21 270:24 213:8 190:20,20 41:15 44:13,18 14-C 161:9 1978 58:9 61:5 2000's 114:19 207:6 280:14 45:5 46:19 267:1 90:8 2001 115:14 2016 150:12 47:24 49:17	_		,		,
10131962273:2281:21101119,1019706:1713:2,513-155195:11197152:1820-D54:2355:4112:19115:166:1713:2,513-C81:7,15197352:18254:4,18190:17207:533:1034:12,1582:883:221977110:24257:19272:4233:2035:436:2313002:7191:22201:2200029:232015173:1837:1338:221393:21270:24213:8190:20,2041:1544:13,1814-C161:9197858:961:52000's114:19207:6280:1445:546:19267:190:8201115:142016150:1247:2449:17					
13-15_5195:11 1971 52:18 20-D 54:23 55:4 115:23 181:3 14:3,21 27:13 13-C 81:7,15 1973 52:18 254:4,18 190:17 207:5 33:10 34:12,15 82:8 83:22 1977 110:24 257:19 272:4 233:20 35:4 36:23 1300 2:7 191:22 201:2 2000 29:23 2015 173:18 37:13 38:22 139 3:21 270:24 213:8 190:20,20 41:15 44:13,18 14-C 161:9 1978 58:9 61:5 2000's 114:19 207:6 280:14 45:5 46:19 267:1 90:8 2001 115:14 2016 150:12 47:24 49:17	_			,	
13-C 81:7,15 1973 52:18 254:4,18 190:17 207:5 33:10 34:12,15 82:8 83:22 1977 110:24 257:19 272:4 233:20 35:4 36:23 1300 2:7 191:22 201:2 2000 29:23 2015 173:18 37:13 38:22 139 3:21 270:24 213:8 190:20,20 41:15 44:13,18 14-C 161:9 90:8 2001 115:14 207:6 280:14 45:5 46:19 2016 150:12 47:24 49:17					· · · · ·
82:8 83:22 1977 110:24 257:19 272:4 233:20 35:4 36:23 1300 2:7 191:22 201:2 2000 29:23 2015 173:18 37:13 38:22 139 3:21 270:24 213:8 190:20,20 41:15 44:13,18 14-C 161:9 90:8 2000's 114:19 207:6 280:14 45:5 46:19 267:1 90:8 2011 115:14 2016 150:12 47:24 49:17	_				<i>'</i>
1300 2:7 191:22 201:2 2000 29:23 2015 173:18 37:13 38:22 139 3:21 270:24 213:8 190:20,20 41:15 44:13,18 14-C 161:9 267:1 90:8 2001 115:14 2016 150:12 47:24 49:17	,		,		· · · ·
139 3:21 270:24 213:8 190:20,20 41:15 44:13,18 14-C 161:9 267:1 90:8 2000's 114:19 207:6 280:14 45:5 46:19 2016 150:12 47:24 49:17					
13/5121 1978 58:9 61:5 2000's 114:19 207:6 280:14 45:5 46:19 267:1 90:8 2001 115:14 2016 150:12 47:24 49:17					
267:1 90:8 2001 115:14 2016 150:12 47:24 49:17				· ·	· · · · · ·
14102 24:12 198 4:5 110.2,8 153:8 280:16 54:21 55:3					
	14102 24:12	1984:3	110.2,0	155:8 280:16	54:21 55:5

Page 322

Ĩ				rage 522
60:12 73:18	3291 56:3	42.5-F 150:9	50 201:11	60091 2:3
76:24 81:1	33 107:24	43 191:24	500 194:17	602 47:14
89:5,13,20	33945 42:7	44 3:13 111:24	197:5 246:8	606 277:23
90:9 93:1,7	33947 42:22	112:2,6,11	501 195:13	60603 2:13
120:9 155:8	33987 43:10	113:4,8 115:12	208:6,8	607 117:20
161:24 186:2	34 3:11 110:23	115:20 191:20	502 218:10,15	118:4 202:24
260:9 279:24	34271 200:2	44617 113:10	51 3:14 73:16	203:3 205:13
295 211:1	34309 228:12	449 242:17,19	74:3	61 98:22
29507 185:19	35 36:12 111:18	45 3:13 192:13	510 199:14,16	611 3:10 7:15
29508 185:20	357 242:17,19	457 261:5	228:11,13,20	8:4 9:5,22 10:2
29515 184:8,11	36 20:12,22	45800 155:10	246:4,5,17,18	10:6
297 77:23	111:20 196:12	276:7	247:5	612 3:10 10:11
299 79:8,9	200:6,15 201:1	45814 159:19	52 73:16 74:4	11:6,9,14,18
29975 169:3	206:1	261:7 264:16	54 3:14 26:16	613 13:14
29976 169:5	3600 2:13	269:13	55 36:22 211:17	252:23 253:2
2S 191:12,21	37 111:15	45816 276:16	211:18	61558 152:9,11
192:2,6,14,16	37213 198:6,11	45817 274:15	554 92:17	616 252:24
192:18 193:5	198:12	276:22,23	555 94:22	253:2
202:23 203:13	37214 198:5,12	46 192:16	56 37:13 140:20	62 95:15
206:3,21,24	37217 198:8	46255 140:20	561 215:14	620.250 36:13
207:3 208:10	38 3:12	141:7	566 250:10	621 77:18
208:22 215:23	3S 191:12,21	46627 135:23	567 164:19	156:15 210:20
218:1,4,13,15	192:3,7,8,17	138:3	569 249:7	622 27:10,18,22
218:24 225:1	192:18 202:23	46629 136:21	57 44:15	28:7
227:7	203:11,12	46630 136:22	573 34:6	622-625 3:11
3	206:4,11,13,15	47 192:18	574 34:9	28:4
$\frac{3}{355:797:2}$	206:16 208:10	47089 130:3	58 96:13	623 27:10
3 55.7 97.2 112:19 151:7	208:22 210:4	47180 131:6,23	59 96:24	624 27:10
164:20 229:4	218:4,13 227:7	47181 131:8,11	5th 55:7	625 27:11,18,22
243:2	4	48 192:20	6	28:8
3(a) 250:11	4 17:2 54:15	480-foot-wide	6 145:4 173:18	626 3:11 33:6,15
251:2	129:6	12:5 485-foot-wide	209:19	33:18,21 34:4
3:20 244:2	4.0 135:23	11:18	6-inch 193:18,21	34:7 38:6,7,8 38:12,15
3:35 243:21	4.1 55:15 136:1	49 193:7	193:22 194:3,4	627 3:12 37:17
3:40 244:4	4.1.4 155:11	49296 64:19	195:18,23,24	37:18 38:7,12
30 1:17 5:11	4:00 244:6	49298 64:19	196:5,10,10	38:15,19
36:4 59:13	4:30 273:1 281:3		219:8 247:11	628 3:12 39:2
87:12,13 134:8	4:49 281:21	5	60 98:13	40:22 41:2,6
30-day 30:22	40 187:4	5 3:4 120:7,10	60-mil 101:3,6	629 3:13 41:8
303 80:12	41 3:12 147:2	131:13 162:7	111:19,21	44:1,6,10
30th 281:7	187:8	163:2 202:5,10	209:21 216:2	63 24:13 178:2
31 281:22	41-F 147:3,6	202:11 264:6,7	219:9	630 3:13 44:22
312 2:3,14	148:4	264:8,12 266:2	60-millimeter	45:12,16,20
32 107:22	415 2:8	266:3,7	61:9	631 3:14 49:12
3260 54:16	42 188:16	5(D) 93:11	600 201:21	49:14 50:7,23
3272 55:11	42.5 150:8,8	5:00 281:3	215:3	51:9,13,17
			l	

Page 323

Г				Taye 525
632 3:14 52:20	175:2 176:20	664 3:19 104:15	90 34:17,20	
54:1,5,9	177:1,5	104:18 105:8	36:13	
633 3:15 62:20	65 3:15 179:21	105:11 106:21	91 3:16	
63:4 65:3,7,11	650 3:23 178:10	107:5,7,12	92 3:17	
634 3:15 65:13	178:24 179:3,7	665 181:17	94612 2:8	
67:2,17,18,22	183:16	182:4,8 183:7	96 3:17	
635 3:16 68:1	651 3:24 180:3	183:12	97 3:18	
77:3,8,13	180:15,19,23	666 4:6 227:18	977-5646 2:8	
636 3:17 91:19	652 183:19	227:19 228:24	/// 0010210	
92:7,11,15,18	186:21 189:2,5	233:13 241:21		
95:12	189:6,9,13	242:3 243:1,4		
637 3:17 95:22	652-653 4:2	243:8		
96:5,8,12	653 187:12,13	67 3:15 222:6		
638 3:18 97:4,11	188:9,24 189:2	223:17		
97:15,19	189:7,9,13	69 225:21		
639 3:18 99:3,21	654 4:3 196:15	6976 15:8		
100:1,5	196:18 197:9	6977 15:8		
64 175:21 178:7	198:2,11,21	6th 15:14		
640 108:11,17	655 201:22			
119:4,6,8,13	216:18,20,22	7		
640-641 3:19	217:3,13,18	7 17:2 43:14		
641 112:14,16	655-656 4:3	70 226:4		
112:24 113:2	656 215:6	7095 83:21		
113:12 119:4,7	216:12 217:14	70s 51:24 69:19		
119:8,13	217:18	69:23 122:13		
642 3:20 119:15	657 4:5 217:22	124:11 201:9		
120:20 121:4,9	224:22 225:16	7100 82:6		
643 3:20 128:24	225:20	7175 267:2		
129:17,20,24	658 4:4 222:11	7243 214:9		
644 3:21 113:14	222:24 223:8	73 51:24		
134:3 139:5,9	223:14	77 3:16		
139:13	659 223:22	78 270:24		
645 3:21 139:15	224:13,15,20	7th 15:17 255:9		
140:14 142:14	659-660 4:4	8		
142:17,21	66 221:7			
646 3:22 142:23	660 224:4,13,16	8 25:1,5 37:14		
144:16,20,24	224:20	800 141:12		
145:3	661 4:5 226:10	80s 124:11		
647 164:14	226:19,22	82 9:11		
169:16,19,21	227:4	8516 53:3		
172:16,20	662 233:15,23	88 262:18,20 8th 68:2		
173:4 248:10	235:23 238:4	8th 68:3		
647-648 3:22	242:7 243:11	9		
648 168:19	663 3:16 89:10	9 44:16		
169:15 170:5,6	89:24 90:6	9:00 1:17 281:8		
172:1,9 173:4	91:9,14 105:11	281:22		
649 3:23 173:13	107:5			
	1		1	